Explanations of Misfortune in The Buddha's Life: The Buddha's Misdeeds in His Former Human Lives and Their Remnants



Stephan Hillyer Levitt

EXPLANATIONS OF MISFORTUNE IN THE BUDDHA'S LIFE:

THE BUDDHA'S MISDEEDS IN HIS FORMER HUMAN LIVES AND THEIR REMNANTS

STEPHAN HILLYER LEVITT

PUBLISHED BY
BUDDHIST LITERATURE SOCIETY, INC.
NEW YORK BUDDHIST VIHARA
214-22 SPENCER AVENUE
PARKSIDE HILLS, NEW YORK 11427-1821
U. S. A.

© 2009 by Stephan Hillyer Levitt

Reprinted and donated for free distribution by **The Corporate Body of the Buddha Educational Foundation**11F., 55 Hang Chow South Road Sec 1, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
Tel: 886-2-23951198, Fax: 886-2-23913415

Email: overseas@budaedu.org.tw

This book is for free distribution, it is not to be sold. Printed in Taiwan

IN MEMORY OF MY PARENTS, ABRAHAM AND IDA LEVITT

IN MEMORY OF

MY PARENTS,

ABRAHAM AND IDA LEVITT

Preface

Work on the *Detiskarma pardārthayi* was begun with the help of Dr. Amaradasa Virasinha at the time of its initial cataloguing for the University of Pennsylvania Library in 1973. The text was gone over again with Dr. Virasinha a few years later, after I had located the parallel Pāli text and the relevant commentaries. After another manuscript of the text was located in Sri Lanka, I tried to get a transcription of this but without success. This was tried again in the beginning of 2001 through the offices of the Venerable Pandit Kurunegoda Piyatissa Maha Thera of the New York Buddhist Vihara, again without success.

When J. Liyanaratne 1983 had appeared, however, a third manuscript of the text had been located in the collection of the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris. This was obtained in microfilm copy in late 2000, and the specific text in question was located in the larger manuscript with the help of the Venerable Piyatissa in the summer of 2001. Also at that time the Venerable Piyatissa went over with me a few of the questions I had regarding the Pāli text, and went over some few sections of the Paris manuscript with me. Xerox copy of the microfilm of the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris manuscript was forwarded, though, through the good offices of Dr. Amaradasa Virasinha now retired from the University of Pennsylvania Library and living in Sri Lanka, to Dr. Jinadasa Liyanaratne, Associate Professor in Sinhala at the Institut National des Langues et Civilisations Orientales in Paris, in the spring of 2002 for him to go over this manuscript.

The Pāli text was gone over again in full with the Venerable Piyatissa in the summer of 2004.

The help of both Dr. Amaradasa Virasinha and Dr. Jinadasa Liyanaratne with this text has been invaluable. Dr. Jinadasa

Liyanaratne is as well to be thanked for his valuable suggestions and comments. And, without question, the Venerable Piyatissa is also to be greatly thanked for his help.

In addition, thanks must go to Bob Scott, head of Columbia University Library's Electronic Text Service for his help with the computer editions of the *Tipiṭaka* and its commentaries.

And thanks must go to the Buddhist Literature Society, Inc. and the Corporate Body of the Buddha Educational Foundation for their invaluable gift of *dhamma* (*dhammadāna*) in publishing this work.

The translation given here of the Sinhalese text of the *Detiskarmmaya* as in the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris manuscript is that of Dr. Jinadasa Liyanaratne, and that of the Sinhalese text from the *Detiskarma padārthayi* as in the University of Pennsylvania Museum manuscript is that of Dr. Amaradasa Virasinha.

Stephan Hillyer Levitt Flushing, New York U. S. A. June, 2009

Table of Contents

Preface	V
1. Introduction	1
2. The <i>Apadāna</i> and the <i>Pubbakammapiloti</i> (<i>Pkp</i>)	7
3. The Pubbakammapiloti (Pkp)	25
4. The <i>Pubbakammapiloti</i> (<i>Pkp</i>) as in the <i>Detiskarma padārthayi</i>	
(BN and UP)	32
5. The Detiskarma padārthayi (BN and UP)	39
6. Summary of the Detiskarma padārthayi (BN and UP) and Its	
Relationship to the Pubbakammapiloti	91
7. The Northern Buddhist Versions	95
7.1. The Mahāyāna Version: Chapter 50 of the	
Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā (Av-klp)	96
8. The Relationship Between the Different Versions of the	
Text	108
8.1. The Organization of the Text in the Northern Buddhist	
Versions	114
8.2. Further Nature of the Tradition: Textual Tradition versu	S
Oral Tradition	117

8.3. Discussions of Three Specific Stories	128
A. The First Slandering: The Story of Munāli	128
B. Eating Barley	134
C. Slandering [the Buddha Kassapa]	143
9. Conclusion	149
Abbreviations	154
References	160
Index	167

1. Introduction

There is in the University of Pennsylvania Museum Indic and Greater Indic manuscript collection, currently housed together with the Indic manuscript collection of the Library of the University of Pennsylvania, a manuscript of a text in Pāli and Sinhalese called the *Detiskarma padārthayi*.¹ This text proves to be the *Pubbakammapiloti* of the *Apadāna* of the Khuddaka Nikāva (hence, Pkp), which treats the misdeeds of the Buddha in his former human lives, plus a few extra verses in Pāli, a word-for-word translation into Sinhalese, a Sinhalese retelling of the verses, and as well several additional stories in Sinhalese treating the same topic. The additional stories bring the number of kamma-s treated UP has many misspellings. The Sinhalese to seventeen. retellings, though, prove to be much fuller than the Pali verses.

In this text, the Buddha explains that all deeds have their consequences, even for him and even in his life as the Buddha. In a sense, this responds to the problem of the righteous sufferer with which Ancient Mesopotamian religion grappled from the middle of the second millenium B.C. It is a Buddhist treatment of the questions raised in the Old Testament Book of Job (probably no earlier than the 5th c. B.C., perhaps 4th c. B.C.). I have argued elsewhere that

¹ For a cataloguing of this manuscript (hence, UP) see S. H. Levitt, 1980, p. 134.

the concept of *kamma* (Skt. *karma*) in India responds to this problem in Ancient Mesopotamia.²

Interestingly, none of the bad deeds were done in animal lives. This probably reflects the Indian belief that animals act according to their svabhāva, or "innate nature", as for example in the *Hitopadeśa* and the *Pañcatantra*. Thus a jackal is a wilv scoundrel, a fish is thoughtful, a cat is a hypocrite and remorseless, and so forth. While there is latitude regarding one's behaviour in accord with one's svabhāva, as in the Mitacintijātaka in which we have three fish, "Thinking-Little", "Thinking-Too-Much", and "Proper-Thinking", the implication is one does not obtain bad kamma thereby.³ Even if one does outright bad deeds, as the jackal in the Bilārajātaka or the four cats in the Babbujātaka, the implication is that one does not obtain bad kamma if one acts in accord with one's svabhāva. Bhagavadgītā 18, 40-48 implies on the other hand that humans can act other than in accord with their svabhāva, or nature.

In short, there is a general attitude in Indian belief that if one acts according to one's *svabhāva* or acts in accord

² See S. H. Levitt, 2003, pp. 352b, 354b.

³ See *J*, vol. 1, pp. 426-28 (*Jātaka* no. 114) and SBFB, vol. 1, pp. 256-57 for this *Jātaka*.

⁴ For the *Biḷārajātaka* see *J*, vol. 1, pp. 460-61 (*Jātaka* no. 128) and SBFB, vol. 1, pp. 281-82. For the *Babbujātaka*, see *J*, vol. 1, pp. 477-80 (*Jātaka* no. 137) and SBFB, vol. 1, pp. 294-96.

with one's *dharma*, there is no bad merit accrued.⁵ In the translation of Franklin Edgerton, *Bhagavadgītā* 18, 40-41 and 47 state:

- 40. There is no thing, whether on earth,
 Or yet in heaven, among the gods,
 No being which free from the materialnature-born
 Strands, these three, might be.
- 41. Of brahmans, warriors, and artisans,

And of serfs, scorcher of the foe,
The actions are distinguished
According to the Strands that spring from

their innate nature.

47. Better one's own duty, (even) imperfect,
Than another's duty well performed.
Action pertaining to his own estate
Performing, he incurs no guilt.

See also Annie Besant's translation, which is particularly clear on this point.

Carrying this line of thought further, we have in Indian tradition belief in an "act of truth" (Pāli *saccakiriyā*, Sanskrit **satyakriyā*), which one can obtain the ability to perform by

⁵ The *Bhagavadgītā* further emphasizes action without attachment to results as leading to salvation.

doing one's *dharma*, or "duty" perfectly, even if one is a prostitute, for instance, as in *Milindapañha* 4, 1, 47.6

In later Buddhist philosophy, of course, Nāgārjuna for instance denies the existence of *svabhāva*. Also see regarding the status of *karma* in later Buddhism the *Pitṛputrasamāgama*, *Sikṣāsamuccaya* in which it is stated that in an illusory world *karma* is also illusory. 8

To be emphasized is that there is also indication in the Pāli literature that one's *svabhāva* as an animal remains with one in one's human lives. Thus, for example, the first

⁶ Regarding this latter point, see E. W. Burlingame, 1917, pp. 439-41 and W. Norman Brown, 1940-41, p. 37, 1972, pp. 256-57 (Rpt., 1978, p. 107). For a bibliography on the "act of truth" see W. Norman Brown, 1972, p. 252, n. 1 (Rpt., 1978, p. 102, n. 1).

⁷ See Nāgārjuna's *Mūlamadhyamakārikā* 1, 1-14 as in Jay L. Garfield, 1995, pp. 3-5 (Garfield's commentary, pp. 103-25), the introduction to Jay L. Garfield's commentary in this volume, p. 89 and n. 4, and the *Mahāyāna Viṃśaka*, or "Twenty Verses of the Great Vehicle" in Susumu Yamaguchi, 1927, pp. 169-71 (Rpt., 1957, pp. 338-39). The latter states, "The self-nature of all things is regarded as like shadows; they are in substance pure, serene, non-dualistic, and same as suchness."

⁸ See A. L. Basham, 1958, pp. 178-79. The *Sikṣāsamuccaya* was compiled by Śāntideva in the 7th c. A.D.

Sigālajātaka states that Devadatta's lying nature today is the same as when he was a jackal in a previous life. On the other hand, in the third Sigālajātaka, the Bodhisatta is a jackal but he learns by his behaviour not to be greedy. "Once bitten, twice shy." 10

Also interesting, the bad events in the Buddha's life which are attributed to *kamma* flow naturally as well from circumstances mentioned with regard to these incidents elsewhere in the Pāli canon. Thus, the slander of Ciñcamānavikā can be attributed to her having been a member of a heretical ascetic order which found that their gains had grown less due to the popularity of the Buddha. The enmity of Māgandiyā is due as well to the Buddha's having referred to her as a "vessel of filth" when he rejected her father's offer of her in marriage to the Buddha. The active enmity of Devadatta is due to his jealousy of Buddha encouraged by his successs in winning over Ajātasattu to his side. The Buddha's bodily aches and pains can be attributed to his having undergone severe self-mortification for six years.¹¹ Thus, from the vantage of the Buddhist tradition as a whole,

⁹ See *J*, vol. 1, pp. 424-26 (*Jātaka* no. 113) and SBFB, vol. 1, pp. 255-56.

¹⁰ See *J*, vol. 1, pp. 501-504 (*Jātaka* no. 148) and SBFB, vol. 1, pp. 314-16 (quote, p. 316).

¹¹ This latter explanation is given by DPPN, vol. 2, p. 618 in the course of the discussion of Māra.

the events flow naturally from the vantage of every day causality as well as being the results of *kamma*.

The manuscript catalogues list only two other manuscripts by the title under consideration. One, in Sri Lanka, is in Śastrāravinda Pirivin Vihāraya, Polgolla, Gokarälla. 12 The other, in the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris refers to itself as *Detiskarmmaya*. ¹³ I have been unable to get a transcription of the manuscript in Sri Lanka (see preface). but have obtained film of the manuscript (hence, BN) in the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris. While this also is a Sinhalese retelling of Pkp, it does not contain the Pāli verses as such after the first few verses, providing in general only a few Pāli citations at random at the beginnings of the sections of Sinhalese text, sometimes citing only the first word of the Pāli text. In the beginning of the text some Pāli words are glossed in Sinhalese, but this is the exception. On the whole this manuscript is not a sanne proper as is UP in that a wordfor-word Sinhalese translation is not given. This is all certainly the reason why it refers to itself as Detiskarmmaya instead of Detiskarma padārthayi. Also, in BN there are more additional kamma-s added, bringing their total to twenty-two. Some of the additional kamma-s are the same as in UP, though one of the additional kamma-s in UP is not

This is listed in K. D. Somadasa, 1959-64 under the title, *Detiskarma padārthayi*.

¹³ See J. Liyanaratne, 1983, p. 53, Ms. no. 6 (Smith-Lesouf 269), text no. 68.

found here. There is here a corresponding *kamma* instead, however. On the whole, the Sinhalese text in UP and in BN correspond well to one another, though UP is perhaps more corrupt. It is the presentation of UP and BN to the scholarly community and those interested in Buddhism in general that is the primary purpose of the present volume.

2. The Apadāna and the Pubbakammapiloti (Pkp)

The larger text in which Pkp is found, the Apadāna, is figured by Buddhaghosa to be the thirteenth book of the Khuddaka Nikāva of the Sutta Pitaka, but there are conflicting views regarding this arrangement.¹⁴ The book consists of four main sections, the Buddhāpadāna, the Paccekabuddhāpadāna, the Therāpadāna, and the Therī-These four sections are divided into fifty-nine apadāna. groups. Of them, the first fifty-five consist of 550 tales about thera-s, each group consisting of ten tales. In the first group are also included the Buddhāpadāna and the Paccekabuddhāpadāna which are but minor sections of the book. The last four groups of the book consist of forty tales of therī-s, each group consisting of ten tales. 15 S. M. Cutler reports that there is variability as to the actual number of apadāna-s of the thera-s, most manuscripts containing a total of 547. There is also evidence of an additional eleven apadāna-s which are not included in most editions of the text. It would seem that there has been a conscious effort on

¹⁴ See S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 2 and pp. 20-21.

¹⁵ See H. R. Perera, 1966, p. 26.

the part of the redactor to match the number of these stories to the number of $j\bar{a}taka$ -s in the $J\bar{a}taka$ collection. ¹⁶

The Buddhāpadāna departs from the general pattern maintained in the *Therāpadāna* and *Therī-apadāna*. In this section, the Buddha tells of ideal lands of beauty where the Buddhas live. A picture is painted of Buddhas questioning each other, and there is mention of disciples questioning the Buddhas and vice versa. Furthermore, the presentation of the karmic connection between the particular pious deed of the Bodhisatta which it describes, a mental rather than a physical offering done at a time when the Bodhisatta was close to the end of his path toward Buddhahood, and its fruit. the attainment of enlightenment, is so understated that it has not always been noticed.¹⁷ K. R. Norman, in fact, states that "the Buddhāpadāna in its present form lacks the essential feature of an apadana: it says nothing about the Buddha's previous existences, and seems more like an *udāna* than an apadāna." 18 The mention of numerous Buddhas and of ideal lands of beauty where the Buddhas live give evidence to a very late date for this section of the *Apadāna*. ¹⁹

The *Paccekabuddhāpadāna*, despite its place in the collection, is not truly an *apadāna* and its inclusion is anomalous. It is recited by the Buddha in response to a

¹⁶ S. M. Cutler, 1994, pp. 36-37.

¹⁷ See S. M. Cutler, 1994, pp. 7-8 and pp. 12-13.

¹⁸ K. R. Norman, 1983, p. 91.

¹⁹ H. R. Perera, 1966, p. 3a; K. R. Norman, 1983, pp. 90-91.

by Ananda for information about the paccekabuddha-s. In the course of his reply, the Buddha auotes the whole of the Khaggavisāna Sutta, the Rhinocerous Sutta, of the Sutta Nipāta. There is no indication in this sutta itself that the verses are to be connected with paccekabuddha-s although the Culla Niddesa attributes them to the paccekabuddha-s, as does the Mahāvastu. Even though the introductory verses here are almost identical to those of the Buddhāpadāna, this cannot disguise the fact that the Paccekabuddhāpadāna was deliberately composed around the verses of another canonical work in order to complete the creation of a formal structure for the *Apadāna* collection.²⁰

The main portion of the work, the *Therāpadāna*-s and *Therī-apadāna*-s, is a collection of legends in verse in which the noble deeds done by the Buddhist *thera*-s and *therī-s* in earlier existences are glorified. The *Apadāna* is almost an appendix to the *Theragāthā* and *Therīgāthā*, and many of the authors of the *apadāna*-s are also mentioned in the *Theragāthā* and *Therīgāthā*. The *Apadāna* collection, however, includes many *thera*-s who do not appear in the *Theragāthā*, and does not include all the *therī-s* in the *Therīgāthā*. The *Apadāna* does include, however, at least one *therī* for whom there is no poem in the *Therīgāthā*, Yasodharā. Also, the poems of the *Thera-* and *Therīgāthā-s* are arranged like those of the *Jātaka*, according to the

²⁰ H. R. Perera, 1966, p. 2b; K. R. Norman, 1983, p. 91; S. M. Cutler, 1994, pp. 10-11.

number of verses they contain, and a wide range of metres are represented. A numerical system of arrangement is not followed in the *Apadāna* and it is composed entirely in śloka metre, with the exception of the first three verses of the Buddhāpadāna and the whole of the Paccekabuddhāpadāna which are in tristubh metre. These Apadana narratives of the Buddhist thera-s and therī-s display both lateness and the influence of the popular and lay sphere since, instead of explaining the winning of holiness through the practices of the eightfold path, the monks and nuns seek the cause for it in pious actions which they performed in their previous existences by offering flowers, water, fruits, and fans to the Buddhas of the past who gave them in return a prophesy that they would hear the doctrine of Gotama Buddha. The stories go on to say how this came true, and how the authors became arahant-s. There is mention here of the worship of thūpa-s, shrines, and relics, and there is an emphasis on generosity and humanitarian deeds, all of which is late.²¹

At the end of the *Therāpadāna* there is placed the *Pkp*, our text here, which disjunctively figures itself to be a *Buddhāpadāna* along with the earlier *Buddhāpadāna* placed at the beginning of the collection. It is one of three texts in the *Apadāna* to be spoken by the Buddha.²² This is not the only text in the collection which treats the effects of bad or

²¹ H. R. Perera, 1966, pp. 2b-3a; K. R. Norman, 1983, pp. 90-91; É. Lamotte, 1988, pp. 691-92.

²² See S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 11 and pp. 14-15.

evil deeds. See, for instance, the *Upālittherāpadāna*. As S. M. Cutler points out, "the *apadāna* genre does not deal solely with noble or glorious deeds and their fruit and may also deal with the effects of bad or evil deeds when this is necessary for the provision of a complete karmic explanation of an individual's biography."²³ Such treatment of bad *kamma* in the *Apadāna*, though, is rare.

As noted above, there is evidence in the manuscripts of variability as to the number of *Therāpadāna*-s, and the number may have been juggled to achieve 547 so as to match the number of jātaka-s. There is also suggestion that the Paccekabuddhāpadāna was inserted so as to achieve the threefold ideal grouping of sāvaka, paccekabuddha, and sammāsambuddha which characterizes all the Śrāvakavāna schools including the Theravada. S. M. Cutler points out that it is obvious that the Paccekabuddhāpadāna was specifically composed in response to the demand that the structure of the *Apadāna* reflect this threefold ideal.²⁴ K. R. Norman draws attention to the fact that mainland Prakrit features not found in Pāli are found in the Apadāna, one feature being particularly associated with Buddhāpadana.²⁵ Thus both linguistic evidence and content suggest the Buddhāpadana is very late. And further it does not fit well with the main portion of the Apadāna collection.

²³ S. M. Cutler, 1994, pp. 12-13.

²⁴ S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 27.

²⁵ K. R. Norman, 1983, pp. 91-92.

Still further, the placement of Pkp at the end of the Therāpadāna, as it is placed in the Buddhist Sanskrit Anavataptagāthā, and its placement after the apadāna for the elder monk Sona-Kotivīsa the parallel Buddhist Sanskrit text from the Anavataptagāthā with which it agrees wordfor-word, shows modelling of this text in part on the Anavataptagāthā or some similar text. The Sarvāstivādin Anavataptagāthā becomes transferred to the Bhaisajyavastu of the Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinaya as the Sthaviragāthā, or Pañcaśatasthavirāvadāna, "Deeds of the Five Hundred Elders." Also note, the recitation of both the Pali and Buddhist Sanskrit texts are placed at lake Anotatta (Skt. Anavatapta). The problem posed by the placement of Pkpwhich describes itself as a Buddhāpadāna fades in this context. It is not to de-emphasize it as both J. S. Walters and S. M. Cutler have suggested in passing.²⁷ Pkp is not included so as to enable the Buddhāpadāna spoken earlier and which is very unlike an apadana to be more like an apadāna, as suggested by K. R. Norman.²⁸ Rather, we have a composite text consciously edited to conform to various criteria. As S. M. Cutler notes, "the problematic features of the Apadāna collection are a result of its composite nature, and reflect the changes and developments in Buddhism in

²⁶ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 20-28; Marcel Hofinger, 1954, pp. 22-

^{23;} Heinz Bechert, 1958, pp. 10-13, 1961-65, p. 797ab; AS, pp. 29-30.

²⁷ J. S. Walters, 1990, p. 92, n. 13; S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 14.

²⁸ K. R. Norman, 1983, p. 91.

the centuries between the death of the Buddha and the writing down of the Theravādin canon."²⁹

S. M. Cutler points out that texts such as the *Apadāna* were intended to be used by monks and nuns in their role as preachers and transmitters of the Buddhist doctrine. They were thus directed particularly toward lay audiences. It would appear, though, that at a relatively early date the Apadāna declined in popularity as a preaching text, although parts of it continued to be quoted and used as the basis of stories in prose anthologies. 30 "Its homilectic function was apparently taken over by prose narratives such as those of the Pūjāvaliva, a thirteenth century collection of stories in Sinhala some of which contain quotes from the Apadana It was also such homilectic function which no doubt was the intention of our text here, the Detiskarma padārthayi and Detiskarmmaya. Further, as S. M. Cutler has noted, the versions of the Apadāna which are available to us show that we possess a corrupt and late redaction of the text 32

The first person to notice the similarity between *Pkp* and the comparable text in the *Dul-ba* of the Tibetan *Kanjur* was Léon Feer.³³ Nalinaksha Dutt thinks the Pāli *Apadāna*

²⁹ S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 38.

³⁰ S. M. Cutler, 1994, pp. 34-35.

³¹ S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 35.

³² S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 36.

³³ Léon Feer, 1897, pp. 292-93.

collection and the Sthaviragāthā of the Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinava have a common source. He points to the word-forword similarity between the Pali and Sanskrit versions of the apadāna attributed to Sona-Kotivīsa (Skt. Kotīvimśa), and the similarity of *Pkp* to the Tibetan translation of the various verses of the corresponding Sanskrit original. This portion of the Buddhist Sanskrit Gilgit manuscripts was missing. He notes, though, that he finds only occassional agreements between the biographical references in the Apadana, the Theragāthā, and the Sthaviragāthā.34 Marcel Hofinger follows upon this with the opinion that the Pāli Apadāna collection has a source in the Sanskrit Hīnayāna tradition.³⁵ Heinz Bechert opines that on the basis of the literal similarity between the Pāli and Buddhist Sanskrit stories of Sona-Koţivīsa, the placement of the Pāli story of Soṇa-Koţivīsa to immediately precede Pkp in the Pāli Apadāna collection, the placement of Pkp at the end of the Apadana stories of the thera-s just as it appears at the end of the Anavataptagāthā's stories of the elders, and on the basis of the number of similar thera-s of whom stories are told in both collections. the Pāli Apadāna collection is based on a recension of the Anavataptagāthā not unlike that in the Bhaisajyavastu of the Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinaya.³⁶ K. R. Norman opines that the Apadāna tradition, while the text as we have it is probably

³⁴ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 20-28.

³⁵ Marcel Hofinger, 1954, pp. 22-23.

³⁶ Heinz Bechert, 1958, pp. 10-13, 1961-65, p. 797ab; AS, pp. 29-30.

late, must be very old and must be the common property of different Hīnayāna schools of Buddhism. To be noted is that the $Anavataptag\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ version of Pkp speaks of only ten kamma-s, whereas the standard Pāli text refers to twelve.

J. S. Walters, treating only *Pkp*, argues that *Pkp* has its origin in a Hīnayāna tradition other than the Theravāda, *e.g.* the Sarvāstivāda or Mahāsaṅghika. Firstly, the term *kammapiloti* is not used anywhere in the Pāli canon and commentaries except in reference to this text. The *Divyāvadāna* of the Sarvāstivādins, however, uses the Sanskrit equivalent *karmaploti* quite often, he argues, usually in a stereotyped phrase. The term is also used often in the *Avadānaśataka*. And the Sarvāstivādins seem to have known the prototype of the text. The *Divyāvadāna*, in the midst of a catalogue of the places where the Buddha made especially important disclosures, states that 'the previous strands of karma have been disclosed at the Great Lake Anavatapta [by the Buddha who was] with the

³⁷ K. R. Norman, 1983, p. 92.

³⁸ J. S. Walters, 1990, pp. 77-79.

³⁹ See *Divy*, p. 87, l. 8; p. 150, l. 24; p. 241, ll. 25-26 (listed in Walters as l. 26).

⁴⁰ See *Av-ś*, p. 242, l. 9; p. 246, l. 11 (misprinted in Walters as l. 9); p. 249, l. 12 (misprinted in Walters as l. 13); p. 253, l. 6; p. 257, l. 8; p. 267, l. 14; p. 275, l. 12.

disciples'."41 Another clue, he argues, points to the Mahāsanghikas. Only one of the stories of previous lives has an antecedent in the Pāli texts, namely the story of Jotipāla and Kassapa, in a telling which does not suggest that the Bodhisatta slandered that Buddha or produced bad kamma thereby. The majority of the stories about the Buddha's evil deeds in earlier lives are unique to Pkp. But the Mahāsanghika *Mahāvastu-avadāna* records one of the "unknown" stories, namely the slander by the Bodhisatta of a disciple of the Buddha Sarvābhibhū (P. Sabbābhibhū). This description is considerably more detailed than the mere reference to this event in Pkp. It is especially significant since it also parallels the Pāli text in describing the slander of the Buddha by a woman (whose name is lost in a textual lacuna) as a karmic effect of the Buddha's earlier deed. 42 We can also note that in the Gilgit manuscripts, in a section prior to the Sthaviragāthā, a story is given parallel to that of the Buddha having had to eat barley for three months in Verajjā as told in Pkp. Just as the Apadāna story is related to the Buddha in a previous birth having cast aspersions on the Buddha Phussa and his disciples, so here also the parallel story is related to the Buddha in a previous life having cast aspersions on Vipasyi Buddha and his disciples saving that

⁴¹ J. S. Walters, 1990, p. 78.

⁴² See J. J. Jones, 1949-56, vol. 1, pp. 29-39.

they deserved only barley grains and not the good food offered by the faithful.⁴³

The weight of the evidence to date would seem to indicate that the tradition of Pkp developed in the Sanskrit Hīnayāna tradition, but was then expanded in the Pāli tradition, and further expanded in the Sinhalese Buddhist tradition.

The location of the *Anavataptagāthā* as included in the *Bhaiṣajyavastu* of the *Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinaya* in Tibetan translation is given by Heinz Bechert. The text of the Tibetan verses parallel to the Pāli verses in *Pkp* and their location in the *Dul-ba* of the Tibetan *Kanjur* is given by Nalinaksha Dutt. The text of the entire Tibetan section comparable to the Pāli *Pkp* and its location is given in AS. A German translation of the Tibetan text is also given in AS.

The location of the *Anavataptagāthā* as included in the *Bhaiṣajyavastu* of the *Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinaya* in Chinese

⁴³ See Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 4-5.

⁴⁴ Heinz Bechert, 1961-65, p. 796b; AS, p. 12.

⁴⁵ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 22-28.

⁴⁶ AS, pp. 204-243.

⁴⁷ AS, pp. 244-48.

translation is given by Heinz Bechert. The text of the *Anavataptagāthā* here is incomplete, and does not include the parallel text to *Pkp*. An independent translation of the *Anavataptagāthā* is available as well. This contains text parallel to *Pkp*. It is translated into German in AS. Another Chinese text which contains material parallel to *Pkp* can also be found. This contains the verses of the Buddha which were inserted at one time between an accompanying account in prose. A German translation of the verses is also given in AS. Another Chinese text which contains the verses is also given in AS.

The Buddhist Sanskrit text corresponding to Pkp is lacking in the $Sthavirag\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ as in the Gilgit manuscripts. The Gilgit manuscripts, though, do contain part of a prose repetition of the verses spoken by the Buddha, with leaves

⁴⁸ Heinz Bechert, 1961-65, p. 796b; AS, p. 13. The text is Taishō no. 1448, vol. 24, pp. 78a-94a. The entire text of Taishō no. 1448 extends from vol. 24, pp. 1a-97a.

⁴⁹ See Heinz Bechert, 1961-65, p. 796b; AS, p. 206 and p. 208.

Taishō no. 199, vol. 4, pp. 190a-202a. See Heinz Bechert, 1961-65,
 p. 797a; AS, p. 13.

⁵¹ See Taishō no. 199, vol. 4, pp. 201b, l. 13-202a, l. 14.

⁵² AS, pp. 208-243.

⁵³ See Taishō no. 197, vol. 4, pp. 163c-174b.

⁵⁴ AS, pp. 208-243.

⁵⁵ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 21-22.

containing the account missing at both beginning and end. 56 Only a very few partly preserved verses remain toward the end of the Sanskrit version of Pkp in the $Anavataptag\bar{a}th\bar{a}$ as in the Turfan manuscripts. 57 The recently acquired British Library $kharosth\bar{i}$ birch bark scroll fragments from Gandhāra and the more recently reported Senior collection of Gandhāran Buddhist birch barck scrolls and scroll fragments also both contain sections of text corresponding to the $Anavataptag\bar{a}th\bar{a}$, but neither contains the end of the text in which the text corresponding to Pkp is to be found. 58

With regard to *Pkp*, J. S. Walters points to a disagreement in Theravāda Buddhist tradition regarding whether or not the Buddha's sufferings were due to bad *kamma*. He notes that the *Milindapañha* Dilemmas generally affirm the *Apadāna* position that even spiritually advanced people might suffer because of bad *kamma*, but in one such Dilemma it passes on the opportunity to note that the Bodhisatta, along with Devadatta, also obtained bad *kamma* in previous lives. Similarly, in Dilemmas 45 and 46 it denies that the Bodhisatta accumulated bad *kamma* when he slaughtered animals for sacrifice and when he reviled the Buddha Kassapa, respectively. The former is attributed to

⁵⁶ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 28-29.

⁵⁷ AS, p. 208, p. 239, p. 241.

⁵⁸ See Richard Salomon, 1999, pp. 30-33, p. 43, pp. 138-39, 2003, p. 79, p. 80.

⁵⁹ J. S. Walters, 1990, pp. 79-90.

the acts of a man temporarily insane and therefore not productive of bad kamma; the latter was due to the Bodhisatta's Brahman birth and family surroundings. While the demerit gained from slaughtering animals is not included in Pkp, it is only in the Apadana that the story of reviling Kassapa is told to exemplify the Buddha's bad *kamma*. "The Milindapañha admits the story, but denies that it was a karma-producing event."60 In Dilemma 8, Nāgasena specifically says that the Buddha had burnt out all evil in himself when he became Buddha Then Milinda asks whether the Buddha suffered bodily pain and Nagasena answers in the affirmative. Milinda argues that since all pain is the result of kamma, the Buddha must have had residual bad kamma. Nāgasena, though, cites a passage in the Samyutta Nikāya in which the Buddha says that not all bodily pain is caused by kamma, and argues that the pain suffered by the Buddha was due to natural causes. Dilemma 26 which concerns the rock hurled by Devadatta which splintered and injured the Buddha's foot, the Milindapañha again argues natural causes.

The *Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā* retells several of the stories of unpleasant events in the Buddha's biography without any hint that the Buddha's own bad *kamma* was involved. Thus Sundarī's slander of the Buddha was caused by the jealousy of heretics. Regarding the cycle of stories about Devadatta in the *Apadāna* account, it portrays Devadatta as the causal agent of the Buddha's suffering and

⁶⁰ J. S. Walters, 1990, p. 81.

shifts the focus to Devadatta's own bad *kamma*. The Buddha and the monks being forced to eat inferior food in Verajjā, it argues, was the result of the bad *kamma* accumulated by the monks during one of the Buddha's previous lives.

Similarly, the *Jātakaṭṭhakathā* also retells the stories of times in which the Buddha suffered seemingly in order to refute the karmic explanation.

The texts which deny the karmic explanation of the Buddha's sufferings never mention *Pkp*, as though it did not exist. But their arguments clearly speak to the problems it raises.

Since *Pkp* is included in the canon as authentically promulgated by the Buddha himself, according to the canon the Buddha himself sided with those Buddhists favoring a karmic explanation for his sufferings. While the arguments of those favoring a karmic explanation never mention the denials of their position specifically, the manner in which they elaborate on the simple *Pkp* references makes clear, according to J. S. Walters, that they are writing with these denials in mind.

The earliest such text is the $Paramattha-D\bar{\imath}pan\bar{\imath}$ $Ud\bar{a}na\underline{\imath}thakath\bar{a}$ of Dhammapālācariya, his commentary to the $Ud\bar{a}na$. It is the $Ud\bar{a}na$ which is the earliest canonical text to tell the story of Sundar $\bar{\imath}$ the slanderer. Here Dhammapāla cites by name the $Apad\bar{a}na$ and proceeds to quote Pkp in its entirety. Dhammapāla refers his account to a debate over the cause for Sundar $\bar{\imath}$'s slander, and states that even though he was Buddha, with all the merit described by

the *Jātaka*-s, still the Buddha was subject to the effects of his previous bad deeds.

One subtradition in the transmission of the *Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā*, which the Pali Text Society edition labelled "Kambodian", did not like the implications of its silence with regard to the Buddha's bad *kamma* apparently. It appends to the *Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā* account of the Ciñca-mānavikā slander a statement that this was due to the Buddha's previous *kamma*. The text then gives the account of the Buddha's birth as Munāli, apparently quoting from the *Apadānaṭṭhakathā*, and then quotes the verses of *Pkp* regarding Ciñca-mānavikā, concluding "this is the former *kamma* of the Master".

The quintessential rebuttal to the denials the Buddha had bad *kamma* is the commentary on *Pkp* contained in the *Visuddhajanavilāsinī nāma Apadānaṭṭhakathā. Pkp* receives more attention from the commentator than any other text of the large *Apadāna* collection. The commentary contains lengthy descriptions of the previous life stores, the intermediate sufferings in hell and low states, and the stories of the Buddha's sufferings in this life. In the process of this elaboration, the commentator is able to undercut the denials of the karmic explanations.

The ways in which the commentator does this are interesting. First, the order in which the stories are told is changed, so that the commentary is chronological in terms of Buddha's present life. That is, the six years' asceticism is told first, not last as in *Pkp*, because it preceded all the other unpleasant events chronologically. In the process of

narrating these events chronologically, the commentator demonstrates that as the Bodhisatta neared his goal, and even after the great events in his life as Buddha, he continued to suffer bad kamma. Second, the commentary addresses the nature of "badness" of the Buddha's kamma. commentary, less significant deeds like the happy mind of the fisherboy when he sees fish being heaped up and dying are categorized as akusalakamma, "unwholesome kamma", whereas the major offences like murdering his own half brother and of greed are described as pāpakamma, "evil kamma". Third, the commentator sometimes deepens the connection by providing previous explanations for the evil deeds done in the past. Thus when Jotipāla slandered the Buddha Kassapa the primary karmic force which brought it about was Kassapa's own previous bad kamma. Fourth, the commentator provides previous bad kamma explanations for the alternate causalities propounded by the texts that denied the Buddha suffered the effects of Thus Devadatta's enmity, which the bad *kamma*. Milindapañha and other texts state to be the real cause of the Buddha's suffering at his hand, is in the commentary explained to be itself the result of Buddha, in a former life as a merchant, having cheated Devadatta of his due. Fifth, the commentator treats Pkp at the beginning of the $Apad\bar{a}na$, as part of the Buddhāpadāna section of the text. For him, the stories about bad kamma and bad effects are part of the same story which tells good kamma and good effects. The Buddha biography is not only paradigmatic of the pleasant and ultimately liberating effects of good kamma. It is also

paradigmatic of every person's ability to get onto the right road, even if he or she be a doer of bad *kamma*.

Last to be considered by J. S. Walters is the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on the Milindapañha, a late medieval text which originated in a Sinhalese Mahāvihāra monastery in Thailand. commentator does not agree with the stand taken by the Milindapañha in this debate. The commentator upholds the Pkp position that even Buddhas must experience the effects of unrealized bad kamma. Even as Buddha, the Buddha had to finish burning up his kamma. But being Buddha, this left no residue for rebirth. Thus the author of this commentary postulates a kind of kamma which is only experienced and which results in no further kamma. The Buddha experienced bad kamma, but it wasn't the kind of kamma which casts doubt on cherished conceptions of Buddhahood. regard to this, J. S. Walters points to the four-fold classification of kamma reported by Buddhaghosa in chapter 19 of his Visuddhimagga: 1) kamma the fruit of which will be experienced in this life; 2) kamma the fruit of which will be experienced in the next life; 3) kamma the fruit of which will be experienced in some future life; and 4) kamma the fruit of which will not be experienced at all (ahosikamma, literally "was-kamma"). The Buddha's sufferings were the results of long distant acts (kamma of the third type). According to J. S. Walters' interpretation, actions that resulted from the effects of these acts were themselves ahosikamma (kamma of the fourth type), and resulted in no further kamma. J. S. Walters notes that while there is no indication that this classification was formulated so as to

answer the problem of the Buddha's bad *kamma*, the classification allows for an affirmation of *Pkp* which also rebuts the objections tradition had raised to it.

The Pāli canon as it now stands allows both natural causes and karmic causes for the misfortune in the Buddha's life. That the two types of explanation can be compatible each with the other has been touched on earlier. One need not preclude the other.

3. The Pubbakammapiloti (Pkp)

Pkp is found quoted, as noted, in the $Paramattha-D\bar{\imath}pan\bar{\imath}$ $Ud\bar{a}natthakath\bar{a}$ of Dhammapālācariya. The edited text, which contains minor differences in readings from the edited text as given by Ap, can be found in Ud-a. It is also repeated section of verse by section of verse with as noted a lengthy commentary in Pāli in the $Visuddhajanavil\bar{a}sin\bar{\imath}$ $n\bar{a}ma$ $Apad\bar{a}natthakath\bar{a}$. The edited text as in Ap^{63} and a translation of this text follow. A few significant variant readings are given as well in parentheses. Also given in parentheses are occasional readings from the Sinhalese edition of the $Apad\bar{a}na$ by the Venerable Pandita Talalle

⁶¹ *Ud-a*, pp. 263-66.

 $^{^{62}}$ For edited text of this, see Ap-a, pp. 114-27 and pp. 479-80.

⁶³ *Ap*, pp. 299-301.

Dhammananda Thera when these clarify the text or are significant. 64 The edited text contains twelve *kamma*-s.

- 1. Anotattasarâsanne ramaṇīye silātale nānāratanapajjote nānāgandhavanantare
- 2. Mahatā bhikkhusaṅghena pareto lokanāyako āsīno vyākari tattha pubbakammāni attano:
- 3. 'Suṇotha bhikkhavo mayham yaṃ kammaṃ pakatam mayā
 - pilotiyassa kammassa buddhatthe (Ap S: buddhatte) pi vipaccati.
- 4. Munāli (v.l. Puļāni) nām' aham dhutto pubbe aññāsu jātisu

paccekabuddham Surabhim abbhācikkhim adūsakam.

- 5. Tena kammavipākena niraye saṃsariṃ ciraṃ bahū vassasahassāni dukkhaṃ vedesiṃ vedanaṃ.
- 6. Tena kammâvasesena idha pacchimake bhave abbhakkhānam mayā laddham Sundarīkāya kāraṇā.
- 7. Sabbābhibhussa Buddhassa Nando nām' āsi sāvako tam abbhakkhāya niraye ciram samsaritam mayā.
- 8. Dasavassasahassāni niraye saṃsariṃ ciraṃ manussabhāvaṃ laddhâham abbhakkhānaṃ bahuṃ labhiṃ.
- 9. Tena kammâvasesena Ciñca mānavikā mamaṃ abbhakkhāsi abhūtena janakāyassa aggato.
- 10. Brāhmaņo sutavā āsim ahaṃ sakkatapūjito

⁶⁴ Venerable Pandita Talalle Dhammananda Thera, 1961-83 (hence, *Ap* S), Part I, pp. 548-53.

- mahāvane pañcasate mante vācemi māṇave.
- 11. Tatthâgato Isigaṇo (see v.l.; Ap S: isi Bhīmo)
 pañcâbhiññāmahiddhiko (v.l., Ap S: pañcâbhiñño
 mahiddhiko)

tañ câham āgatam disvā abbhācikkhim adūsakam.

- 12. Tato 'ham avacaṃ sisse: kāmabhogī ayaṃ isi mayhaṃ vibhāsamānassa (Ap S: pi bhāsamānassa) anumodimsu mānavā.
- 13. Tato māṇavakā sabbe bhikkhamānā kulākule (v.l., Ap S: kule kule)
 - mahājanassa ahaṃsu (Ap S: āhaṃsu): kāmabhogī ayaṃ isi.
- 14. Tena kammavipākena pañcabhikkhusatā ime abbhakkhānam labhum sabbe Sundarīkāya kāraṇā.
- 15. Dvemātā-bhātaro pubbe dhanahetu hanim aham pakkhipim giriduggesu silāya ca apimsayim.
- 16. Tena kammavipākena Devadatto silam khipi anguṭṭham piṃsayī pāde mama pāsānasakkharā.
- 17. Pure 'haṃ dārako hutvā kīļamāno mahāpathe paccekabuddhaṃ disvāna magge sakalikaṃ dahiṃ.
- 18. Tena kammavipākena idha pacchimake bhave vadhattham mam Devadatto abhimāre payojayi.
- 19. Hatthâroho pure āsim paccekamunim uttamam piṇḍāya vicarantam tam āsādesim gajen' aham.
- 20. Tena kammavipākena bhanto Nāļāgirī gajo Giribbaje puravare dāruņo mam upāgamī.
- 21. Rājâhaṃ patthivo (v.l. pattiko, satthako) āsiṃ sattiyā purisaṃ haniṃ tena kammavipākena niraye paccasiṃ bhusaṃ.

- 22. Kammuṇo (v.l., Ap S: Kammuno) tassa sesena c'ādiṇṇam (Ap S: idani) sakalaṃ mama pāde chaviṃ pakopesi na hi kammaṃ panassatī (v.l., Ap S: vinassatī).
- 23. Aham kevaṭṭagāmasmim ahum kevaṭṭadārako macchake ghātite disvā janayim somanassakam.
- 24. Tena kammavipākena sīsadukkham ahu mama Sakkesu haññamānesu yadā hani Viduḍabho.
- 25. Phussassâham pāvacane sāvake paribhāsayim yavam khādatha bhuñjatha mā ca bhuñjatha sāliyo.
- 26. Tena kammavipākena temāsam khāditam yavam nimantito brāhmaņena verajjāyam vasim tadā.
- 27. Nibbuddhe vattamānamhi Mallaputtam nisedhayim (Ap S: niheṭhayim)
 - tena kammavipākena piṭṭhidukkham ahu mama.
- 28. Tikicchako aham āsim seṭṭhiputtam virecayim tena kammavipākena hoti pakkhandikā mama.
- 29. Avacâhaṃ Jotipālo sugataṃ Kassapaṃ tadā: kuto nu bodhi muṇḍassa bodhi paramadullabhā?
- 30. Tena kammavipākena ācarim dukkaram bahum chabbassān' Uruvelāyam tato bodhim apāpuņim.
- 31. Nâhaṃ etena maggena pāpuṇiṃ bodhim uttamaṃ kummaggena gavesissaṃ pubbakammena kārito (Ap S: vārito).
- 32. Puññapāpaparikhīno sabbasantāpavajjito asoko anupāyāso nibbāyissam anāsavo.'
- 33. Evam jino viyākāsi bhikkhusanghassa aggato sabbâbhiññābalappatto Anotatte mahāsare ti. Ittham sudam bhagavā attano pubbacaritam

Pubbakammapiloti nāma Buddhâpadānam dhammapariyāyam abhāsi.

Pubbakammapiloti nāma Buddhâpadānam samattam.

- 1-2. Near beautiful Anotatta Lake on a slab of rock having the lustre of diverse gems, within a forest with all kinds of scents, the Lord of the World seated with a great assembly of monks explained there his deeds done in previous existences:
- 3. "Listen, monks, to my deed that I have done. Even for one having Buddha(hood) as his attainment (*Ap* S: Even in Buddhahood) there are results (or, fruit) from a small rag of a deed (or, from the thread of a deed).
- 4-6. "In a past life, among other lives, I was a scoundrel named Munāli. I slandered the innocent *paccekabuddha* Surabhi. As a consequence of that deed, I transmigrated in hell for a long time. Many thousands of rainy seasons I experienced painful feelings. By the remainder of that deed, in this last life I received slander because of Sundarīkā.
- 7-9. "There was a disciple named Nanda of the Buddha Sabbābhibhū. Having slandered him, I transmigrated in hell a long time. For a long time, I transmigrated thousands of decades in hell. After I obtained human existence, I received much slander. By the remainder of that deed, the Brahman girl Ciñca slandered me falsely in front of a group of people.
- 10-14. "I was a Brahman learned in religious knowledge, honored and revered. In a great forest, I am teaching 500 Brahman youths the Vedas. Isigaṇa (Ap S: The

holy man Bhīma; see v.l.), possessing the five higher knowledges, of great power, came there. And having seen him come, I slandered him (even though he was) innocent. Further, I said to the pupils: 'This holy man is enjoying sensual pleasures.' The Brahman youths were thankful of my illumination (Ap S: of my saying so). Afterward, all the Brahman youths, begging alms from household to household, said to the people: 'This holy man is enjoying sensual pleasures.' As a consequence of that deed, these 500 monks all received slander because of Sundarīkā.

- 15-16. "Previously, there were the brothers of two mothers. I killed for the sake of wealth. I hurled (my brother) onto a difficult mountain road and I pounded (him) with a stone. As a consequence of that deed, Devadatta cast a rock. A fragment of rock pounded the great toe on my foot.
- 17-18. "Before, being a child, while playing on a high road (and) seeing a *paccekabuddha*, I put a little piece of rock (or, a potsherd) on the road. As a consequence of that deed, in this last life Devadatta, having killing me as his goal, took into service bandits.
- 19-20. "Before, I was an elephant driver. I assailed with an elephant the highest *paccekamuni* wandering about for alms. As a consequence of that deed, the elephant Nāļāgiri swaggering and pitiless came at me in the noble city of Rājagaha.

- 21-22. "I was an earthly king. 65 I killed a man with a dagger. As a consequence of that deed, I was boiled in hell vehemently. By the remainder of that deed, it hurt the skin on my foot, which was entirely split open (Ap S: now it hurt all the skin on my foot). A deed does not cease to be (v.l., Ap S: does not perish).
- 23-24. "I was a child of a fisherman in a hamlet of fishermen. Having seen fish killed, I was happy. As a consequence of that deed I had a (bad) headache when Viḍuḍabha struck at the Sākyans, killing (them).
- 25-26. "I reviled disciples of the word of Phussa (saying): 'Eat and munch (rough) barley, but do not eat (soft) rice!' As a consequence of that deed, barley was munched for three months. Invited by a Brahman, I lived in Verajjā then.
- 27. "While wrestling was taking place, I restrained (*Ap* S: injured) the son of a wrestler. As a consequence of that deed, I had backache(s).
- 28. "I was a physician. I purged the son of a wealthy merchant. As a consequence of that deed, I had diarrhea (or, dysentery).
- 29-30. "I was Jotipāla, and I said at that time to the *sugata* Kassapa: 'How is the enlightenment of a baldheaded ascetic the enlightenment that is highest and hard to obtain?'

⁶⁵ For 'earthly', *v.l.* footsoldier, or caravan merchant. But how to construe? Perhaps we should understand, a king going on foot, or a king belonging to a caravan.

As a consequence of that deed, I practiced much austerity for six years in Uruvelā. Thereupon (only), I attained enlightenment.

- 31-32. "I did not attain the highest enlightenment by this path. Done (?) by a previous deed (Ap S: Obstructed by a previous deed), I strove by the wrong path. (Both) merit and sin extinct, excluded from all torments, free from sorrow, serene, free from the four intoxicants⁶⁶, I was extinguished."
- 33. The Victor, grown strong with all higher knowledge (abhiñña), illuminated just so in front of the assemblage of monks at the great lake Anotatta. Thus the Lord spoke his previous behaviour, a disquisition on the law, the *Apadāna* of the Buddha named "The Small Rag (i.e. Remnant; or perhaps, Thread) of Previous Deeds". The *Apadāna* of the Buddha named "The Small Rag (or, Thread) of Previous Deeds" is brought to an end.

4. The *Pubbakammapiloti* (*Pkp*) as in the *Detiskarma* padārthayi (BN and UP)

UP adds three verses in Pāli to the above edited *Apadāna* text.

Toward the beginning of the text it adds an additional *kamma*, an action and its consequence, in two verses. It can be suggested that this is original since the first *kamma* of *Ap* reads, *pubbe aññāsu jātisu*, "in a past life, among other lives", the implication being that the narration is a continuation. These two verses as in UP are:

⁶⁶ Sensuality, rebirth (lust of life), speculation, and ignorance.

Gopāliko pure āsīt (=Skt. 3 s. impf.) gāvit pāvemi gocaraṃ / pivante viludakaṃ gāviṃ disvāṇa yaṃ nadīṃ // tena kammavipākena idha pañcemake gavē / uddakatāya ghate mayhaṃ susitaya mahānadiṃ //

Corrected, the text would probably read:

Gopālako pure āsiṃ gāviṃ pājemi gocaraṃ /
pivantim vil(?)udakaṃ gāviṃ disvāna yaṃ nādaṃ (na
adaṃ > nadaṃ > nādaṃ) //
tena kammavipākena idha pacchimake bhave /
udakatthāya (udaka-atthāya) ghaṭe mayhaṃ sussitāyaṃ
(sussitā ayaṃ) mahānadī //

"Previously I was a cowherd. I drove a cow to pasture. Seeing the cow drink muddy water, I did not allow it. As a consequence of that deed, now in this last life For the sake of water in my bowl, this great river was (entirely) dried up."

The metre here is defective in the third $p\bar{a}da$ of each of the two verses.

The third additional verse, at the end of the Pāli listing of *kamma*-s, before the additional *kamma*-s in Sinhalese alone, after being corrected on the basis of parallel text, reads:

susukham vata jīvāma yesam no natthi kiñcanam /

pītibhakkhā bhavissāma devā ābhassarā yathā //

"We live happily, those who are tranquil, even though there is nothing with us.

We will thrive on happiness, just as the radiant gods."

This verse as in UP is much corrupted, though its general outlines are clear enough that it can be identified. The verse occurs in the *Pinḍa Sutta* of the *Saṃyutta Nikāya*. In this *sutta* due to a plot of Māra the Buddha was compelled to starve, as he did not obtain anything when he went in quest of alms. Seeing the Buddha with an empty bowl Māra jokingly remarked that the Buddha was evidently afflicted with hunger. Thereupon the Buddha recited this verse.⁶⁷ The verse also appears in the *Mahājanaka Jātaka*⁶⁸, and in the *Sukhavagga* of the *Dhammapada*⁶⁹. The explanation for the occurrence of this verse here comes in BN. It is the incident of the *Piṇḍa Sutta* that is related in this place in that manuscript. The Sinhalese text is missing here, though, in

 $^{^{67}}$ See the text as in S, vol. 1, pp. 113-14, and the translation of KS, vol. 1, pp. 143-44.

⁶⁸ *J*, vol. 6, p. 55 (*Jātaka* no. 539, vs. 128/248) and SBFB, vol. 6, p. 32.

⁶⁹ See *Dh*, p. 30, vs. 200; J. R. Carter and M. Palihawadana, 1987, p. 255; Irving Babbitt, 1936, p. 32; Nārada Thera, 1954, p. 56; F. Max Müller, 2000, p. 24.

UP. And the text of the following story in UP is so corrupt that except for a few key words it cannot be read.

In BN only the first two verses noted here are indicated. The third verse is indicated in part in Sinhalese in the Sinhalese retelling of the Pinda Sutta. Before the first two verses, however, its word-for-word rendition indicates incompletely still another added verse. The three of these verses as in BN are found with variations in a footnote in Ap S. The extra verse of BN is found embedded in the third verse of the edited text as in Ap. It is noted in the Sinhalese edition that these three verses are found in Thai manuscripts. These verses are:

suṇātha bhikkhave mayhaṃ kammaṃ pakataṃ mayā, ekam araññikaṃ bhikkhuṃ disvā dinnaṃ pilotikaṃ. patthitaṃ paṭhamaṃ buddhaṃ buddhattāya mayā tadā, pilotikassa kammassa buddhattepi vipaccati[.] Gopālako pure āsiṃ gāviṃ pājeti gocaraṃ, pivantiṃ udakaṃ āvilaṃ gāviṃ disvā nivārayiṃ. tena kammavipākena idha pacchimake bhave, pipāsito yadicchakaṃ na hi pātuṃ labhāmahaṃ.

"Listen, monks, to my deed that I have done. Having seen a certain forest monk, I gave a little piece of cloth.

First I wished at that time for the perfect enlightenment of a Buddha.

⁷⁰ *Ap* S, Part I, p. 548.

Even in Buddhahood, there are results (or, fruit) from the small rag of a deed (or, from the thread of a deed). Previously, I was a cowherd. He drove a cow to pasture. Seeing the cow drink muddy water, I did not allow it. As a consequence of that deed, now in this last life When thirsty, I certainly do not get (water) to drink according to liking."

BN, for the UP reading, *viludakam*, reads *āvidakam*, indicating that this should go back to the reading as in the Sinhalese printed text, *udakam āvilam*, except perhaps with the two words transposed. The metre with this reading, however, is also defective.

The Thai edition in Mahidol U CD-ROM contains these verses with occasional variation as part of its text of the *Apadāna*. In the Burmese edition in *CS* CD-ROM this extra text is repeated in a footnote with only occasional variation in the last line. This text is:

Suṇātha bhikkhave mayhaṃ yaṃ kammaṃ pakataṃ mayā ekaṃ araññikaṃ bhikkhuṃ disvā dinnaṃ pilotikaṃ. Patthitaṃ paṭhamaṃ buddhaṃ buddhatāya mayā tadā pilotiyassa kammassa budhatepi vipaccati[.] Gopālako pure āsiṃ gāviṃ pājeti gocaraṃ pivantiṃ udakaṃ āvilaṃ gāviṃ disvā nivārayiṃ. Tena kammavipākena idha pacchimake bhave pipāsito (CS CD-ROM: vipāsito) yathicchakaṃ (CS CD-ROM: yadicchakaṃ) na hi pātuṃ labhāmahaṃ.

The translation would read the same as that given for the Sinhalese edition text above.

In UP and BN we have these extra verses as given in Thai manuscripts indicated in a Sinhalese text, though with the last line different in UP.

BN also begins with a Pāli verse that I have not been able to locate elsewhere in the canon. This verse reads:

Bodhisatto atītasmim pūretvā dasapāramī pāpakam dhammam kārento saṃsāre saṃsaran ṭhito.

"Having fulfilled the ten perfections, the Bodhisatta before this

Abided transmigrating in the ocean of rebirth performing sinful practice."

In the later Pāli literature there is mentioned a group of ten perfections (*dasa pāramiyo*) as the perfect exercise of the ten principal virtues of a Bodhisatta.⁷¹ It is very possible that

These are $d\bar{a}na^{\circ}$ (perfection in giving, or liberality), $s\bar{\imath}la^{\circ}$ (perfection in morality), $nekkhamma^{\circ}$ (renunciation), $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a}^{\circ}$ (wisdom), $viriya^{\circ}$ (energy), $khanti^{\circ}$ (patience), $sacca^{\circ}$ (truthfulness), $adhitth\bar{a}na^{\circ}$ (resolution), $mett\bar{a}^{\circ}$ (loving kindness), and $upekh\bar{a}^{\circ}$ (equanimity). See PED, p. 454b and Nyanatiloka, [1970?], pp. 125-26. In the Mahāyāna scriptures, where the $p\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}$ -s occupy a much more prominent place, a partly differing list of six is given: liberality, morality, patience,

this verse is original to our Pāli text but dropped out, as did the other verses that however are found in the Thai Pāli canon. S. M. Cutler mentions that although the Pkp is described as a buddhāpadāna, its connection with the Buddha and with the Buddhāpadāna, the first section of the Apadāna collection, is obscured by its placement as Therāpadāna 390 (no. 387 in Ap). She then adds that it is possible that the Pkp was originally linked in some way with the Buddhāpadāna. She further points out that in the Apadāna collection, the pāramitā-s are the subject of four verses, verses 73-76, of the Buddhāpadāna. Is it possible that we have here a remnant of the original linkage?

Other variant readings for Pkp in UP include noticeably the omission of verse 11 in the edited text above which mentions specifically Isigaṇa, or perhaps a holy man Bhīma.

energy, concentration (or meditation), and wisdom. Later this list was expanded to ten by the addition of skill in means necessary to help others, profound resolution to produce enlightenment, the ten powers (*bala*), and practice of the *jhāna*-s (progression through various mental states culminating in enhanced psychic vitality). Rarely the list enumerates five or seven *pāramitā*-s. See T. Skorupski, 2002. Alternately see É. Lamotte, 1949. And see T. O. Ling, 1972, pp. 205-206; and further BHSGD, vol. 2, pp. 341b-342a.

⁷² S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 14.

⁷³ S. M. Cutler, 1994, p. 16.

Also, for Suṇotha bhikkhavo in verse 3a, UP reads Suṇetha bhikkhave. For vipaccati in verse 3d, it reads vividhati. For jātisu in verse 4b, jātiyā. For manussabhāvaṃ in verse 8c, manusayoniṃ. For aham āsiṃ in verse 28a, pure āsiṃ. For virecayiṃ in verse 28b, virocayiṃ. For pubbakammena in verse 31d, puṅkakammena. This latter as a reading is probably derived from an abbreviation for pubbakammena in Sinhalese script. It is repeated again in the final summation of the Sinhalese text.

5. The Destiskarma padārthkayi (BN and UP)

A problem occurs as to why our text refers to itself as treating thirty-two kamma-s, since in UP there are only seventeen kamma-s treated, and in BN there are only twentytwo kamma-s treated. The Pāli text as in Ap, as noted, contains only twelve kamma-s. For a while I considered counting actions and their consequences separately for UP. If we do this and as well count two two-fold consequences separately as two kamma-s each, we arrive at the number thirty-two. This falls apart, though, when we turn to BN, and we consider that after each action when and its consequence(s) in the Sinhalese text, there is the refrain, "This, O monks, is one *karma*."

The more likely solution is that the number thirty-two in the title of the text is symbolic, and that it is specified perhaps to indicate that the *kamma*-s in the text are representative of the totality of the Buddha's bad *kamma*. Note that the number of Vedic deities is calculated to be thirty-two. These represent the entirety of the *sat*, the realm

of being. Also, there are thirty-two characteristic marks of a *mahāpurisa*, a great man, thirty-two impurities of the body, etc. Also note that *Ap* contains thirty-two verses before the final summation. This suggests that the extra verses found in Thai manuscripts, with an extra *kamma*, were dropped to achieve a text of thirty-two verses total. As noted above, there is indication in the current edited text that these verses are original. So also, perhaps, the extra Pāli verse found at the beginning of BN. In general, there is auspicious value given to the number thirty-two in Indic tradition. This may be behind the explanation as to why the Sinhalese tradition has expanded the number of *kamma*-s to thirty-two, and why the *Apadāna* tradition has manipulated the number of verses in *Pkp*.

There follow the stories as told in BN. These are fuller than the $P\bar{a}$ li text. I provide here the account as in BN since its text is less corrupt that that of UP, and since it contains in all but one case not only the additional stories of UP but others as well. The additional story of UP not found in BN is given at the end of the presentation of BN along with a section of prose summation found in UP but not in BN. This latter corresponds to Pkp, vss. 31-32, and 33. Along with each story as given in BN there are given identifications of persons and places mentioned, cross-references to DPPN, and some additional notes. As well noted here are any significant differences in the stories as related in UP.

Buddha confessed at Anavatatta (P. Anotatta) Lake⁷⁴ that when he was a Bodhisatta he did *akusalakarma*-s

Anotatta Lake is one of the seven great lakes of Himavā. Five mountain peaks, Sudassanakūta, Citrakūta, Kālakūta, Gandhamādana, and Kelāsa surround it. A wind called Siñcanakavāta (sprinkling wind) takes water from the Anotatta Lake and sprinkles the Gandhamādana Mountain with it. To be bathed in the waters of the lake is to be thoroughly cleansed. The Buddha would often go to Anotatta for his ablutions and proceed from there to Uttarakuru for alms, returning to the lake to have a meal and spend the hot part of the day on its banks. Sometimes the Buddha would go there with a company of monks and preach or make proclamations. For Anotatta Lake see DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 96-99.

(unwholesome deeds) and underwent the consequences.⁷⁵ Listen to the wrong deeds in the past.

Ia. "I was born as a householder in a family of herdsmen. I was driving herd to pasture. I prevented cattle from drinking muddy water in a pool."

This was a demeritorious deed.

⁷⁵ A gloss in BN states that this took place on Gandhamādana Mountain. Gandhamādana is one of the five mountain ranges that encircle Anotatta. It is beyond the seven ranges of Cullakāļa, Mahākāļa, Nāgapalivethana, Candagabbha, Suriyagabbha, Suvaṇṇapassa, and Himavā. It is crowned with a tableland, is green in color, and is covered with medicinal plants. It is not explicitly mentioned that all *paccekabuddha*-s die on Gandhamādana, but the inference seems to be such. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 746-48.

That the Sinhalese text here relates the story entirely in the first person reflects the Pāli text as in UP as against the Pāli text for this story reported elsewhere (see above).

Ib. "As a result, in this birth as Buddha, when the great *thera* (elder) Ānanda⁷⁷ went to a river with clear water to

⁷⁷ Ānanda was one of the principal disciples of the Buddha. He was a cousin of the Buddha and was deeply attached to him. During the first twenty years after the enlightenment, the Buddha did not have the same personal servants all the time. From time to time various monks looked after him. At the end of twenty years, at an assembly of the monks, the Buddha declared that he was advanced in years and desired to have somebody as his personal body-servant, one who would respect his wishes in every way. All the great disciples offered their services, but were rejected by the Buddha. Ananda alone was left. The Buddha signified that he wished to have Ananda, and the latter agreed to accept the post on certain conditions which insured that people would not say that he was performing his services for special considerations, and which insured that people would trust him and realize that the Buddha had real regard for him. After this, for twenty-five years Ananda waited on the Buddha, following him like a shadow, bringing him water and toothpick, washing his feet, accompanying him everywhere, sweeping his cell, and so forth. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 249-68. The above story in BN and UP is not mentioned in DPPN.

fetch me water to quench my thirst, the water in that river dried up. This, O monks, is one *karma*. ⁷⁸

IIa. "I was born in a family (P. and Sinh. *kula*) of tailors named Munāli. I observed *paccekabuddha-s*. I came across a virtuous *paccekabuddha* walking in the city begging for alms. With a defiled mind I abused him, accusing him of saying and doing things which he had not said or done. I said that ascetic had been having sexual intercourse with women. Because of this past action, I was scorched in hell (P. and Sinh. *niraya*) for a long time.

IIb. "In this last life, as a consequence of this, I had a similar accusation about an ascetic woman named Sundarī

⁷⁸ This story reflects the Pāli verse as in UP. The Sinhalese story as in UP, further reflecting the second additional verse added to the Pāli text as in UP, translates, "Now in this life, when thirsty, I asked Ānanda to bring some water. He went to the river with my bowl. The river usually had clear, cool water. When Ānanda went, it dried up. This is one of the consequences of my past actions."

⁷⁹ Citing the *Apadāna* account, Munāli is mentioned briefly in DPPN, vol. 2, p. 645. According to the Sinhalese account above, Munāli is the name of a tailor family. The Thai edition of the *Apadāna* in Mahidol U CD-ROM gives the name as Punāli. See the *v.l.* in the text above, Puļāni. The Burmese edition in *CS* CD-ROM gives the name as Munāļi.

(P. Sundarī, Sundarīkā). She has been telling the public that she has been living with me in the perfumed chamber. In this way, she has disgraced me.⁸⁰ This, O monks, is one *karma*.

IIIa. "During the time of the Buddha named Vessabhū⁸¹, I abused unwarrantably one of his disciples named Nanda⁸² saying that a certain woman had become pregnant by him. I thereby insulted him. Because of that I have been boiled and wandering in hell for a long time. This is due to the accusation of a virtuous monk. Because of accusations of this type, I have been undergoing torment for thousands and thousands of years in hell. Then when I was

⁸⁰ For a fuller version of the incident involving Sundarī, see DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 1216-17.

⁸¹ Vessabhū was the twenty-first of the twenty-four Buddhas. He is mentioned as having been sixty cubits in height, and to have lived for 60,000 years. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 947-48. *Pkp* refers to him as Sabbābhibhū.

Nanda was a disciple of the Buddha Sabbābhibhū according to the Pāli text. It was as a result of slandering him in a previous life that the Buddha in his last life was slandered by Ciñca-mānavikā. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 13. DPPN construes this event also to have taken place during the Buddha's former life as Munāli. UP omits the name of the disciple accused by the Buddha in his former life in its Sinhalese text.

born as a human I have been abused and insulted in various places of things that I neither said nor did. 83

For this story, given more fully, in Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna tradition see J. J. Jones, 1949-56, vol. 1, pp. 29-39.

IIIb. "In my last birth as Buddha, when I was preaching to the fourfold assembly at Jetavana Grove⁸⁴, a courtesan

⁸⁴ a park in Sāvatthi, in which was built the Jetavana is Anāthapindikārāma. When the Buddha accepted Anāthapindika's invitation to visit Savatthi the latter, seeking a suitable place for the Buddha's residence, discovered this park belonging to Jetakumāra. When he asked to be allowed to buy it, Jeta's reply was: "Not even if you could cover the whole place with money." Anathapindika said he would buy it at that price. And when Jeta answered that he had no intention of making a bargain, the matter was taken before the Lords of They decided that if the price mentioned were paid, Justice. Anāthapindika had the right of purchase. Anāthapindika had gold brought down in carts and covered Jetavana with pieces laid side by side. Anāthapindika built on the grounds dwelling rooms, retiring rooms, store rooms and service halls, halls with fireplaces, closets, cloisters, halls for exercise, wells, bathrooms, ponds, open and roofed sheds, etc. The Buddha spent nineteen rainy seasons in Jetavana. It is said that after the Migāramātupāsāda came into being, the Buddha would dwell alternately in Jetavana and Migāramātupāsāda, often spending the day in one and the night in the other. Near Jetavana was evidently a monastery of heretics where Ciñcī spent her nights while

Ciñcī (P. Ciñcī, Ciñca-mānavikā) of that city reviled me feigning that she was with child because of me. ⁸⁵ Ciñcī had a bunch of sticks tied to her stomach and covered it with a cloth, and when I was preaching a discourse, she came in front of me and insulted me in this manner: 'Now you are preaching to *siṭṭhāna* (P. *seṭṭhi*, banker) Anepiṇḍu (P. Anāthapiṇḍika)⁸⁶ and Visākhā⁸⁷, but I am left without

hatching her conspiracy against the Buddha. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 963-66.

⁸⁵ For Ciñcī and her conspiracy against the Buddha, see DPPN, vol. 1, p. 864 under Ciñca-mānavakā. Ciñcī is standardly said to have come before the Buddha and charged him with irresponsibility and callousness in that he made no provisions for her pregnancy as he preached to a vast congregation. The references to Ciñcī in DPPN simply refer to Ciñcī as the member of a heretical ascetic order that found their gains had grown less owing to the popularity of the Buddha. According to the Sinhalese account in UP, though, they are Niganthas, or Jains. This is not reflected in BN.

Anāthapiṇḍika was a banker of Sāvatthi who became famous because of his unparalleled generosity to the Buddha. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 67-72.

⁸⁷ Visākhā was related to Anāthapiņḍika by marriage. His daughter-in-law was Visākhā's youngest sister. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 68 and vol.

requisites for my maternity. Now I am in advanced pregnancy and you are trying to entrust me to these people in the assembly without supplying me with oil and pepper.' She insulted me in this manner. Then (a god) came as a rat and cut the band around her body. The people in the assembly took stones and clubs and beat (perhaps, killed) her. 88 This, O monks, is one *karma*.

IVa. "Once I was a Brahman well-versed in the three Vedas. For the sake of gain, I wanted to teach the three Vedas to 500 Brahmans. They were learning the three Vedas and were rewarding me. At that time an ascetic who had attained to the fivefold knowledge and eightfold attainments came to that forest. Thinking that if the students were to come to know the greatness of the ascetic they would not

^{2,} p. 904. Visākhā was the chief among the female lay disciples of the Buddha, declared by him to be the foremost of those who ministered to the order. Visākhā owned such a great reputation for bringing good fortune that the people of Sāvatthi always invited her to their houses on festivals and holidays. Visākhā fed 500 monks daily at her house. In the afternoon she visited the Buddha, and, after listening to his sermon, would go round the monastery inquiring into the needs of the monks and nuns. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 900-904.

In the corresponding Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna story in the *Mahāvastu*, the name of the Buddha's accuser in this life is lost. See J. J. Jones, 1949-56, vol. 1, p. 38.

treat me well, I told these: 'This ascetic is associating with a woman. He is devoid of virtue, and is crafty. Believe me.' So I insulted this sage who possessed psychic powers. When this ascetic went in search of alms to the village, the 500 students went to the people of the village and told them that this ascetic was attached to the fivefold sensual desires, was a thief, and was cunning. So the students got the citizens to insult the ascetic.

IVb. "Because of this bad deed, in this last birth of mine when I was travelling in the city of Kosambī⁸⁹ begging alms, a Brahman lady named Māgandi (P. Māgandiyā) conceived hatred toward me, bribed the citizens of Kosambī, and told them: 'When Gautama comes to this city, you should insult him. You should chase this wretched fellow out of the city.' At the time ... (the Blessed One) went to the city, Māgandi collected some people from the city and began to scold me. She abused the Buddha and the *saṅgha* in this manner: 'Ye cunning recluse! Idiot! ... Confused one! One like a camel! Bull! ... You like one born in hell! You are like a four-footed animal! You are not destined to attain *nirvāṇa* (P. *nibbāna*)! You will always be in misery!' They chased me for a full six days in this manner. This, O monks, is one *karma*.

⁸⁹ Kosambī was the capital of the Vatsas or Vamsas. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 692-94.

⁹⁰ Māgandiyā had been offered in marriage to the Buddha by her father, but the offer was rejected, the Buddha referring to her as a "vessel of

Va. "There were two brothers of two mothers (and one father). The elder brother killed the younger brother by piercing him and throwing him off a precipice to get his

filth". Her uncle took her to Udena, king of Kosambī, who made her his chief consort. When the Buddha came to Kosambī, Māgandiyā planned her revenge. Among other plans, Māgandiyā hired a slave to revile and abuse the Buddha in the streets. Ananda suggested to the Buddha that they should go elsewhere. The Buddha answered: "I am like the elephant who has entered the fray. I must endure the darts that come upon me." After seven days the abuse ceased. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 596-97. BN refers to the abuse as having lasted a full six days. UP, though, reads here that the abuse lasted seven days. The story as related by DPPN is related differently in the Sinhalese account above. Also, Pkp does not mention the incident. It refers instead to the 500 monks, who were Brahmans who reviled a holy man named Isigana, or a holy man named Bhīma perhaps, at the Buddha's instigation in a previous life, as having been slandered by Sundarīkā in this life. The Sinhalese text, though not mentioning by name Isigana, or a holy man Bhīma, relates the earlier deed to the behavior of Māgandiyā toward the Buddha. It thus relates the earlier deed to a kamma of the Buddha himself. Isigana and Bhīma are mentioned briefly, with this passage as the reference, in DPPN, vol. 1, p. 319 and vol. 2, p. 382.

property, etc. I was this brother. Because of that evil deed I was born in hell for a number of years.

Vb. "Because of that demeritorious deed, in this present life when I was walking on the Gijikulu Mountain (P. Gijjhakūṭapabbata)⁹¹ Devadatta rolled on me rocks from that mountain, whereupon two rocks sprang from the earth and held them. But a particle of one came and struck my foot like a strong man attacking with an axe.⁹² This, O monks, is one *karma*.

⁹¹ Gijjhakūṭa is one of the five hills encircling Rājagaha. The Buddha seems to have been attracted to its solitude, and is mentioned as having visited it on several occasions, sometimes even in the dark, in drizzling rain, while Māra made unsuccessful attempts to frighten him. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 762-64.

Devadatta was the son of the maternal uncle of the Buddha, Suppabuddha, and the brother of the Buddha's wife, Bhaddakaccānā, or Yasodharā. When the Buddha visited Kapilavatthu after the enlightenment and preached to the Sākyans, Devadatta was converted. For some time he seems to have enjoyed great honor in the Order. Devadatta was later suspected of evil wishes. About eight years before the Buddha's death, Devadatta, eager for gain and favor, and jealous of the Buddha's fame, attempted to win over Ajātasattu. The success of this encouraged Devadatta in his schemes, and he conceived the idea of taking the Buddha's place as leader of the *sangha*. Among other

VIa. "Once as a child I was playing on a road with other children. I, having seen a *paccekabuddha* passing that way for begging in the city, obstructed his way with stones and gravel. Then I threw a stone at him. ⁹³

VIb. "As a consequence of this bad action, when I was living in Nigrodhārāma⁹⁴ in this last birth my father-in-law, the Sākyan king Suprabuddha (P. Suppabuddha), developed a great hatred against me saying that I left his daughter

schemes, one day, when the Buddha was walking on the slopes of Gijjhakūta, Devadatta hurled down on the Buddha a great rock. Two peaks sprung up from the ground, thereby arresting its rushing advance. But a splinter struck the Buddha's foot, causing blood to flow. According to DPPN, *Apadāna* ii.300 f. [= *Pkp*?] explains that all the plans of Devadatta to harm the Buddha were the result of the Buddha's previous evil deeds. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 1106-11 and vol. 1, p. 763. For the little piece of rock, or perhaps potsherd of *Pkp*, the Sinhalese text as in BN substitutes stones and gravel. The Sinhalese text as in UP substitutes charcoal. *Pkp* does not note that a stone was thrown at the *paccekabuddha*.

⁹⁴ Nigrodhārāma was a grove near Kapilavatthu where a residence was provided for the Buddha when he visited the city in the first year after his enlightenment. It belonged to a Sākyan named Nigrodha, who gave it to the Order. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 70-71.

Yasodharā⁹⁵ and went begging in the street, and that I ordained his prince Devadatta without his consent. Then, when I was entering the inner city in the company of monks, he obstructed our way with a crowd of people ... I was therefore compelled to return to the monastery with my fellow monks. Due to the evil deed of pelting stones at the

Yasodharā is also known as Bhaddakaccā (or, Bhaddakaccānā) and Rāhulamātā, among other names. She was Gotama's wife and Rāhula's mother. She was born the same day as the Bodhisatta, and married Gotama at the age of sixteen. Gotama left household life on the day of the birth of his son Rāhula. It is said that just before he left home he took a last look at his wife from the door of her room, not daring to go nearer lest he should awake her. When the Buddha paid his first visit to Kapilavatthu after the enlightenment, he begged in the street for alms on the second day of that visit. The news spread and Yasodharā looked out of her window to see if it were true. She saw the Buddha, and was struck by the glory of his personality. She then uttered eight verses in its praise. These verses have been handed down under the name of *Narasīhagāthā*. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 693 and vol. 2, pp. 741-42 under Rāhulamātā.

⁹⁶ Suppabuddha was a Sākyan prince, and the father of Bhaddakaccānā and Devadatta. Thus he was the father-in-law of the Buddha. It is said that he was offended by the Buddha deserting his daughter and for

paccekabuddha, Devadatta bribed 500 archers and made them shoot arrows at me when I was walking on Gijukulu Mountain. O monks, this is (still) another karma.⁹⁷

being hostile to Devadatta. One day he took strong drink and blocked the Buddha's path, refusing to move in spite of the repeated requests of the monks. The Buddha thereupon turned back. Ānanda seeing the Buddha smile and enquiring the reason for the smile, was told that at the end of seven days Suppabuddha would be swallowed up by the earth at the foot of his stairs. Suppabuddha overheard this, and tried to prevent it from happening. But it came to pass as the Buddha had predicted. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 1220-21.

⁹⁷ Neither *Pkp* nor UP mention the first part of the consequence noted in BN regarding Suppabuddha. UP reads only, "As a consequence of this bad action, now as Buddha in this past birth Devadatta has bribed 500 archers when I was walking about wandering the Gijjakūṭapabbata and they shot arrows at me. So monks, this is one of my *karma*-s." *Pkp* refers to a bandit taken into service by Devadatta, who struck the Buddha. The Sinhalese text here refers to 500 archers bribed by Devadatta, who shot arrows at the Buddha. The story as related by DPPN, vol. 1, p. 1108 is that Devadatta instigated Ajātasattu to provide him with royal archers to shoot the Buddha. These were placed on different paths, one on one path, two on another, and so on

VIIa. "Once I was an elephant keeper. When I was riding an elephant, I met a *paccekabuddha* on my way and I turned the elephant toward him in order to frighten him for amusement.

VIIb. "As a consequence of that action, in this last birth of mine while I was going on my alms round in Rājagaha⁹⁸,

up to sixteen, and the plan was so laid that not one of them would survive to tell the tale. But when the Buddha approached the first man, he was terrified by the Buddha's majesty, and his body became stiff. The Buddha spoke kindly to him, and the man, throwing away his weapons, confessed his intended crime. The Buddha thereupon preached to him and, having converted him, sent him back by a different path. The other groups of archers, tired of waiting, gave up the vigil and went away one after the other. The different groups were led to the Buddha by his *iddhi*-power, and he preached to them and converted them. The first man returned to Devadatta saying that he was unable to kill the Buddha because of his great *iddhi*-power.

⁹⁸ Rājagaha was the capital of Magadha. It was one of the six chief cities of the Buddha's time. Rājagaha was closely associated with the Buddha's work. It is the scene of several important *suttas*. Many of the *vinaya* rules were enacted at Rājagaha. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 721-24.

Devadatta set upon me (the elephant) Nālāgiri after giving it toddy to drink. 99 This, O monks, is one *karma*.

VIIIa. "Once I was in the service of a king.¹⁰⁰ On the order of the king, I stabbed a man. As a consequence of this action, I was boiled in hells for a long time. But even then the consequences of that action were not over.

VIIIb. "In this last birth as Buddha, the skin of my foot came off. This, O monks, is one *karma*.

IXa. "Once I was born as the son of a fisherman. I observed fishermen catching fish with their nets and putting the fish in a heap. I watched that heap of fish with joy. Because of that action, for several births I suffered from headaches.

⁹⁹ By the story as told by DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 1108-1109, Devadatta persuaded elephant-keepers to let loose the fierce elephant Nālāgiri (or Dhanapāla), drunk with toddy, on a road by which the Buddha would pass. The news spread rapidly, and the Buddha was warned, but refused to turn back. As the elephant advanced he pervaded it with love, and thus completely subdued it. See also DPPN, vol. 2, p. 58.

¹⁰⁰ According to UP, the Buddha in his former life was in the service of a king as a footsoldier. This latter is not specified in BN. *Pkp* would seem to read that the Buddha was in his former life an earthly king. But see note 65 above.

IXb. "In this last birth as Buddha, I had headaches, too. As a result of this, my Sākyan relatives¹⁰¹ of Kiṃbulvat (P.

¹⁰¹ For the Sākyas, see DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 969-72

Kapilavatthu)¹⁰² were killed and piled up by Viḍūḍabha.¹⁰³ This, O monks, is one *karma*.

¹⁰² Kapilavatthu was a city near the Himālaya. It was the capital of the Sākyans, to which tribe the Buddha belonged. Near the city was the Lumbinīvana where the Buddha was born. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 516-20.

Vidūdabha was the son of King Pasenadi of Kosala and the daughter of the Sākyan chieftain, Mahānāma, by a slave-girl, Nāgamunda. The Sākyans were vassals of King Pasenadi of Kosala. Pasenadi had wished to establish connection with the Buddha's family by marrying one of the daughters of a Sākyan chief. But the Sākyans decided that it would be beneath their dignity to marry one of their daughters to the king of Kosala. But as they dared not refuse Pasenadi's request, they solved the difficulty by giving him Mahānāma's daughter by a slave-girl. When Pasenadi discovered the trick, he deprived his wife and her son of all their honors, but restored them on the intervention of the Buddha. Later, when Vidūdabha, who had vowed vengeance on the Sakyans for the insult offered to his father, became king, he marched into Kapilavatthu and there massacred the Sākyans, including women and children. The Buddha felt himself powerless to save them from their fate because they had committed sin in a previous life by throwing poison into a river. Only a few escaped.

Xa. "Once I was a layman. At that time, a disciple monk of the Buddha Phussa¹⁰⁴ was learning Pāli texts. Seeing that the monk had abundant alms, I teased him saying: 'This monk's grains (barley) are good to eat. But of them, rice made of *äl* paddy is good for me.' ¹⁰⁵

Xb. "As a result of the evil *karma* of asking a virtuous monk to eat barley grains out of abundant alms, now in my last birth as Buddha, when a Brahman invited me for alms during the *vas* season (P. *vassa*, rainy season retreat), Māra¹⁰⁶ made me (*i.e.*, him?) forget that invitation. When I

See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 971-72. The details of Vidūdabha's invasion of Kapilavatthu are given more fully in DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 517-18.

¹⁰⁴ Phussa was the eighteenth of the twenty-four Buddhas. He lived for 90,000 years. His body was fifty-eight cubits high. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 257.

 $^{^{105}}$ In fact, rice made of $\ddot{a}l$ paddy is preferable to eat over barley grains. Pkp would have the slander be directed at disciples, in the plural, of the Buddha Phussa. For a parallel to this story in Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna tradition, see the story of one of the Buddha's previous births in the Gilgit manuscripts' account of "Buddha at Vairambha" as recounted by Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, p. 5.

¹⁰⁶ Māra is generally regarded as the personification of Death, the Evil One, the Tempter, and is the Buddhist counterpart of the Devil or Principle of Destruction. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 611-20.

went to Verañjā, there was a famine there at the time and the 500 monks and myself came out of the village not obtaining any food. Then we went to the market fair of 500 horse dealers who came from the province of Uttarāṅga. Each horse dealer offered us barley grains sufficient for his own use. We ate that much on that day. And during the full three months of the *vas* season, the horse dealers continued making that offering in like manner. This, O monks, is one *karma*.

XIa. "In the past, at one time I was born in the Mallava (wrestler) *kula*. Hearing that another wrestler had come to my place, I went to him, saying, 'So, you came to wrestle

¹⁰⁷ Verañjā is a town in which the Buddha once spent the rainy season at the invitation of the Brahman Verañja. Regarding Verañjā, and the above story, see DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 929-30.

Uttarānga is Uttarapatha, the northern division of Jambudīpa, or India. Its extent is not entirely clear. The chief divisions included in this territory are mentioned in Pāli literature as Kasmīra-Gandhāra and Kamboja. This region was famous from very early times for its horses and horse-dealers, and horses were brought down for sale from there to such cities as Benares. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 363.

¹⁰⁹ For a parallel to this story in Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna tradition, see in the Gilgit manuscripts the account of the "Buddha at Vairambha" as recounted by Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 4-5.

with me. Ha!'. I closed the door, pinched him down, and wrung his back.

XIb. "As a result of that karma, now as Buddha I suffered from backache. Now, (although) I have the strength of ten crores of elephants of the $k\bar{a}lava$ species¹¹⁰, a master wrestler named Pukkusa tackled me firmly. The elder Ānanda, at my request, went to him and released me from his grip. O monks, this is another karma.

XIIa. "In the past, I was born in a certain family and was practicing medicine. I treated a certain wealthy merchant with purgation. When I noticed that he was not going to pay me, I was angry and gave him some incompatible medicine, thereby causing him to suffer. 112

XIIb. "Because of that demeritorious deed, in this birth as Buddha, when I was living in Rājagaha I was greatly suffering from indigestion and Jīvaka gave me some

¹¹⁰ This is the lowest of ten species of elephants, having the strength of ten men

Pukkusa was a Mallarājaputta, a prince of the wrestlers. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 214. This story is not related in DPPN. It is told here in the Sinhalese text only.

¹¹² In the corresponding Pāli verse, it is the son of the merchant who is treated. Also, it is not noted there that the Buddha in his previous life saw that the merchant was not going to pay, or that he gave him an incompatible medicine because of this.

medicine and made me purge thirty times. ¹¹³ This, O monks, is one *karma*.

¹¹³ Jīvaka, or Jīvaka-Komārabhacca, was a celebrated physician. He was the son of Sālavatī, a courtesan of Rājagaha. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 957-58. DPPN relates that once when the Buddha was ill. Jīvaka found it necessary to administer a purge, and he had fat rubbed into the Buddha's body and gave him a handful of lotuses to smell. Jīvaka was away when the purgative acted, and suddenly remembered that he had omitted to ask the Buddha to bathe in warm water to complete the The Buddha read his thoughts and bathed as required. See Mahāvagga 8, 1, 30-33 of the Vinaya Pitaka for this story (Vin. vol. 1, pp. 278-80; VT, vol. 2, pp. 191-93). The corresponding Pāli verse to the Sinhalese text here just notes that the Buddha had diarrhea, or perhaps dysentery, as a consequence of his earlier deed. The Pāli text reads that the Buddha suffered from pakkhandikā, which clearly means "diarrhea" or "dysentery". In the Vinaya Piţaka passage, the Buddha suffers from dosā "disorder of the three humours". UP, as against BN, as well reads that the Buddha suffered from diarrhea, not indigestion. The idea of indigestion or diarrhea being caused by a previous karma corresponds to the Ayurvedic concept of some diseases arising due to karma. See the essay on "Nosology in Ayurveda: Data from a Pāli Canonical Text" in J. Liyanaratne, 1999, pp. 72-83. This paper treats

XIIIa. "In the past, I was born as the Brahman Jotipāla during the time of the Buddha Kāśyapa (P. Kassapa)¹¹⁴. I was not aware of the existence of this Buddha and for the first time I heard of this Buddha from my friend, a potter named Ghaṭīkāra¹¹⁵. Not believing my friend's words. I said: 'There is no Buddha.' Then the potter said: 'Yesterday I was to the Buddha Kāśyapa and I listened to him preaching. Today I thought of attending one of his discourses again in your company.' Even then the Brahman did not believe him and said: 'You are telling lies. The Buddha, supreme in the three worlds, is indeed a rare phenomenon. How can there

the names and classification of diseases (nosology) in the *Girimānanda Sutta* of the *Aṅguttara Nikāya* and in its commentary in the *Monorathapūraṇī*, and compares this to the classical Ayurvedic theories of Caraka, Suśruta, and Vāgbhaṭa.

- Kassapa was the twenty-fourth Buddha, the third of the present aeon, and one of the seven Buddhas mentioned in the Pāli canon. He is the Buddha immediately preceding Gotama. His body was twenty cubits high and he lived for 20,000 years. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 544-47.
- Ghaṭīkāra was a potter of Vehalinga who looked after his blind parents in the time of Kassapa Buddha. At the time of Ghaṭīkāra the Bodhisatta was a young Brahman named Jotipāla. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 823-24. See also DPPN, vol. 1, p. 545 and vol. 1, p. 971.

be such a great being at this time? ...' Thus I denied the existence of Buddha. 116

XIIIb. "Due to the evil deed of saying through ignorance that the omniscient Buddha Kāśyapa was not a Buddha, now in this birth I found it difficult to attain Buddhahood. Whereas other Buddhas became such after seven days or a few months after their renunciation, as for me, I became Buddha only after a full six years (of exertion) in the province of Uruvelā. This, O monks, is one *karma*.

Jotipāla was the Bodhisatta born as a Brahman of Vehalinga in the time of Kassapa Buddha. The insulting remark made by Jotipāla regarding Kassapa Buddha led to Gotama, in his last life, having to practice austerities for a longer period than did the other Buddhas. The memory of what he did as Jotipāla was one of the things that made the Buddha smile. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 971.

Uruvelā was a locality on the banks of the Nerañjarā, in the neighborhood of the *bodhi*-tree at Buddhagayā. Here, the Bodhisatta practiced during six years the most severe penances. His companions were the Pañcavaggiya monks who, however, left him when he relaxed the severity of his austerities. The place chosen by the Bodhisatta for his penances was called Senānigama. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 435-36.

XIV. "After becoming Buddha, I went to a Brahman village called Pañcasālā¹¹⁸ to beg for alms. Due to an evil deed of a previous life, the people of that village were possessed of Māra and I was deprived of obtaining even a morsel of food (literally, 'even rice and betel'). So, I left that village on an empty stomach and said, "Ye Māra, today I spend the day in joy like the radiant Brahmans." This, O monks, is one *karma*. ¹¹⁹

XV. "Again in the past I had done another demeritorious deed. Because of that, at the time I was dwelling in the village called Beluva, I surrended my life to

Pañcasālā was a Brahman village of Magadha where the Buddha begged alms after becoming Buddha. The Buddha received no alms due to Māra, by whom the people were possessed. The story is told in the *Pinḍa Sutta* of the *Saṃyutta Nikāya*. See the text as in *S*, vol. 1, pp. 113-14, and the translation of KS, vol. 1, pp. 143-44. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 105.

¹¹⁹ UP omits this story in Sinhalese, but includes in its place the Pāli verse referred to in BN. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this story in Pkp.

Māra and was lying down with abdominal hemorrhaging. 120 Then, the great king of gods, Sak (Skt. Śakra, P. Sakka) 121,

Beluva was a village near Vesāli where the Buddha spent his last *vassa* (rainy season retreat). He fell grievously ill during this period, but, by a great effort of will, overcame his sickness. He felt it would not be right for him to die without addressing his followers and taking leave of the Order. This is one of several times when Māra approached the Buddha and requested him to die, we are told. During this sickness in Beluva, Sakka ministered to the Buddha, waiting on him and carrying on his head the Buddha's stools when he suffered from accute dysentery. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 313-14, esp. p.313, n. 2, and under the discussion of Māra, vol. 2, p. 618.

¹²¹ Sakka is almost always spoken of as chief, or king, of the gods. He rules over the heavenly sphere Tāvatiṃsa, a heaven of the lower plane. His palace is Vejayanta, and his chariot bears the same name. He was considered by the early Buddhists to be a god of high character, kindly and just, but not perfect, and not very intelligent. Sakka's devotion to the Buddha and his religion is proverbial. When the Bodhisatta cut off his hair and threw it into the sky, Sakka took it and deposited it in the Cūļāmaṇi-cetiya. He was present near the *bodhi*-tree, blowing his Vijayuttara-sankha, when Māra arrived to prevent the Buddha from reaching enlightenment. And so forth. Sakka appears as the guardian

removed the blood oozing from my body and attended on me. This, O monks, is one *karma*. 122

of moral law in the world. When wickedness is rampant among men, or kings become unrighteous, he appears among them to frighten them so that they may do good instead of evil. T. W. Rhys Davids has suggested that Sakka and Indra, with whom he shares many epithets, are independent conceptions. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 957-65.

This story is referred to incompletely and in very corrupt Sinhalese text in UP. It figures as no. XIV in my numbering of stories as in that manuscript. There is no corresponding $P\bar{a}li$ text for this story in Pkp.

XVIa. "At one time, in the great *Vessantara Jātaka*¹²³, when the two children were given to the Brahman Jūjaka¹²⁴, the two children, crying, saw a moment of inattention of the haggard Brahman and tearing away the creepers that tied their hands, came running back. The Brahman chased behind them, and for a second time the two children were given over to him, hands tied. Then, seeing the wicked

¹²³ For the story of the *Vessantara Jātaka* (No. 547), see DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 594-97.

Jūjaka was a Brahman of Dunnivittha in Kalinga. He was given a young maiden in repayment for a debt, but because she was praised for her virtues, the other wives in the village grew jealous of her and mocked her as an old man's darling. Thereafter she refused to go to the village well, and suggested that Jūjaka should obtain as slaves the children of Vessantara, then living as an ascetic in Vankagiri. After many adventures Jūjaka found Vessantara, was allowed to have the two children, Jāli and Kanhājinā, and having tied their hands together, took them away. After he had traveled sixty leagues, the gods led him to Jetuttara where the children's grandfather reigned as king. The king bought the children back from Jūjaka at a very great price and gave him choice foods to eat. Jūjaka, having over-eaten and being unable to digest the food, died on the spot. He is identified with Devadatta. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 961-62.

Brahman taking away the children and beating them with a stick under my own eyes, and also seeing the children looking back (at me) crying and worshipping me with hands placed on their heads, I became angry with the Brahman. Overwhelmed with sorrow I thought, 'This wretched Brahman is beating my children even in front of me. Should I strike him with my sword?' With that thought, I looked at the sword. I stared angrily at the uncouth Brahman's face thinking as to whether I should tie him up with a creeper. With that thought, I looked at a creeper. Further, when I enjoyed riches as a great monarch as in the case of the *Vessantara Jātaka*, I gave away innumerable times from Buddhahood up to *parinirvāṇa* jewels of sons like Jāliya (P. Jāli)¹²⁵, daughters like Kṛṣṇajinā (P. Kaṇhājinā)¹²⁶, dainty

¹²⁵ Jāli was the son of Vessantara and Maddī, and brother of Kaṇhājinā. He and his sister were given to Jūjaka as slaves, but were later rescued by the intervention of Sakka. Jāli led the army that brought Vessantara back from his hermitage. He is identified with Rāhula, the only son of Gotama Buddha. The gift of Jāli as a slave is considered one of the greatest sacrifices made by the Bodhisatta. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 954.

¹²⁶ Kaṇhājinā was the daughter of Vessantara and Maddī. When Vessantara retired to the forest, his wife and children accompanied him to Vankagiri. Later, both Kaṇhājinā and her brother Jāli were given to Jūjaka as slaves and were ill-treated by him. For sixty leagues they

queens like Queen Madrī (P. Maddī)¹²⁷. After having practiced generosity in that manner, that day I became cross

traveled with him, led and guarded by the gods, till they came to the court of their grandfather Sañjaya, king of Sivi, and there they were released, Kaṇhājinā's price being one hundred elephants, one hundred male and female slaves, etc. The children afterwards rejoined their parents and lived happily at the court. Kaṇhājinā is identified with Uppalavaṇṇā, the great *therī* who was one of the two chief women disciples of the Buddha. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 503-504.

Maddī was the wife of Vessantara. When Vessantara went into exile, she, with her two children, Jāli and Kaṇhājinā, accompanied him. At Vankagiri she and the children occupied one of the hermitages provided for them by Vissakamma, at Sakka's orders. While she was getting fruit and leaves, Jūjaka obtained from Vessantara the two children as slaves. Maddī the previous night had had a dream warning her of this, but Vessantara had consoled her. When she came back from her quest for food later than usual, the gods having contrived to detain her, she found the children missing and searched for them throughout the night. It was at dawn the next day, on her recovery from a deathlike swoon, that Vessantara told her of the gift of the children, describing the miracles that had attended the gift and showing how they presaged that he would reach enlightenment. Maddī,

at the Brahman's rudeness, like sowing seeds in a good field at an inauspicious time. 128

XVIb. "As a result, when I was seated under the *bodhi*tree to attain Buddhahood, Vasavartī Māra (P. Vasavattī)¹²⁹ assumed a form with 500 fearful heads, a thousand red eyes like red balls, a thousand fearful teeth coming out of his cheeks, and stared angrily at me. Because I thought of tying up the Brahman, Māra's three daughters came to tie me up with garlands. O monks, this is another *karma*.

understanding, rejoiced herself in the gift. The next day, Sakka appeared in the guise of a Brahman and asked Vessantara to give him Maddī as his slave. Seeing him hesitate, Maddī urged him to let her go, saying that she belonged to him to do as he would with her. The gift was made and accepted by Sakka. He then, however, gave her back with praises of Vessantara and Maddī. Maddī is identified with Rāhulamātā, Rāhula's mother and Gotama's wife. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 434-35.

This narration does not appear in UP. There is also no corresponding Pāli text for this story in *Pkp*. In BN there is the incorrect reading, Jūtaka, for Jūjaka.

¹²⁹ Vasavattī is a name given to Māra. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 844.

This narration does not appear in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this incident in Pkp.

XVIIa. "When I was perfecting the pāramitā-s, there were two streets in a village not far away from the city of Baranäs (P. Bārāṇasī, Eng. Benares)¹³¹. There were two families there. In one of them, there were two sons. The younger brother was the Bodhisatta. The Bodhisatta's brother was married to a daughter brought home from another family. The Bodhisatta, not having a house of his own, continued to live with his brother. One day, sweet pastries were prepared in the house. Dividing them into three shares, the couple ate two shares and kept the third for the Bodhisatta who had gone to the forest for some purpose. Then, a paccekabuddha living in the Gandhamādana Mountain¹³², going on his alms round from door to door, came and stood in front of that house. The couple saw the paccekabuddha at their doorstep and not having anything else in the house to be offered, the wife of the Bodhisatta's brother thought, 'Pastries could be prepared later for the person who has gone to the forest.' And she offered the

¹³¹ Bārāṇasī (Benares) was the capital of Kāsi *janapada*. It was one of the four places of pilgrimage for the Buddhists. Bārāṇasī was an important center of trade and industry. There was direct trade between there and Sāvatthi, and between there and Takkasilā. In the past, Bārāṇasī was the birthplace of Kassapa Buddha. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 274-77.

For Gandhamādana, see above under the text's introductory statement that the Buddha confessed this text at Anotatta Lake.

Bodhisatta's share to the *paccekabuddha*. Then, when the Bodhisatta returned home from the forest, the woman said, 'We kept a share of pastries for you. But I offered it to a *paccekabuddha* who came begging for alms. Be happy (literally, 'make your mind serene').' When he heard that, the Bodhisatta thought, 'What made you eat your share and offer mine?' Being angered, he ran after the *paccekabuddha*, stared at him angrily, and seized the pastries in his bowl. ¹³³

XVIIb. "As a result of that evil deed, now in my last birth as Buddha, when I went alone to the city of Sävät (P. Sāvatthi)¹³⁴ to beg alms, there was a certain woman who used to keep a spoonful of rice to be offered to the great

This story is from the *Kusa Jātaka* (No. 531). See SBFB, vol. 5, pp. 149-50 for the story. The story is related in the *Kusa Jātaka* as an explanation of King Kusa's ugliness. An additional incident not related here but connected with this story explains why Pabhāvatī wanted nothing to do with Kusa at first. This narration is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this story in Pkp.

¹³⁴ Sāvatthi was the capital town of Kosala in India, and one of the six great Indian cities during the lifetime of the Buddha. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 1126-27.

thera Mahā-Kāśyapa (P. Mahā-Kassapa)¹³⁵. That day, I went first on the alms round. Seeing me, (the woman) taking me to be the *thera*, offered the spoonful of rice into my bowl. Then, while going back to the house, she saw the *thera* coming after me. Perturbed at that and saying, 'I offered my spoonful of rice to someone else,' she came running after me, the Buddha, shouting, 'Wait monk, wait!' She seized the spoonful of rice put into my bowl. O monks, this is another *karma*.

XVIII. "Then again, in this life, while I was residing in a *jeta* grove I became sick from the three humors. At that

¹³⁵ Kassapa Thera was the son of an Udicca Brahman of Sāvatthi who died when Kassapa was still young. Having heard the Buddha preach at Jetavana, he entered the First Fruit of the Path and, with his mother's leave, became a monk. Some time later, wishing to accompany the Buddha on a tour after the rains, he went to bid his mother farewell. Her admonition to him on that occasion helped him to win insight and become an *arahant*. He is probably identical with Sereyyaka Thera of the *Apadāna*. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 547.

 $^{^{136}}$ I have not been able to locate this incident in the Pāli canon. This story is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this incident in Pkp.

time a great *thera* named Upavāṇa¹³⁷ and a Brahman named Devahita¹³⁸ heard about my illness, came to me and nursed me in order to acquire merit. This Brahman bathed me in medicated water and gave me honey mixed with hot water to drink.¹³⁹ Thereupon, the disorder of the three humours subsided. This, O monks, is one *karma*.¹⁴⁰

¹³⁷ Upavāṇa was a great *thera*. Once when the Buddha was attacked by cramp, Upavāṇa, with the help of his lay friend Devahita, obtained hot water and suitable medicines, with which the ailment was healed. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 399-400.

Devahita was a Brahman of Sāvatthi. Once when the Buddha was ill with cramp and desired hot water Upavāṇa obtained from Devahita hot water and molasses, which he sent on a pingo by a serving man. Hot fomentations and the administering of molasses cured the Buddha's complaint. Devahita came later to the Buddha, and after some conversation he was converted. The *Saṃyutta* commentary adds that Devahita earned his living from the provision of water heated on his row of ovens and of cosmetics for those who came to bathe. On hearing of the Buddha's illness, he gave to Upavāṇa a kind of treacle to be administered in water. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 1117-18.

Regarding the medicinal use of honey, see *Bhesajjamañjūsā* 6.73-81 (*Bhes*, pp. 105-106; CM, pp. 73-74). The *Bhesajjamañjūsā* is a

XIX. "Further, a demon named Sūciroma (P. Sūciloma, Suciloma, Sinh. Sūciroma, Sūciloma)¹⁴¹ living on a stone slab on the four stone pillars called Tamkitavadva (P.

13th c. A.D. Pāli medical work. It is the only known medical work written in Pāli.

¹⁴⁰ UP gives the name of the *thera* as Mānava, and the name of the Brahman as Devagīta. BN also refers to the Brahman as Devagīta. The name was corrected on the basis of DPPN. This story figures as no. XV in my numbering of the stories as in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this story in *Pkp*.

¹⁴¹ Sūciloma is a Yakkha the hairs of whose body resembled needles. Once, when the Buddha was at the Ṭaṅkitamañca in Gayā, which was the abode of Sūciloma, Sūciloma and his friend, Khara, happened to be passing by and Sūciloma, coming up to the Buddha, bent his body against the Buddha's. The Buddha bent his body in the opposite direction, saying that contact with him was an evil thing. Then Sūciloma asked him a question regarding the origin of mental and emotional dispositions and the Buddha answered him. This is related in the *Sūciloma Sutta* of the *Sutta Nipāta*. See, for instance, Lord Robert Chalmers, 1932, pp. 66-69. See also *S*, vol. 1, pp. 207-208 and KS, vol. 1, pp. 264-66. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 1180.

Țańkitamañca) in Magadha¹⁴², came running to me with the thought, 'I will prick and stick this monk with the iron needles that are hairs on my body.' O monks, this is another *karma*.¹⁴³

XXa. "At one time, when I was born as the scholar Mahosadha¹⁴⁴ (as related in the) *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka*, for

Magadha was one of the four chief kingdoms of India at the time of the Buddha, the others being Kosala, the kingdom of the Vamsas, and Avanti. During the early Buddhist period Magadha was an important political and commercial center, and was visited by people from all parts of northern India in search of commerce and learning. Magadha is identified with modern south Bihar. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 402-404. UP begins by mentioning that this incident happened when the Buddha was living in Gayā. It then does not mention Magadha as in BN. This story figures as no. XVI in my numbering of the stories as in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this story in *Pkp*. The town of Gayā was in the kingdom of Magadha. It lay on the road between the *bodhi*-tree and Benares. The Buddha stayed at Gayā on several occasions. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 752.

Mahosadha was the Bodhisatta born as minister to King Vedeha. For his details and the story referred to here, see the *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka* (No. 546). See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 594 and vol. 2, pp. 465-68, esp. pp. 466-67 for the story referred to here.

the marriage of King Videha¹⁴⁵, I went to see King Cūļani-Brahmadatta¹⁴⁶ of the country called Uttarapañcāla¹⁴⁷ which was 400 gāvuta-s away¹⁴⁸ from the city of Miyuļu (P. Mithilā)¹⁴⁹ and asked for stones to build houses for King Videha. For that purpose, when (we) started to break up all houses without exception in that great city, forty-eight gāvuta-s long, the bribes given to save those houses were by measure nine crores of gold. Spending four crores and fifty out of that, I built palaces. And because of that wealth that

¹⁴⁵ Vedeha was the personal name of the king of Mithilā, whose minister was Mahosadha. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 922.

¹⁴⁶ Cūļani-Brahmadatta is the king of Uttarapañcāla in the *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka*. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 908 and vol. 1, p. 357, n. 4 under Uttarapañcāla.

¹⁴⁷ Uttarapañcāla is given variously as the name of a city in the country of Kampilla, or as the name of a country whose capital was Kampilla. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 357-58 and vol. 2, p. 108 under Pañcāla.

 $^{^{148}}$ 1 $g\bar{a}vuta$ = a little less than two miles.

Mithilā was the capital of the Videha country. It is generally identified with Janakapura, a small town within the Nepal border. In the Indian Epics, Mithilā is chiefly famous as the residence of King Janaka. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 635.

country was taken by force and the army of that country was spoiled. 150

XXb. "As a result of that deed, when I was living in Jetavanārāma¹⁵¹, two monks of Ghositārāma who were versed in *vinaya* (monastic discipline) and *abhidhamma* (doctrine) (respectively) had an argument about an accusation relating to *vinaya*. My attendants also split themselves into two sides. The nuns who were receiving advice from the two monks also split into two groups. Then the divinities who were patrons of the fourfold assembly of monks, nuns, lay male disciples, and lay female disciples

 $^{^{150}}$ This story is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this story in Pkp.

¹⁵¹ For Jetavanārāma, see Jetavana referred to earlier. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 963-66 and p. 967.

there during his visits to Kosambī and numerous incidents are mentioned in the books in connection with the monastery. It was because of a dispute between two monks of the Ghositārāma, one expert in the *vinaya* and one in the *dhamma*, that the first schism arose in the Order, driving the Buddha himself to seek quiet in the Pārileyyaka forest. Even at other times the Buddha seems to have sought solitude in this forest during his sojourn at the Ghositārāma. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 829-31.

took two separate sides. The celestial and earth-bound divinities of the two groups also took sides with the two groups. Seeing them, the divinities of the heavenly spheres of Caturmahārajika, Tāvatiṃsa, Yāma, Tusita, Nimmānarati, and Paranimmitavasavatti (the six divine abodes)¹⁵³ split into two groups. Then, not only that, even the Brahmapārisajja Brahma-realm (name of the lowest Rūpa-Brahmaloka) and up to the Akaniṭṭha Brahma-world, the sixteen Brahma-worlds also were divided.¹⁵⁴ And there was a very big conflict. Then the *saṅgha* (monastic community, or Order) also divided into two parties. Then I could not bring them into calmness, and I took my bowl and robes and went all

¹⁵³ For this division see PED, p. 329a, bottom; W. Kirfel, 1920, p. 191 and p. 194.

¹⁵⁴ For the various Brahma-worlds, sixteen being Rūpa-Brahmaloka, or worlds of form, and four above them being Arūpa-Brahmaloka, inhabited by *deva*-s who are incorporeal, see W. Kirfel, 1920, pp. 191-2 and p. 194.

alone to the forest called Rakkhita¹⁵⁵. And during the time of three months that I was there, during the time of spring

¹⁵⁵ Rakkhita-vanasaṇḍa is a forest tract near the village of Pārileyya. There the Buddha retired and lived at the foot of the Bhaddasāla when unable to settle the dispute among the Kosambī monks. The elephant Pārileyya lived there and waited upon the Buddha. It is said that the place derived its name from the fact that Pārileyya looked after the Buddha, guarding him throughout the night, wandering about the forest till dawn, a stick in his trunk, in order to ward off danger. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 704.

retreat, an elephant known as Pārileyya¹⁵⁶ helped me.¹⁵⁷ O monks, this is another *karma*.

XXIa. "At one time, Queen Talatā¹⁵⁸, the mother of King Cūļani-Brahmadatta of the *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka*, his queen Nanda¹⁵⁹, his son Prince Pañcālacaṇḍa¹⁶⁰, and his

Pārileyya, or Pārileyyaka, is the name of an elephant who, finding communal life distasteful, had left his herd and waited on the Buddha, ministering to all his needs when the Buddha left Ghositārāma alone and unattended after he found he could not persuade the Kosambī monks to refrain from quarrelling. The commentaries describe in vivid detail the perfect manner in which Pārileyya looked after the Buddha, omitting nothing, even to the extent of finding hot water for his bath. Pārileyyaka died of a broken heart when the Buddha left the forest, and was born in Tāvatiṃsa in a golden palace, thirty leagues high, where he came to be known as Pārileyyaka-devaputta. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 191-92.

¹⁵⁷ This incident is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this incident in Pkp.

¹⁵⁸ Talatādevī was the mother of Cūļani-Brahmadatta, king of Pañcāla, her husband being Mahā Cūļani. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 998.

¹⁵⁹ Nandādevī was the chief queen of Cūlani-Brahmadatta, king of Pañcāla. She is identified with Yasassikā. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 25 and vol. 2, p. 468. There is no separate listing for Yasassikā in DPPN.

daughter Princess Pañcālacaṇḍī¹⁶¹ – these four, I took as prisoners and gave them over to King Videha. ¹⁶²

XXIb. "Due to that evil deed, when I was living in Jetavanārāma my daughter Kṛṣṇajinā (P. Kaṇhājinā) who had become the great *therī* Upulvan (P. Uppalavaṇṇā)¹⁶³

¹⁶⁰ Pañcālacaṇḍa was the son of Cūļani-Brahmadatta. He was sent by Mahosadha to be kept as hostage to King Videha, when Cūļani-Brahmadatta threatened to harm the latter. But Videha treated him like a younger brother. Pañcālacaṇḍī was sister to Pañcālacaṇḍa. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 109.

Pañcālacaṇḍī was the daughter of Cūḷani-Brahmadatta. Her marriage with King Videha, which was accomplished by the wisdom and diplomacy of Mahosadha, forms the main theme of the *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka*. She bore a son to Videha, who succeeded him ten years after the marriage. Pañcālacaṇḍī is identified with the *therī* Sundarī-(Nandā). See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 110.

¹⁶² This incident is not given in UP. There is no corresponding $P\bar{a}li$ text for this incident in Pkp.

Uppalavaṇṇā was a great *therī* and one of the two chief women disciples of the Buddha. Vessantara's daughter Kaṇhājinā is identified with her. The books give several episodes connected with Uppalavaṇṇā. Once a young man named Ānanda, who was her cousin and had been in love with her during her lay life, hid himself in her hut

used to sleep in a bed in a cell in the forest hermitage of Andhavana¹⁶⁴. One day, she begged alms in the city of Sāvatthi and after the meal, was lying on the bed in the forest hermitage. Then the son of her uncle, who was a rich merchant, Ānanda¹⁶⁵, already in love with her when she was

in Andhavana and, in spite of her protestations, deprived her of her chastity. From that time onwards, nuns were forbidden to live in Andhavana. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 418-21.

Andhavana was a grove to the south of Sāvatthi, one *gāvuta* away from the city. It was well guarded, and monks and nuns used to resort there in search of solitude. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 111-12.

In spite of her protestations and admonitions, he overcame her resistence by force and, having worked his will of her, went away. As if unable to endure his wickedness, the earth burst assults should not be repeated, Pasenadi Kosala erected, at the Buddha's suggestion, a residence for the nuns

a laywoman, had sexual intercourse with her. 166 O monks, this is another *karma*.

XXIIa. "In the war of *dhamma* with the Brahman Kevaṭṭa¹⁶⁷ in the city of Miyulu (P. Mithilā) in the same *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka*, I, as the scholar Mahosadha, took (Kevaṭṭa) by the neck with one hand, by the hip with the other, and rubbed his face on the ground till his chin, lips, cheeks, nose, and forehead were bloodied as if smeared with lac. Then, when I pushed him away by the neck, he went

within the city gates, and henceforth they lived only within the precincts of the city. See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 272.

 $^{^{166}}$ This account is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this narration in Pkp. In BN the name Ānanda is incorrectly given as Nanda.

¹⁶⁷ Kevaṭṭa was the chaplain of Cūḷani-Brahmadatta, king of Uttarapañcāla. He was wise and learned, and clever in device. The king followed his counsel and conquered all the territories of India except that of King Videha of Mithilā. When at last Brahmadatta laid siege to Mithilā, Kevaṭṭa was responsible for the details of the siege. But his plans were upset by Mahosadha who, though his junior in age, was far wiser. See DPPN, vol. 1, pp. 666-67.

and fell several ratana-s away 168 like a leaf blown off by the wind. 169

XXIIb. "As a result of that evil deed, after forty-five years of Buddhahood my tooth relics were beaten up on an anvil and thrown into a pit of excrement.¹⁷⁰ O monks, this is another *karma*."

End of the Detiskarmmaya.

UP has a different story treating schism than the one given in BN. In the sequence of numbering established for UP alone, this would be no. XVII – though it corresponds to BN, no. XXa and XXb. The account is as follows:

¹⁶⁸ In common usage, 1 *ratana* = eighteen *aṅgula*-s, finger-breadths, or inches.

 $^{^{169}}$ This is an abridged translation of the sentence, which is a graphic description of Kevatta's predicament. This story is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this story in Pkp.

¹⁷⁰ Regarding the Buddha's tooth relics, see the $D\bar{a}th\bar{a}vamsa$, an 11th c. A.D. composition by Dhammakīrti. See also the essay on "The Tooth of the Buddha" in Harvey Rachlin, 2000, pp. 3-11, esp. p. 7, which refers in brief to the incidents mentioned in the text here. This narration is not given in UP. There is no corresponding Pāli text for this narration in Pkp.

XVII. "While I was living in Veluvanārāma¹⁷¹, Devadatta, the great elder, caused dissention in the

Veļuvana was a park near Rājagaha, the pleasure garden of Bimbisāra. The king bestowed it on the Buddha and the fraternity. This was the first *ārāma*, or park, accepted by the Buddha. The Buddha spent several *vassa*-s, or rainy season reatreats, at Veļuvana. During one of the Buddha's stays at Veļuvana, Sāriputta and Moggallāna brought back the 500 monks whom Devadatta had enticed to secede from the Order. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 936-39, esp. p. 937.

community of monks by taking away the 500 Vajjiputta (P. Vajjiputtakā) monks¹⁷². This is another *karma* of mine.¹⁷³

Vajjiputtakā, or Vajjiputtiyā, is the name of a large group of monks belonging to the Vajjian clan. Vajjī is the kingdom of the Licchavīs. Vesāli was its capital. The first great schism of the Buddhist Order arose in Vajjī one century after the Buddha's death, when these monks brought forward Ten Points as being permissible for members of the Order. The orthodox monks refused to agree to these points, and one of their leaders publicly condemned the action of the Vajjiputtakās. This is foreshadowed during the Buddha's life by 500 newly ordained monks from Vesāli described as Vajjiputtakā seceding from the Order and joining Devadatta, though they were later brought back by Sāriputta and Moggallāna. Buddhaghosa identifies the later heretics as belonging to the same party as the earlier group of 500. See DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 812-13, esp. 812, n. 1, and pp. 813-15; also DPPN, vol. 1, p. 1109; vol. 2, p. 545; vol. 2, p. 937; and vol. 2, pp. 1111-12.

There is no corresponding Pāli text for this narration in Pkp. That there is in this location, placed last in the text of UP, mention of the 500 monks who seceded from the Order at Devadatta's instigation but who were brought back into the Order by Sāriputta and Moggallāna perhaps echoes at the end of the Sinhalese text here the beginning frame story of the Buddhist Sanskrit $Anavataptagāth\bar{a}$ and

There then follows a summation, which is not found in BN. As noted above, this corresponds to *Pkp*, vss. 31-32, and 33. This summation in UP translates:

"I attained Buddhahood under a *bodhi*-tree which brings supreme knowledge and takes me to the supreme city of Nibbana. Thereby, I caused twenty-four asankheyya-s of people (i.e., innumerable people) to attain Nibbana. Whatever demeritorious deeds I have done before through my weaknesses. I had done before. As a result of that I had acquired demeritorious karma-s. All that ripened in this last birth as Buddha." He told this to the monks. He said: moment I do not have "Monks, at this beneficial consequences of my meritorious deeds (each day) nor do I have the evil consequences occurring in the future. I do not have any torments (P. santāpa) even in the future. I have exhausted both punya (P. puñña, merit) and pāpa (sin). Then I have abandoned torment. I am devoid of sorrow. I am devoid of turbulence. I am devoid of the fourfold

Sthaviragāthā. This latter involves Sāriputta (Skt. Śāriputra) and Moggallāna (Skt. Maudgalyāyana). See Marcel Hofinger, 1954, pp. 181-92.

āśrava-s (P. āsava, intoxicant).¹⁷⁴ I am devoid of sorrow. I am devoid of sickness. I am devoid of illness. I am devoid of *upadrava*-s (P. *upaddava*, distress or misfortune). I am devoid of illness. I have attained nectar immutable *mahānirvāṇa* (P. *mahānibbāna*). Thus I have vanquished my enemies, namely *kleśa*-s (P. *kilesa*, defilement; or depravity, lust). Therefore I have the names ... (various epithets of Buddha)."

So Buddha described his *pubbakarma* (P. *pubbakamma*, previous deeds). All these past *karma*-s had their consequences just as you pay your debts. So he gave a discourse of these past actions, namely *karma apadānapāli*, *karma*-s that he had done during his life as Bodhisatta and which had their consequences. This is the end of this discourse and it is called *Detiskarma padārthayi*.

6. Summary of the *Detiskarma padārthayi* (BN and UP) and Its Relationship to the *Pubbakammapiloti* (*Pkp*)

An outline of BN shows how perfectly balanced the organization of this text is. Even in the shorter UP, the text remains perfectly balanced. In the following outline, the numbering of the extra material in UP beyond the scope of *Pkp* is placed in brackets following the numbering of this material as in BN. The outline follows:

 $^{^{174}}$ The fourfold $\bar{a}sava$ -s, as noted earlier, are sensuality, rebirth (lust of life), speculation, and ignorance.

Iab. Thirst. [Craving.]

IIab.

IIIab. Trouble with women (virtue doubted).

[Trouble with un-virtuous women.]

IVab.

Vab.

VIab. Trouble with Devadatta. [Trouble with un-virtuous man.]

VIIab.

VIIIab. Sickness (external).

IXab. Personal harm (harm to family), and

Sickness (congenital).

Xab. Difficulty in religion (alms).

XIab. Personal harm (harm to self), and

Sickness (congenital).

XIIab. Sickness (internal).

XIIIab. Difficulty in religion (austerities).

[Difficulty obtaining

Buddhahood.]

XIV. [Related Pāli verse inserted.] [Difficulty in religion (alms).]

XV. [XIV.] Trouble with Māra. [Sickness (internal).] XVIab. [Personal harm

(due to unwholesome thought).]

XVIIab.	Difficulty in religion (alms).
XVIII. [XV.]	Sickness (from the three humours).
XIX. [XVI.]	Personal harm (harm from demon to
	self).
XXab. [XVII.]	Difficulty in religion (schism).*
XXIab.	Personal harm (harm to Buddha's
	daughter from a previous life,
	now a <i>ther</i> \bar{i}).
XXIIab.	Personal harm (harm to Buddha's
	tooth relics).

It is interesting to note that many of the added stories of misfortune in the Buddha's life not found in the *Apadāna* account have no antecedent actions noted. This no doubt is indication that, as J. S. Walters noted, with only one possible exception – the story of Jotipāla and Kassapa, but in a telling which does not suggest that the Bodhisatta slandered that Buddha or produced unwholesome *kamma* thereby – the antecedent incidents have no parallels in Theravāda tradition.¹⁷⁵ Their only source in Theravāda tradition is *Pkp*.

Note that all of the extra stories in UP are without antecedent actions. This is true also of the extra story in BN

^{*} BN and UP each have different stories regarding schism.

¹⁷⁵ J. S. Walters, 1990, p. 78.

the presence of which in UP is hinted at only by its inclusion of the Pāli verse from the *Piṇḍa Sutta* to which this story refers. UP, as noted, does not contain the story in Sinhalese.

Of the additional extra stories in BN, one gives an antecedent action from the *Vessantara Jātaka* and three give antecedent actions from the *Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka*. The sources of these antecedent actions are stated in the Sinhalese text. The antecedent action of the other additional story (XVIIa) was traced to the *Kusa Jātaka*.

Both this, and the fact that the story of schism with antecedent action from the Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka in BN is different from that of schism without antecedent given in UP, suggest that BN is a further expansion of the tradition represented in UP. Perhaps indicating that this is a further expansion of the textual tradition as well is that the additional stories in BN are lengthy when compared to the stories of UP. And also, the last story in BN contradicts the summation of UP in that it notes a kamma's effect occurring after the Buddha's parinibbana. The summation in UP clearly states, "at this moment I do not have beneficial consequences of my meritorious deeds (each day) nor do I have the evil consequences occurring in the future. I do not have any torments even in the future." UP itself represents an expansion of the tradition represented in Pkp. To be emphasized is that as can be seen in the outline of the texts. the expansions are well structured.

Also to be emphasized is the perfect balance of *Pkp*. Three stories of the Buddha's trouble with un-virtuous women are followed by three stories of the Buddha's trouble

with an un-virtuous man, Devadatta. Then follow six other stories of the Buddha's difficulties, also perfectly organized. The initial additional story found in Thai manuscripts, and in UP and BN, frames this all perfectly.

7. The Northern Buddhist Versions

The corresponding text in the Sthaviragāthā from the Bhaisajyavastu of the Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinaya, and in the Sarvāstivādin Anavataptagāthā, is given in parallel Tibetan text and in parallel German translations from Chinese texts side-by-side with the corresponding Pāli text by AS. 176 A German translation of the Tibetan text follows in AS. 177 This material recounts only ten karma-s. Omitted are the second and third stories regarding Devadatta as in the Pāli material, and the extra first verses as found in Thai manuscripts of the Pāli text and in our Sinhalese manuscripts here. AS includes these Pāli verses nevertheless, and translates in brackets those treating Devadatta along with its translation of the Tibetan text. The Buddhist Sanskrit text here is as noted not preserved, except for a very few partly preserved verses toward the end of the Anavataptagāthā in the Turfan manuscripts. 178 It would seem that the ordering of the stories in the Tibetan and Chinese material is the same as in the Pāli material. The Gilgit manuscripts, however, contain as noted

¹⁷⁶ AS, pp. 204-208 and pp. 208-43.

¹⁷⁷ AS, pp. 244-48.

¹⁷⁸ See AS, p. 208, p. 239, p. 241.

a Buddhist Sanskrit prose summary which follows its missing verses and which is preserved in part only. The prose account is missing both beginning and end. AS does not discuss the Gilgit manuscripts' version of our text as reflected in this summary. To be noticed here is that the ordering of incidents in this is different from that of the Pāli *Apadāna* text and the Sinhalese text. It is closer to the ordering of the Mahāyāna *Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā* (hence, *Av-klp*).

7.1. The Mahāyāna Version: Chapter 50 of the Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā (Av-klp)

The corresponding Mahāyāna Buddhist text is the *Daśakarmmaplutyavadāna*, chapter 50 in the 11th c. A.D. Kashmirian poet Kṣemendra's *Av-klp* as published in Sanskrit and Tibetan by Sarat Chandra Das and Paṇḍit Hari Mohan Vidyābhūshaṇa. This account, as in the Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna accounts, treats ten *karma-*s only.

Perhaps seven of the *karma*-s discussed are the same as those in the Pāli and Sinhalese texts, but with details different. Thus the story of Munāli is here told more fully of one Mṛṇāla, and the story regarding Jotipāla and Kassapa is abbreviated simply stating that in an earlier existence the Buddha spoke ill of a certain Pudgala (perhaps, of *pudgala*,

¹⁷⁹ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 28-29

¹⁸⁰ Sarat Chandra Das and Paṇḍit Hari Mohan Vidyābhūshaṇa, 1888-1918, vol. 2, pp. 2-43.

according to one of the interpretations). The former birth as a physician who purged the son of a wealthy merchant in the Pāli text, the wealthy merchant himself in the Sinhalese text, is told here with more details and providing personal names. Similarly, in the story of brothers of two mothers one of whom killed the other for the sake of wealth, more detail and names are provided here. While the incident is related to a similar result, Devadatta is not mentioned in the Mahāyāna text.

The scandal involving Sundarī is here related to a different earlier deed than in the Pāli and Sinhalese texts. The story of Mṛṇāla, which one would expect to be connected to the scandal involving Sundarī, simply refers to false charges being brought against the Buddha by a woman or women. The introduction to the stories, though, clearly mentions Cañcā¹⁸¹ in this place. Also, the explanation for the Buddha's eating barley in Verajjā for three months, which town and which duration are not mentioned in the Mahāyāna text, would appear to develop the Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna tellings of this very differently than does the Sinhalese account the simple Pāli text.

Two of the *karma*-s, the second and third, have no correspondences in the Pāli and Sinhalese material discussed here. They are reflected in the Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna texts, though. The second is reflected in the Tibetan and Chinese translations of the Buddhist Sanskrit Hīnayāna texts.

¹⁸¹ Cañcā = Pāli Ciñca, regarding which see below.

The third is found in three of the few partially preserved Sanskrit verses in the Turfan manuscripts. 182

Interestingly, in both the Mahāyāna tradition and in the Pāli tradition there is a beginning statement which mentions on the one hand the sewing of a monk's tunic, and on the other hand a little piece of cloth being given to a forest monk. The Mahāyāna tradition can clearly be traced back to the beginning of the *Sthaviragāthā*. Perhaps the symbolism here is a comparison of one's *kamma* with one's garb, and its threads, or with a remnant.

Kṣemendra uses the stories as a medium to insert proverbs and proverbial wisdom, which greatly expands the length of some of the stories. He also expands some of the stories through dialogue. Other of the stories, on the other hand, are allowed to remain a very few verses in length only. This leads to a very poor balance in his text.

This text has been retold in or translated into English several times with slightly different details each time. 185

¹⁸² See AS, p. 241 for text.

¹⁸³ The former appears in the Mahāyāna tradition here. See the Tibetan retelling of Giuseppe Tucci below. The latter appears in the Thai tradition and the Sinhalese tradition of BN.

¹⁸⁴ See Marcel Hofinger, 1954, pp. 181-82.

¹⁸⁵ It was first retold by Rājendralāla Mitra, 1882, pp. 57-58. It appeared in translation by two hands, identified as "B." (pp. 19-22) and as Pandit Ananda Prasād Sarasvatī (pp. 22-25), in *Journal of the*

That *Pkp* and the *Av-klp* 50 were parallel, but not quite identical texts was pointed out first by CPD. ¹⁸⁶ This text was also referred to in passing in AS. ¹⁸⁷ Five verses of text from *Av-klp* 50 were also given in AS. ¹⁸⁸

This text is given below as recounted by Rājendralāla Mitra. If a karma is related much more fully or with

Buddhist Text and Research Society, Calcutta (hence, JBTRS) 1.4 (1893): 19-25. Text followed on pp. 9-20 of a following section of Sanskrit text alone. (A number of legends from the Av-klp by Kṣemendra are translated by various hands in JBTRS 1-7 [1893-1906]. Four metrical translations published there of four of the pallavas [nos. 65, 51, 9, 8] were published separately in Nobin Chandra Das, 1895.) It was next retold from Tibetan text by Giuseppe Tucci, 1949, vol. 2, pp. 489-92. This retelling was reprinted in Sharada Rani, 1977, serial no. 16, woodcut number R-15. Finally it was retold more recently, this retelling being based on the Buddhist Sanskrit text, in Jayanti Chattopadhyay, 1994, 170-72.

¹⁸⁶ CPD, vol. 1, p. 234a.

¹⁸⁷ AS, p. 22, p. 205, and in notes on p. 209 (n. 1), p. 222 (n. 1), p. 228 (n. 10), p. 234 (n. 10), p. 244 (n.1), p. 245 (n. 1, 3, 4), p. 246 (n. 5), p. 247 (n. 1, 2, 3).

¹⁸⁸ Verses 138 and 139 were given in AS on p. 236 (bottom), and verses 58, 59, and 60 were given on p. 241 (bottom).

significantly different details by Jayanti Chattopadhyay, the fuller account or account with different details follows immediately in brackets Rājendralāla Mitra's telling. Also, if in the shorter stories as in the JBTRS translation the interpretation differs, or supports one over the other of the above two interpretations, or gives details otherwise left out that are considered important, such is given last. Note that in the JBTRS translation, names are often given incorrectly. When this is the case, these are not always noted. I have referred to the text as well for the forms of names.

Ten Sufferings. Lord Buddha, during his sojourn by the side of the tank Anavatapta, while giving an account of the former lives of Śāriputra and Maudgalyāyana, illustrated the maxim that "every creature must suffer from the effects of his works" by adverting to the ten mundane pains which he suffered. [... This prehistory the Lord narrated in connection with solving a dispute between Śāriputra and Maudgalyāyana who were really the sages Likhita and Saṅkha in their former birth. JBTRS: Story given at length.] He said:

- (1) "In one of my previous existences, when I had the name Kharvota, I killed my half-brother at the instigation of my wife, Kālikā: I have an ulcer on the top of my right toe as a consequence. [Jayanti Chattopadhyay: name = Kharvaṭa. JBTRS: Sarvata; text, Kharvvaṭa. Name of place where this occurred, JBTRS: Karpata; text, Karpaṭa.]
- (2) "As Arthadatta, a merchant, I killed one of my own calling, who was much opposed to my interests. The

consequence is, that I have suffered from a sore caused by the prick of a catechu thorn. [When born as a merchant Arthadatta he suddenly killed a fellow merchant and as a result the injury in his toe was not getting healed. JBTRS: A merchant named Arthadatta, came with a favouring breeze from Ratnadvīpa with his ship filled with merchandise. Another merchant who had lost his wealth, and had taken shelter with him, attempted secretly to scuttle the ship out of envy. ...]

- (3) "In another existence, as Chapala I threw away, with my own hand, the contents of Upārishya's alms-bowl. This Upārishya was a Pratyeka Buddha. I have my alms-bowl always empty for that outrageous conduct. [Jayanti Chattopadhyay: ... and as a result had sometimes to return in empty bowl without getting any alms. JBTRS: Chapalaka; text, Capalaka. Uparishta; text, Upāriṣṭa.]
- (4) "As Bharadvāja, I falsely charged my elder brother Vaśishtha with holding criminal intercourse with a maid-servant, who was an anchorite; and that is why Sundarī has published a similar scandal against me in my present existence. [Born as Bharadvāja brother of the sage Vasiṣṭha an Arhat he did the mischief of spreading the calumny of moral turpitude against him. As a result of the remnant of that sin he had to face acccusation by a good looking female mendicant.]
- (5) "As a Vaiśya, Mriṇāla by name, I wanted to live with Badrā, a public prostitute, on the condition that she should not allow any body else to have connection with her. Finding her one day in the company of another, in a fit of

anger, I killed her. Consequently a Bhikshuṇī has brought a false charge against me in this life. [Then born as Mṛṇāla — he killed one harlot named Bhadrā who although received remuneration for harlotry from him double-crossed him and enjoyed the company of another. When the maid of Bhadrā raised hue and cry against Mṛṇāla he escaped with the blood stained weapon and left it in the hermitage of a Pratyeka Buddha named Suruci. The sentries got that weapon as a proof of crime and apprehended the innocent Pratyeka Buddha. When the innocent saint was about to be executed Mṛṇāla repentantly surrendered and got the release of the Pratyeka Buddha and punishment for his crime. Passing several years in hell as atonement — he yet had to face calumny from a whore.]

- (6) "As Manthara, a Brāhmaṇa, finding one day my neighbours giving a splendid feast to Vipaśyī, I railed at them, saying, 'these stupid bald-heads should be fed with barley and weavelled kodra; they do not deserve rich viands.' I have now to live upon kodra and barley in consequence of these irreverent words. [Again born as Māṭhara a brahmin in the Bandhumati city he instigated the people against giving alms to Buddhist Bhikshu like Lord Vipaśyin. As a result he had to eat barley and other inferior quality of grains. JBTRS: Mathara; text, Mathara.]
- (7) "Born in ancient days as Uttara, I spoke ill of one Pudgala. I have suffered greatly for it, and had to lead a vicious life for six years in the present existence. [Born as Uttara he criticized Pudgala (a substance like dvyanuka a diad or a molecule of two atoms according to the Buddhists)

and therefore had to perform austere penance for six years. JBTRS: As I tarnished the reputation of one Pungala, ...; text, Pungala.]

- (8) "There was a rich patriarch, Dhanavān by name, in the country called Karpaṭa. He had a son named Śrīmān. Tiktamukha, 'bitter-faced,' a medical practitioner of the place, cured Śrīmān of various diseases, but obtained nothing for his remuneration. When Śrīmān fell ill again, Tiktamukha put a period to his life by administering a strong poison to him. I was that medical man, and for my treacherous conduct to Śrīmān, I suffer from spermatorrhea in this life. [Jayanti Chattopadhyay: ... As a result he got attacked with diarrhoea. JBTRS: ... yet even now I suffer from dysentery.]
- (9) "In another existence, as a fisherman, I took great delight at the sight of a large fish under convulsions of death from repeated strokes of the axe. I suffer from cephalgia in consequence of that demoniac conduct. [Born as a fisherman's son he readily enjoyed the catching and cutting of two big fishes and consequently he was down with headache when the Śākya clan was facing destruction. JBTRS: Two huge fish were formerly dragged out from the water by two fishermen. The fish were cut into pieces. A *Kaivarta* boy who was standing by was delighted at this spectacle. ...]
- (10) "Born as an athlete, I treacherously put one of my antagonists to death. I suffer from rheumatism for that deadly sin." [Born as a wrestler in a competition he unduly brought down a challenger and broke his back and

consequently he had still then to suffer from excruciating pain in his back. JBTRS: Formerly a certain athletic resident of a village by some artifice, killed a warrior named Bala, in fight. He tore his back in two. ...]

The account of Giuseppe Tucci from Tibetan text follows:

The Ten Sins (Dasakarmapluti). Once some women, prompted by the heretics' wicked advice, tried to tempt the Buddha and for this sin they were damned to Hell. Then, near Lake Anavatapta, Śākyamuni spoke to the assembled monks about the karma he had accumulated in his past lives, whose last consequences he was bearing in his present life. He then sent Maudgalyāyana to call Śāriputra, who was at that moment on Mount Grdhrakūta, busy sewing his monk's tunic; the two monks vied with each other displaying their magic powers; Śāriputra won the contest, and the Buddha told the story of his past. Once upon a time there were two ascetics, who quarelled for some trifling reason, and became so furious that one of them called Sankha (Dun) kicked the other, Likhita (Bris pa); the latter then laid this curse upon his comrade: his head, at sunrise, would be blown to pieces. Sankha then stopped the sun's course. Finally Likhita repented and made a clay image of Śankha's head; when the sun rose, the clay head crumbled into fragments and the curse came to an end. Śankha was then Maudgalyāyana and Likhita was Śāriputra.

But, owing to the ripening of his karma, the Buddha too, in his last life upon earth, had undergone various misfortunes. 1) His thumb was crushed by a stone, 2) his foot was pierced by a *khadira* thorn, 3) having gone begging, he had obtained nothing, 4) he had been slandered by women, 5) he had been insulted by some young Brahmans, 6) he had eaten rotten wheat (*kodrava*), 7) during seven seasons he endured penances, 8) he was taken ill, 9) his head ached when the Śākya clan was destroyed, 10) his body suffered fatigue.

"The ties of his karma are to a man like servants ready for a journey, who follow him when he is in motion, and stop in front of him when he stops" (31).

1st Story. — Once a rich man called Kharvaṭa (K'ar ba ta) had in his house a step-brother called Mugdha (Mug dha). A woman friend of his named Kālikā (Nag mo) repeatedly urged him to kill Mugdha and get the whole family heritage for himself. At first Kharvaṭa refused, thinking that:

"It is not reasonable that people attached to riches should harbour sinful thoughts with the object of (getting) those treasures; all property, even when well guarded, is lost in a moment" (44).

At last, pressed by his friend, he gave in and finally committed the crime. This man was an ancient incarnation of the Buddha; after having atoned for his sin in Hell, in his last incarnation he had wounded his thumb on that account.

2nd Story. — Arthadatta (Don byin) was coming back from Ratnadvīpa loaded with riches; one of his comrades,

who had lost everything, through envy tried to bore a hole in the ship in order to sink it. Arthadatta, unable to turn him from his purpose, finally slew him. Arthadatta was the Buddha; for this act he had committed his foot was wounded by a thorn.

3rd Story. — When the Pratyekabuddha Upāriṣṭa (Uparima, in the prose text: U pa rin) came to Kāśi to beg, Capalaka (gYo ldan) upset his bowl; Capalaka was the Buddha; for this reason the Buddha's bowl had not been filled.

4th Story. — Vasiṣṭha (Ba si ṣṭha) and Bharadvāja (Bha ra dvā dsa) were brothers; the former being honoured by all as a saint, his brother, envying his fame, borrowed his clothes and gave them to a harlot, in order that she might accuse Vasiṣṭha of having made her a present of them in exchange for her favours. Bharadvāja was then the Buddha, and through the ripening of that karma, he had been insulted in his present life.

5th Story. — In Benares a certain Mṛṇāla (Pad mai rtsa, in prose P. rtsa lag) loved the courtesan Bhadrā (bZaṅ mo) and to reward her services he gave her clothes and jewels. Another suitor appeared and Bhadrā, after long hesitation, listened to the advice of her handmaid Makarikā (C'u srin ma) and gave herself to the newcomer. Makarikā disclosed everything to Mṛṇāla who, blinded with jealousy, killed the courtesan. Then, fearing punishment, he fled into the forest and placed the gory dagger near a Pratyekabuddha, but no sooner had the latter been arrested and brought before the judge, that he confessed his sin; owing to a remainder of

this evil deed, which he had long atoned for in Hell, the Buddha had now been slandered by heretical women.

 $6th\ Story$. — While the Buddha Vipaśyin was received with great festivities in Bandhumatī ($g\tilde{N}en\ ldan$) the Brahman Māṭhara ($M\bar{a}\ tha\ ra$) tried to dissuade the people from honouring him. Māṭhara was then the Buddha who, for his sin, had to eat rotten wheat in this life.

 $7th\ Story$. — In another life Śākyamuni had been Uttara ($Ut\ ta\ ra$), who insulted the Buddha of those times; for that sin he now had to do penance for six years before attaining enlightenment.

8th Story. — Once a rich lord named Dhanavān (Nor Idan) had a son Śrīmān (dPal Idan) who was always sickly; the physician Tiktamukha (K'a bai bžin) healed him by an appropriate cure, but received no reward from the boy's miserly father; as the case was often repeated, the physician finally poisoned his patient, who died. The physician was the Buddha who, through a remnant of that crime, was subject to illness in this life.

9th Story. — When the Buddha was a fisherman's son, he was delighted to see that two fishes had been caught in the net; for this sin he was punished in this life by a headache.

10th Story. — In one of his past lives, the Buddha had been a Malla prince who killed his rival and cut him in two; because of a remnant of this crime, he was affected with a disorder of the wind humour.

8. The Relationship Between the Different Versions of the Text

A few comparisons between the stories as in the different versions are perhaps in order. I restrict myself to comments regarding the Pāli text in *Pkp*, the Sinhalese texts of UP and BN, the Hīnayāna Sanskrit text as indicated in the Gilgit and Turfan manuscripts and the translations of this as in Tibetan and Chinese versions as translated into German in AS, and the Mahāyāna Sanskrit text as in the *Av-klp* of Kṣemendra and its Tibetan translation as retold by Giuseppe Tucci. When considering this last text, I refer generally in the following to the translation from Sanskrit as given in JBTRS since this is fuller than the retellings. In one instance I also consider a parallel story in another environment as retold by Nalinaksha Dutt, and in another instance I consider a parallel story as in the *Mahāvastu* as translated by J. J. Jones ¹⁸⁹

First, though, some general comments are in order.

There is evidence in both the Southern and Northern Buddhist traditions of rounding off the number of stories. The edited Pāli text as presented in Ap contains twelve kamma-s in thirty-two verses, not counting the verse containing the final summation. The Sinhalese texts of UP and BN, while they present seventeen and twenty-two

¹⁸⁹ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 4-5; J. J. Jones, 1949-56, vol. 1, pp. 29-

^{39.}

¹⁹⁰ *Ap*, pp. 299-301.

kamma-s respectively, refer to themselves as presenting thirty-two kamma-s. The significance of this number was referred to above immediately before the presentation of the Sinhalese text. In the context of the Sinhalese material, it would seem that there was an effort in the Pāli Apadāna tradition to achieve a text of thirty-two verses. The Northern Buddhist versions, while there are at times differences in the stories recounted and in the ordering of the stories, all present ten kamma-s.

In the specific case of the Av-klp, while the chapter in question refers to itself as presenting ten kamma-s, while the introduction to the narration of the *kamma*-s enumerates only ten kamma-s, and while the various retellings only note ten kamma-s, verse 71 of the text reflected only in the full translation in JBTRS presents the first part of still another This is reference to a third "slandering" story, corresponding to the third "slandering" story in the Pāli text of the Pkp that resulted in the Buddha's last lifetime in slander of the monks because of 500 Sundarīkā Ksemendra's text otherwise refers only to two "slanderings", unlike the other Northern Buddhist versions which refer to all three.

In the specific case of the Turfan manuscript reported by AS there is also evidence of an eleventh *kamma*. This corresponds according to AS to one of the stories which otherwise is represented in the material with which we are dealing here only in the *Av-klp*, placed there as the third

¹⁹¹ See JBTRS 1.4 (1893), on p. 22.

kamma. 192 AS lists this as its kamma no. 10a. It is not clear whether another of the kamma-s was omitted in the Sanskrit text of the Anavataptagāthā as in the Turfan manuscripts, since the text here has not been preserved except for a very few passages.

Earlier when first presenting the additional initial kamma found in Thai manuscripts of the Pkp and in the Sinhalese texts of UP and BN, I expressed the opinion that this passage was original in the Pali tradition of the Pkp. I also believe it to be original in the overall textual tradition of the text, but to have been omitted as well in the Northern Buddhist texts of which we have evidence. When we take into consideration that both the Southern and Northern Budddhist traditions have rounded off the number of kamma-s presented, and add as well to this consideration the perhaps offending nature from the vantage of Buddhism (and even in the context of our text) of this kamma, and the consideration that this kamma frames all that follows, we are led to this conclusion. What is offending about this kamma is that it implies that the Buddha, albeit in a former life, had craving, or thirst. This, of course, is what ties one to rebirth.¹⁹³ It is unlikely that such a passage would be added in the tradition. Note the opinion of S. M. Cutler that the

¹⁹² See AS, p. 241. AS, p. 241, n.3 refers to a few other places where this *kamma* is mentioned.

¹⁹³ See the discussion in PED, p. 294ab under Pāli *taṇha* "thirst; fig. craving".

versions of the *Apadāna* that are available to us now reveal we possess a corrupt and late redaction of the text. Also note that the following verse in the Pāli text refers to *pubbe aññāsu jātisu*, "in a past life, among other lives". As noted above, this suggests that the verse in question is a continuation. Note that no other Pāli verse in this text begins with this locution.

It is more difficult to decide whether the two *kamma*-s involving Devadatta that are not included in the Northern Buddhsit tradition are original to the textual tradition, or are additions in the Theravada tradition. As noted above when presenting the outline in chart form of BN and UP, the *Pkp* is perfectly balanced. Three stories involving the Buddha's troubles with un-virtuous women are followed by three stories involving the Buddha's troubles with an un-virtuous man, Devadatta. Then there follow two sequences of three stories each involving sickness, personal harm (and perhaps sickness as well), and difficulty in religion. This sequence is upset in the Northern Buddhist versions of the text.

It is compensated for, though, by a de-emphasis of the person of Devadatta in the *kamma* involving Devadatta which is included, such that the *Av-klp* does not even mention him. It focuses, instead, on the wounding of the Buddha's toe with a sharp flint (introduction), or on the sore on the Buddha's toe (narration proper). This de-emphasis of the person of Devadatta is also achieved through an emphasis on the act of murder by the Buddha in his past

¹⁹⁴ S. M. Cutler, 1994, pp. 36-37.

The kamma which follows the story involving Devadatta which the Northern Buddhist tradition includes, in the Pāli tradition involves striking a man with a dagger while previously an earthly king, in the Sinhalese tradition stabbing a man at the order of a king while in the king's service. The Northern Buddhist tradition, though, has here a story of a merchant on board ship who kills a fellow merchant with a dagger, knife, or spear-point depending on the version. AS characterizes these two incidents as "Murder with a Rock" and "Murder with a Dagger", and would see the latter as a variation of the Pāli story. Nalinaksha Dutt sees the two stories as being different. 195 The Pāli variant reading satthako, "caravan merchant", or "belonging to a caravan" for patthivo in the Pkp perhaps suggests a possible connection between the Southern and Northern Buddhist stories here.

The story that follows these two involves a fisherboy's "Delight at the Killing of Fish". In all these three *kamma*-s, it is physical ailments in the Buddha's present life that are emphasized and can be seen as a common element to these stories, though the story of "Murder with a Dagger" as in Taishō no. 199 mentions only subsequent life in hell, and as in Taishō no. 197 mentions only subsequent lives in hell, as

¹⁹⁵ See Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, p. 25 for the lacuna. Dutt gives Tibetan text only for passages he sees as corresponding in the Pāli and Tibetan text.

an animal, and as a *preta*—the last two of which are not mentioned elsewhere. ¹⁹⁶

Also leading to physical ailments in the two traditions are the *kamma*-s involving the Buddha having been in former lives a doctor and a Malla. In the Northern Buddhist tradition the patient is murdered in the first story, as in Taishō no. 197 and in Av-klp. This is not so in the Tibetan text and in Taisho no. 199. The Malla opponent is throughout the Northern Buddhist tradition killed in the other story. This is not so in either case in the Pāli text of Pkp or in the Sinhalese tradition of UP and BN. And in the story about Mrnāla in the Av-klp, the murder of a courtesan by Mrnāla is introduced. This is not mentioned earlier, though both Chinese versions of the story mention that the pratyekabuddha slandered by Mrnāla had been sentenced to death. In the Av-klp account Mṛṇāla places the bloodstained weapon in the sage's hermitage, and he is falsely accused of the murder

All this does not lead, however, to a balanced organization as in the Pāli *Pkp*. The *Av-klp* perhaps attempts to achieve better organization in its ordering of the stories, emphasizing the focus on murder organizationally as well, but it does not quite achieve this. This ordering, interestingly, is as noted similar to the ordering in a prose retelling of the text in the Gilgit manuscripts that, however, is missing leaves at its beginning and end. Because of this,

¹⁹⁶ See AS, p. 227.

we cannot be entirely sure if the entire ordering of *kamma*-s was the same.

Given what would appear to be an instability in the ordering of the kamma-s in the Northern Buddhist tradition, the lack of a balanced organization of the kamma-s as in the Pāli Pkp and as in the Sinhalese texts in UP and BN, and the seeming attempt to compensate for this by introducing variant stories and shifting emphasis to an act of murder, I would judge that the Devadatta cycle of three stories as in the Pāli Pkp is original in our textual tradition.

8.1. The Organization of the Text in the Northern Buddhist Versions

So that the reader can see more clearly what I am referring to regarding the organization of the text as in the Northern Buddhist versions, I give here a charting of the text as in the Anavataptagāthā as presented by AS, as in the Avklp, and as in the incomplete prose summary in the Gilgit manuscripts as presented by Nalinaksha Dutt. Both on the basis of the organization of the text, and on the basis of textual parallels that will be brought out below, such as parallels in the telling of the two stories of slandering that are given fully in the Av-klp, we can judge that Av-klp 50 and the Gilgit manuscripts' prose retelling of the text constitute a Kashmiri recension of the text. In the charting, I use both AS's characterizations of the stories, which focus on the antecedent action, and characterizations of the stories in line with my outline for BN and UP above which focus on the consequent kamma-s. At times, I add to AS's

characterizations of the antecedent actions further characterizations or clarifications so as to add further clarity to the outline. These are placed in square brackets. In the Av-klp the ordering of the stories is the same in both the introduction to the narration and in the narration proper. The only differences are that the narration proper includes one verse making reference to what in the Pali Pkp is a third "slandering", and that the introduction makes reference to Cañc \bar{a}^{197} who is not referred to by name in the narration of the relevant story.

Anavataptagatha¹⁹⁸

- I. The first slandering. / Trouble with un-virtuous women.
- II. The second slandering. [The third slandering in *Pkp*, BN, and UP.] / Trouble with un-virtuous women.
- III. The third slandering. [The second slandering in *Pkp*, BN, and UP.] / Trouble with un-virtuous women.
- IV. Murder with a rock. / [Devadatta.] Physical ailment.
- V. Murder with a dagger [at sea]. / Physical ailment [Tibetan text].
- VI. Delight at the killing of fish. / Physical ailment.

¹⁹⁷ Cañcā = Pāli Ciñca, regarding which see below.

¹⁹⁸ AS, pp. 208-48.

- VII. Eating barley. [Ill-considered speech.] / Difficulty in religion (alms).
- VIII. The sinful doctor. [Murder, Taishō no. 197.] / Physical ailment.
- IX. Knocking down a Malla. [Murder.] / Physical ailment.
- X. Slandering the Buddha Kāśyapa. [Ill-considered speech.] / Difficulty in religion (obtaining enlightenment).
- Xa. [Upsetting the alms bowl of the Pratyeka Buddha Upāriṣṭa (incomplete reference to in three partially preserved verses only).] / Difficulty in religion (alms).

The Kashmiri Recension

- A. Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā (Av-klp), Chapter 50
- I. Murder with a rock. / Physical ailment.
- II. Murder with a dagger [at sea]. / Physical ailment.
- III. [Upsetting the alms bowl of the Pratyeka Buddha Upārista.] / Difficulty in religion (alms).
- IV. The third slandering [variation]. / Trouble with un-virtuous women.
- IVa. The second slandering (incomplete reference to in one verse only).
- V. The first slandering [and murder]. / Trouble with un-virtuous women.
- VI. Eating barley. [Ill-considered speech.] / Difficulty in religion, 3 months duration (alms).

- VII. [Slandering. Perhaps just ill-considered speech according to one interpretation.] / Difficulty in religion, 6 years duration (obtaining enlightenment, alms).
- VIII. The sinful doctor. [Murder.] / Physical ailment.
- IX. Delight at the killing of fish. / Physical ailment.
- X. Knocking down a Malla. [Murder.] / Physical ailment.
- B. Gilgit Manuscripts' Incomplete Prose Retelling¹⁹⁹ [Leaves missing.]
- III. The third slandering [variation].
- IV. The second slandering.
- V. The first slandering [and murder]. / Trouble with un-virtuous women.
- VI. Eating barley. [Ill-considered speech.] / Difficulty in religion, 3 months duration (alms).
- VII. Slandering the Buddha Kāśyapa. [Ill-considered speech.] / Difficulty in religion, 6 years duration (obtaining enlightenment).
- VIII. The sinful doctor. [Murder.] / Physical ailment. [Leaves missing.]

8.2. Further Nature of the Tradition: Textual Tradition versus Oral Tradition

To be emphasized is that we clearly have here a textual tradition, not just an oral tradition. This is indicated by the

¹⁹⁹ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 28-29.

close correspondence between the Pāli *Pkp* and the corresponding Tibetan text, as pointed out for instance by Nalinaksha Dutt and by AS.²⁰⁰ In most instances, there is a one to one correspondence between the verses of the Pāli *Pkp* and the Tibetan verses, albeit each text has verses or passages that the other one does not have. Especially to be noted is that we have occasional transposition of sections of verses, as pointed out by AS.²⁰¹ Thus, *Pkp* verse 8cd (*Pkp* story 2 on Ciñca, or Ciñcī) appears following *Pkp* verse 5ab as Tibetan verse 685cd (*Pkp* story 1 on Munāli), and *Pkp* verse 5d (*Pkp* story 1 on Munāli) appears following *Pkp* verse 8ab as Tibetan verse 693d (*Pkp*, story 2 on Ciñcī).

AS comments that in general the Tibetan text and the Chinese text as in Taishō no. 199 stand nearer to one another. But in occasional instances, the Tibetan text stands nearer to the Chinese text of Taishō no. 197. Thus, in the story of the slandering of the Buddha Kāśyapa, there is no doubt that the name of the slanderer is Nandipāla (or Jotipāla in the Pali *Pkp* and the Sinhalese BN and UP). However, in both the Tibetan text and in Taishō no. 197 Nandipāla is not the name of the slanderer (as in Taishō no. 199), but the name of the man to whom the slander is spoken, while the slanderer takes another name. The name of the slandered Buddha Kāśyapa (P. Kassapa) is mentioned only in the Pāli

²⁰⁰ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 21-28; AS, pp. 208-48.

²⁰¹ AS, p. 212 and p. 214.

²⁰² AS, p. 239, n. 2.

Pkp, Sinhalese BN and UP, and in Taishō no. 199. Also regarding the word *āgataṃ* in *Pkp* verse 11, AS notes the remarkable agreement between the Pāli text here and the Chinese text in Taishō no. 199, while the Tibetan text stands nearer to the Chinese text in Taishō no. 197.²⁰³

Perhaps, though, we have a textual tradition supplemented by an oral tradition, or at the very least auxiliary stories that are being drawn on as in the case of the story of the slander by the Bodhisatta of a disciple of the Buddha Sarvābhibhū (P. Sabbābhibhū) in the Mahāsaṅghika *Mahāvastu-avadāna*, or the story of the Buddha having had to eat barley for three months in Verajjā and its karmic cause recounted in the Gilgit manuscripts in a section prior to the *Sthaviragāthā*. ²⁰⁴

Some of the stories, however, appear to undergo changes in the course of their transmission, much as something whispered from one person to another becomes transformed. The varying references to Nandipāla, or Jotipāla, as the slanderer of the Buddha Kāśyapa (P. Kassapa) on the one hand, and as the person to whom the slander is spoken on the other hand is an example of this. Further, in the story of the slander by the Bodhisatta of a disciple of the Buddha Sarvābhibhū (P. Sabbābhibhū), which leads in the Buddha's last life to his slander by Ciñcī, *Pkp*

²⁰³ AS, p. 215, n. 6.

²⁰⁴ For the former, see J. J. Jones, 1949-56, vol. 1, pp. 29-39. For the latter, see Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 4-5.

mentions the name of the disciple, Nanda, and the name of the Buddha only. BN and UP also mention Nanda as the name of the disciple who was slandered, but they refer to Sabbābhibhū as Vessabhū. The corresponding Tibetan text, on the other hand, names the slandered disciple of Sarvābhibhū as Vasistha. Similarly, Taishō no. 199 mentions a disciple Chi-shih-cha (= Ho-shih-cha, or Vasistha²⁰⁵) of the Buddha I-ch'ieh-ming (Sarvavidū²⁰⁶), who was slandered. Taisho no. 197 names the slandering monk as To-huan (Nanda), and refers to the Buddha of whom he was a disciple as Chin-chêng (i.e. Śāntiyestha?). The Mahāsanghika *Mahāvastu-avadāna*, in the passage cited above, would on the other hand have the slandered monk to be named Nanda, as in the Pāli and Sinhalese traditions, and would have the slandering monk's name to be Abhiva. Both were followers of the Buddha Sarvābhibhū. The account of the Av-klp omits reference to a Buddha of whom the two are disciples, and would have the slanderer and the slandered be brothers. The slandered brother is an arhant named Vasistha living in a vihāra consecrated by the citizens for his use. The slanderer was his jealous younger brother, a pravrajaka (ascetic), named Bharadvāja. Showing again the close relationship between the prose retelling in the Gilgit manuscripts and the Av-klp, and suggesting that the Av-klp is drawing on comparable text, the prose retelling in the Gilgit manuscripts here also refers to two brothers. Vasistha and

²⁰⁵ See AS, p. 219, n. 5.

²⁰⁶ See AS, p. 219, n. 3.

Bharadvāja, except here Bharadvāja is the elder brother and Vasiṣṭha the younger. "Bharadvāja was looked after by a devoted householder. He invited his brother Vasiṣṭha to stay with him. The latter made such a good impression on the householder that he received more gifts than his elder brother. This roused the envy of Bharadvāja, who made the maid servant of the house throw calumny on his brother and thereby brought discredit on him." The transformations here are significant, and would seem to indicate oral transmission because of this.

Thus also, in the story of the sinful physician, Pkp states only that the physician purged the son of a wealthy merchant. The Tibetan text states that the physician gave the son of a wealthy merchant for a case of diarrhea something that was no cure. But the Sinhalese texts of BN and UP note further that the physician noticed that the merchant was not going to pay, and so gave the patient (in this case, the merchant himself) incompatible medicine. Similarly, the Sanskrit prose retelling in the Gilgit manuscripts notes that he cured a householder's son twice, but did not get paid. When the patient took ill a third time, the physician gave him such a medicine that his intestines were destroyed. similarly, in the Av-klp, the physician named Titktamukha (so text, as well as retellings) treats a number of times the son, named Śrīmān, of the householder Dhanavān. He does not get paid. So the physician becomes angry and when the son takes ill again, he prescribes for him a drug that

²⁰⁷ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 28-29.

would dry up his intestines. It would seem that we have here a tradition on which the Sinhalese texts of BN and UP, the Sanskrit retelling in the Gilgit manuscripts, and the *Av-klp* are drawing, but which is not hinted at in the Pāli text of *Pkp* or the corresponding Tibetan text. In the Chinese versions, it is only Taishō no. 197 that hints at the situation described above. It notes that the physician treated the son of a merchant, and that out of anger gave the patient incorrect medicine causing him to die. Taishō no. 199 notes only that the physician treated the son of a merchant, and incorrectly put together the components of his medicine, such that the patient's sickness took a turn for the worse.

Similarly, in the story of knocking down a Malla, Pkp noted only that the Buddha in his earlier existence restrained a Mallaputta in a wrestling competition (Ap S: injured a Mallaputta). The Tibetan text notes that in his former existence he was a powerful fist-fighter, and that he killed a fist-fighter in athletic competition. The Pali word used for the wrestling competition, would also allow itself to be understood as a fist-fighting competition. And a Malla might perhaps just as well be understood as a fist-fighter as well as a wrestler. But usage of the verb nisedhayim "restrained" in Pkp suggests a wrestling competition. Compare, though, Ap S. In BN and UP the Buddha in his former existence was born in a Mallava (wrestler) family. He pinched down another wrestler, and wrung his back. The focus here clearly is on the competition being a wrestling competition. The prose retelling as in the Gilgit manuscripts is missing for this story. But the Av-klp would have the

Buddha have been in his former existence an athlete who killed a warrior named Bala in a fight, tearing his back in two. In the Chinese texts, Taishō no. 197 similarly notes that the Buddha in his previous existence, at a fighting competition, with a blow struck his opponent to the ground such that his back broke right through the middle. Taisho no. 199, on the other hand, notes only that the Buddha in his previous existence was a fist-fighter who, fighting with a Malla named Yo-fu-tzŭ injured and killed him. ²⁰⁸ Clearly, here again we have an ancillary tradition that is reflected in the Sinhalese BN and UP, the Sanskrit Av-klp, and in the Chinese version in Taisho no. 197. The difference, on the other hand, between the Southern texts referring alternately to the athletic competition as a wrestling competition, or the Buddha or his opponent as wrestlers, and the Northern Buddhist tradition referring to a fist-fighting competition, or referring to the two as being fist-fighters, has the appearance of being due to a difference in a reading in the two traditions, perhaps in the verb that appears in the Pāli text as in Ap, as noted within this paragraph.

Just as the *Av-klp* inserts proverbs and proverbial wisdom, the Chinese text in Taishō 197 inserts several verses of sermonizing at the end of every story. The verses in question are repeated almost formulaically, though occasionally some of the verses are alternated so as not to give the impression of too rigid a structure. The force and

²⁰⁸ AS, p. 237, n. 1 explains the Malla's name Yo-fu-tzŭ as being a literary expansion. See the note regarding the specifics of this.

formulaic nature of the sermonizing, though, remains. This is perhaps comparable to the formulaic refrain at the end of every *kamma* narrated in the Sinhalese text of BN, and perhaps to some extent in UP, which states, "This, O monks, is one *karma*," or "O monks, this is another *karma*." This, though, is less an aspect of the tradition as such, than of common stylistic practices shared in the larger tradition.

What is part of our textual tradition proper is the inclusion in the Tibetan text, and in the two Chinese versions of our text, of a verse stating that the Buddha spent time in hell, or hells, for his evil deed, in almost all the stories. This is placed after the narration of the antecedent action, and before the narration of the subsequent kamma in this last life as Buddha. It is only the first two stories in the Pāli Pkp and in the corresponding stories in the Sinhalese BN and UP which similarly have the Bodhisatta spending lengthy periods of time in hell. It is on the basis of such a verse appearing in almost every story in the Tibetan text, but not in the Pāli Pkp, that led Nalinaksha Dutt to conclude that the two texts were derived from a common third source.²⁰⁹ To be considered in this regard, though, is that our standard text of the Pkp may have eliminated such verses in its attempt to achieve a text of 32 verses, not counting the verse containing the final summation.

Local traditions and local color are also inserted into the textual tradition here

²⁰⁹ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, p. 28

In the story of the slander by the Bodhisatta of a disciple of the Buddha Sarvābhibhū (P. Sabbābhibhū), the Chinese text in Taishō no. 199 refers to the hell T'ai-shan. This indicates Taoist belief. This is a hell located under the tree T'ai in the province of Shantung. AS comments that the popular ideas of the hereafter of the Chinese mix Buddhist and Taoist thought. This hell is also mentioned in this translation, along with the $K\bar{a}las\bar{u}tra$ hell, in the following story of murder with a rock. And the two hells are mentioned in this translation in the story about eating barley. AS indicates that the Chinese had two different hells, and that this matches the Tibetan text's mentioning the $Prat\bar{a}pana$ and the $K\bar{a}las\bar{u}tra$ hells. But the translator understood $prat\bar{a}pana$ as an attribute of $k\bar{a}las\bar{u}tra$ and in free fashion translated it as a verb. 211

The story about delight at the killing of fish also introduces local color. In the Sinhalese texts of BN and UP, the catching of the fish with nets is introduced, as is putting the fish caught in a heap. This suggests fishing practices prevalent in Sri Lanka and parts of India. In the Chinese text of Taishō no. 197, the caught fish are laid down on the shore and hit with a stick on the head. In the *Av-klp*, two large fish are dragged out from the water, and then cut into pieces. According to Giuseppe Tucci's interpretation of the Tibetan translation of this text, the two fish were caught in a net. In

²¹⁰ AS, p. 221, n. 1.

²¹¹ AS, p. 223, n. 3. See Bechert's note for further specifics.

the Pāli *Pkp* and in the corresponding Tibetan text, it is only mentioned that fish were killed, and in the Chinese text of Taishō no. 199 it is stated only that fish were caught and killed.

The Sinhalese texts of BN and UP are especially noteworthy for introducing local color. In the story regarding the eating of barley, rice made of local $\ddot{a}l$ paddy is mentioned as being good enough for the teasing monk. In the story of knocking down a Malla, the Buddha is mentioned to have the strength of ten crores of elephants of the $k\bar{a}lava$ species, which species of elephant has the strength of ten men. A reference such as this would probably be lost on a Tibetan or Chinese person, who would not be knowledgeable of elephants and elephant lore.

In general, there appear to be differences in the way in which the tradition is expanded in the Southern Buddhist texts and the Northern Buddhist texts being considered here. As noticed above, there is evidence that initially in both traditions, from the vantage of the texts available to us, there was contraction of the tradition.

In the Sinhalese tradition represented by BN and UP, the tradition was expanded by adding stories in a balanced fashion that was consonant with the existing structure of the Pāli *Pkp*. In the case of UP, since there were no additional stories of antecedent actions to relate to the additional instances of misfortune being noted, these were not included. In the case of BN, in the stories it receives from UP which it presents, this is also the case. It substitutes a different story only with regard to the *kamma* involving schism. In the

additional stories that BN presents, however, antecedent actions were taken from the Jātaka-s, and these are matched up with incidents from the Buddha's life. The last kamma in BN involves things that happened after the Buddha's death to one of his tooth relics. In presenting this, the concluding summation of UP is contradicted. This latter states that at the time of the delivery of the sermon in Pkp and UP the Buddha had exhausted both the beneficial consequences of meritorious deeds and any evil consequences of deeds that might occur in the future. This summation is omitted in BN, as is any reference to Pkp, verses 31-32, and 33, to which it corresponds. Further, the stories in UP, while they are lengthier and perhaps more detailed than those presented in Pkp, are nevertheless short. The additional stories added by BN are lengthier. They are nevertheless summaries of the stories they are presenting, which are recorded elsewhere in Buddhist literature with only one exception—story XVIIb.

In the Northern Buddhist tradition, once the number of stories had been set at ten, this never changed. Instead, different stories were substituted for others, as would seem to have happened in the instance of the antecedent action in the story of murder with a dagger. Also, this would appear to be the case regarding the story of upsetting the alms bowl of the pratyekabuddha Upāriṣṭa. This appears instead of one of the slandering stories in the Av-klp, and it seems likely that it too substituted for a story in the missing Sanskrit passages for our text as in the Turfan manuscripts. Also, there are significant differences in the story of the third slandering in the antecedent action as in the Av-klp and in the incomplete

prose retelling of the Gilgit manuscripts' account. Further, we find in the Northern Buddhist tradition occasional lengthier versions of some of the stories that give additional details and names, as in the case of the story of the slander by the Bodhisatta of a disciple of the Buddha Sarvābhibhū (P. Sabbābhibhū) in the *Mahāvastu-avadāna*, and in the case of some of the stories in Kṣemendra's *Av-klp*. In some instances, the stories in Kṣemendra's text are true variant stories. This all, of course, suggests that the origins of these stories lie in the Buddhist Sanskrit tradition, as has been suggested before.

8.3. Discussions of Three Specific Stories

A. The First Slandering: The Story of Munāli

The first story treating Munāli (Skt. Mṛṇāla), the fifth story in the *Av-klp*, provides examples of some of the points made here. In the Pāli *Pkp* it mentions simply that Munāli was a scoundrel, and that he slandered an innocent *paccekabuddha* named Surabhi.

BN and UP, while not mentioning the name of the paccekabuddha, expand on this. They state that Munāli was born in a family of tailors, and that Munāli was the family name. They state that Munāli honored paccekabuddha-s, but a defiled that with mind he accused virtuous а paccekabuddha whom he had seen in the city begging alms of having done and said things that he had not, and of been having sexual intercourse with women. This develops the story in a way different from what is found in the Northern Buddhist tradition. Though it does have the *paccekabuddha* being accused of having sexual intercourse with women, as would make sense given the subsequent *kamma* in the Buddha's last life, it would appear to be an independent development of the story.

The corresponding Tibetan text names the pratyekabuddha Suruci, refers to Mṛṇāla as a swindler or con man, and like the Pāli Pkp simply states that Mṛṇāla slandered the faultless pratyekabuddha. The Tibetan text, though, includes two additional verses that are not reflected in the Pkp. The first states that while the muni was in a large assembly of people, he was bound with strong bonds and let out of town. The second states that Mṛṇāla, seeing the ascetic bound with strong bonds was about to suffer misfortune, he had compassion toward him and that he was therefore set free on account of Mṛṇāla.

The Chinese text in Taishō no. 199 refers to the *pratyekabuddha* as Shan-miao, which AS comments corresponds to Sundarananda, and here stands for the name Suruci which has a similar meaning. Mṛṇāla, here Wênlo, is referred to just as a man, but it is stated he reviled the impeachable *pratyekabuddha*. A great number of men came together and tied up Shan-miao. The text here amplifies on the Tibetan text, and states that they locked Shan-miao in jail as a prisoner, tied up and condemned to death. Meanwhile Mṛṇāla, having seen the *śramaṇa* being led away bound, developed compassion toward him in his heart, and saw to it

²¹² AS, p. 213, n. 1.

that he was freed. Taishō no. 197 refers to the *pratyekabuddha* as Lo-wu, refers to Mṛṇāla as the gambler Ching-yen ("Pure-eye"), and states that the *pratyekabuddha*, without himself having done anything wrong, obtained great poverty on account of Ching-yen. Agreeing with Taishō no. 199, it states that even though truthful and pure, Lo-wu became attacked by the crowd, and with insult and disgrace was bound up and lead to the place of execution. Seeing the *pratyekabuddha* being bound and humiliated, Ching-yen became overcome with compassion and arranged for his release.

What is left out in these Northern Buddhist stories suggests that the brevity of the account in these few verses, even briefer in Pkp, is intentionally done to stir further discussion. It is possible, though, that the additional verses in the Northern Buddhist versions were omitted in Pkp specifically to avoid such discussion in this instance, at least. To be kept in mind here, though, is that our present text of Pkp shows as noted signs of having been abbreviated so as to create a text of thirty-two verses, not counting the verse containing the final summation.

What is left out here, we find in full detail in the *Av-klp*. To be considered here is that chapter 50 of the *Av-klp* is in total 142 verses long. The narration of the stories proper starts with verse 35, so that the narration of the stories is 108 verses long. The narration of the story regarding Mṛṇāla extends from verses 72-116, being 45 verses in length, or almost half the length of the entire narration proper. Again showing the close relationship between this chapter of the

Av-klp and the incomplete prose retelling of this text in the Gilgit manuscripts, this retelling gives the same story in briefer format and without all the added proverbs and bits of proverbial wisdom. "Śākyamuni was once born as a wicked man called Mṛṇāla who lived with a courtesan called Bhadrā. On one occasion Mṛṇāla found out that Bhadrā was entertaining another person, and so he flew into rage and killed her outright. There was a great uproar and Mṛṇāla finding a hermit, who was a Pratyekabuddha, near by, left the blood-stained sword near him and joined the crowd, which fixed the guilt on the Pratyekabuddha and brought him before the king. When he was being led to the gallows, Mṛṇāla became repentant and confessed his guilt."²¹³

The result of all this in the Buddha's last life was, according to the Pkp, that he received slander because of Sundarīkā.

BN and UP expand on this in accord with the story as told elsewhere in the Pāli Buddhist canon. They state that in this life the Buddha received a similar accusation to the one he had made in his former life about the *paccekabuddha* (as according to BN and UP). Sundarī told the public that she had been living with the Buddha in the perfumed chamber, and disgraced him in this way.

The Tibetan text, also in accord with the Pāli canonical story, states that in the Buddha's last existence he received slanderous rebuke from the heretics because of Sundarī.

²¹³ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, p. 29.

The Chinese text of Taishō no. 199 agrees with the Tibetan text, stating that because of Hsü-t'o-li (Sundarī) the heretics all talked, falsely blaming the Buddha and slandering him. Taishō no. 197 at first states only that in this last existence the Buddha was slandered. But then, after stating that the Buddha has now cut off all rebirth and exhausted his *kamma*, it refers to a different version of the story in which the slanderer of the Buddha herself did the slandering. AS compares this to the story of Ciñcī in Pāli texts, in which the Buddha was abused by the approaching slanderer as he was explaining his teachings before a crowd.²¹⁴

AS remarks in passing that Sundarī and Cañcā were often mixed up. The prose account of the second slandering of the Tibetan text of the $M\bar{u}lasarv\bar{a}stivinaya$, in contrast to the Tibetan verses for instance, have the slandering to be through Cañcā instead of Sundarī. This also explains the transposed sections of verses in the Tibetan text as against the Pāli Pkp mentioned above when discussing how we clearly have here a textual tradition. The transposed sections of verses are between this story treating Munāli and standardly Sundarī, and the story standardly about Ciñcī. Léon Feer gives the opinion that all these standering stories

²¹⁴ AS, p. 215, n. 2.

²¹⁵ AS, p. 244, n. 3.

are about Ciñca, and that Sundarī, or Sundarikā, is just an epithet or surname. ²¹⁶

Showing the confusion as well, the Gilgit manuscripts' prose summary for this story states that the Buddha in his last life was calumniated by Cañcā-mānavikā. The Av-klp states only that the Buddha in his last life, as a result of his earlier deed, was falsely accused by the tīrthhika (heretic) women of having had connection with them. introduction to this text, though, questions, "Why has the dwarf Banchá falsely accused thee ... [?]" The name Banchá is a Bengali Anglicization for Vañcā, which is how the text here reads. "V" is a common script confusion for "c", and vice versa, in *Devanāgarī* and *Jainanāgarī* scripts. name of the slanderer is Cañcā in the North and Ciñca in the South.²¹⁷ The reference to Cañcā as a dwarf is due to a misunderstanding of māṇavikā "a young girl (esp. a young Brahman girl)" as meaning contemptuously, "a little Interestingly, the preceding story of slander in the Av-klp attributes the false accusation against the Buddha in this last life to have come from Sundarī, and the introduction questions, "... why has the Prabrájiká Sundarí falsely aspersed thee [?]" The corresponding story in the incomplete prose summary of the text in the Gilgit

²¹⁶ Léon Feer, 1897, p. 293, pp. 296-97.

²¹⁷ Léon Feer, 1897, p. 296.

²¹⁸ See MW, p. 806b.

manuscripts does not mention the *kamma* in the Buddha's last life.

B. Eating Barley

A second story we might profitably compare the different versions of is that regarding eating barley. The *Pkp* simply states that in a previous life the Buddha reviled disciples of the word of Phussa, saying that they should not eat rice, but rather should eat and munch the inferior barley.

BN and UP elaborate this. The Buddha was a layman. A disciple monk of the Buddha Phussa, here in the singular, was learning Pāli texts. Seeing that he had abundant alms, the Buddha teased him saying that given a choice between the good to eat monk's barley and rice made from *äl* paddy, he would *settle* for the rice. In fact, barley grains are the inferior food.

In the Tibetan text, the Buddha in his previous life is made to curse a disciple of the Buddha Vipaśyin, saying that he deserves to eat only barley and that it is not right that he should eat rice. Unlike *Pkp*, but as in BN and UP, the curse is here directed at a single disciple.

All the Northern Buddhist texts with the single exception of the Chinese text in Taishō no. 197, in contrast to the Southern Buddhist texts, refer to Vipaśyin as the Buddha here.

Among the Chinese texts, Taishō no. 199, like the Tibetan text, keeps the text short and simple. During the

time of the exalted Wei-wei (Vipaśyin²¹⁹), the Buddha in a former life cursed a student of Wei-wei's, again in the singular, saying, "Do not eat rice. Always you should chew red barley." The text then adds, as part of its statement that the Buddha went to the Kālasūtra hell for his offence and suffered immeasurable pain, that this was because as a (Brahman) teacher he had uttered bad speech out of his mouth. The Tibetan text in its statement that the Buddha suffered many sorrows in the Kālasūtra hell, also states that this was due to nasty speech but it does not mention that the Buddha was a teacher. The text of Taishō no. 197 elaborates on this. The Buddha in his earlier existence was a brahmacārin whose learning was extensive and thorough. He taught 500 youths in a park. In the time of the Buddha P'i-shê²²⁰, he formally cursed the *bhiksu* saying, "You should not eat rice. To be fair, you should eat barley for horses." His youths further declared that the monks of the master also should eat horse barley. The reason for the text introducing the Buddha in his former life here as a Brahman teacher of 500 youths who similarly curse the Buddha P'i-shê's monks is to explain why in the Buddha's last life not only he but also his accompanying 500 monks had to eat barley for the

²¹⁹ AS, p. 223, n. 1 comments that for Wei-wei as a translation of Vipaśyin, see Taishō no. 4.

AS, p. 233, n. 2 mentions that P'i-shê is a transcription for Viśvabhuj according to E. Waldschmidt, *Mahāvadānasūtra*, p. 169. Compare, though, with the name Phussa of *Pkp*, BN, and UP.

three months of the rainy season retreat spent in Vairambha. It is also an allusion to the 500 monks assembled at Anavatapta Lake to whom this sermon is being preached, and who are treated as equivalent. The text, of course, adds as well that on account of the bad *kamma* from this deed, both the Buddha and his students suffered the pain of hell a long time.

The narration of the incomplete prose summary of the Gilgit manuscripts is essentially the same as Taishō no. 197, except the name of the Buddha involved is Vipaśyin. For this narration, the incomplete prose summary refers to an earlier narration in the Gilgit manuscripts, in a section prior to the *Sthaviragatha*, which describes the Buddha's stay at Vairambha. Dutt states that the story in the two places is the same. This text would have the Buddha himself cast aspersions on Vipaśyin and his disciples as well, saying that they all deserved only barley grains and not the good food offered by the faithful. This here was assented to by 498 students but disapproved by two. As a result of this all of them except for Śāriputra and Maudgalyāyana, who were the two disapproving students, had to live on barley grains in Vairambha.

The narration of the *Av-klp* adds additional names not given before, and eliminates the Brahman's students. In this account, the blessed *jina* Vipaśyin together with his monks and devotees were staying in the city of Bandhumati where

²²¹ See AS, p. 223, n. 4.

²²² Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, pp. 4-5.

the citizens were furnishing them with all articles of enjoyment. The Buddha was at that time a Brahman named He told the citizens that since the Buddhist mendicants did not have a tuft of hair on the crown of their head, like the Brahmans, they did not deserve to be treated with dainties. The palate of those mendicants who have the crowns of their heads shaven was not for delicious food. They ought to have been served with the old kodrava (Paspalum scrobiculatum) grain. Thus, the JBTRS translation. The text, though, mentions both kodrava and barley in both the text proper and the introduction. So also do the interpretations of this Sanskrit passage other than that of JBTRS. This text does not mention here sufferings in hell. but it does mention suffering the consequences of the sin in many previous births.

In the Pkp, it is simply mentioned that as a consequence of his deed, barley was eaten for three months in this last life as Buddha when he was dwelling in Verajjā, having been invited by a Brahman.

BN and UP state that as a result of asking a virtuous monk to eat barley grains out of abundant alms, now in his last birth as Buddha when a Brahman invited him for alms during the rainy season retreat, Māra made him forget the invitation. ²²³ BN and UP state further that when Buddha

The way in which BN and UP read here, it states that it is the Buddha who forgot the invitation. But perhaps in accord with the Pāli

went to Verañjā there was a famine there and he and the 500 monks accompanying him came out of the village not obtaining any food. Then they went to a market fair of 500 horse dealers who came from the province of Uttarānga. Each horse dealer offered barley grains sufficient for his own use. On that day, the Buddha and his monks ate that much. During the full three months of the rainy season, the horse dealers continued making that offering in like manner. The two varying explanations given here for the Buddha and his 500 monks having eaten barley for three months are both contained in the Pāli texts, but they do not agree with one another. In what is perhaps typical South Asian fashion, though, they are placed here in juxtaposition to one another.

The Tibetan text, like the Pkp, states simply that the Buddha ate barley for three months when staying in Vairambha, having been invited there by a Brahman.²²⁴

Among the Chinese texts, Taishō no. 199, implying that the Buddha as the teacher it mentioned who uttered bad speech and thereby went to hell was a Brahman, states that by the remaining disadvantageous *kamma* the Buddha himself suffered the anger of a Brahman. The latter invited the Buddha for alms, and let him spend the entire three

commentaries it should read that the Brahman forgot the invitation because of Māra. See DPPN, vol. 2, p. 929, n. 3.

Veranjā. See BHSGD, vol. 2, p. 511b, under Vairambhya (also °bha).

months chewing barley. This is the only text among those we are considering that introduces anger as a reason why the Brahman who invited the Buddha allowed him to eat barley for three months. But its explanation fits the context. This text does not mention Vairambha. The Chinese text of Taishō no. 197 mentions the state of P'i-Lan (Vairambha). It states that by the remaining disadvantageous *kamma* both the Buddha and the 500 youths, whom it here identifies clearly as the 500 monks to whom the sermon is being preached, when invited by a Brahman of the state of P'i-Lan had to eat horse barley for ninety days continuously.

The independent account of the Buddha at Vairambha in a section of the Gilgit manuscripts preceding the Sthaviragāthā, gives more information on the Buddha at Vairambha than the incomplete prose summary. It states that the Buddha reached Vairambha in South Pañcāla where he converted a Brahman who had an aversion towards the Buddhists. The king of Vairambha at the time was a Brahman named Agnidatta. As a matter of courtesy, Agnidatta invited the Buddha to his kingdom and offered him food and other requisites for three months, which the Buddha accepted. He then asked his men to get food ready for the monks and debarred his subjects from making any gifts on the pain of death. The same night the king had a dream which his Brahman ministers interpreted in such a way that he, at their advice, decided to remain completely cut off from the outside world for three months. He could not give any instruction to his men to offer the food to the Buddha and his disciples nor to cancel the order debarring his subjects from making any gifts. So the Buddha and his disciples could not procure any food. Fortunately, at that time a trader reached the place with a large caravan and offered to the Buddha and his disciples a portion of the barley grains meant for his horses. All the disciples except Śāriputra and Maudgalyāyana agreed to stay with him and live on barley. After passing the rainy season with this kind of food, Ānanda went to see the king to take his leave. On inquiry, the king recognized his blunder, felt repentant and confessed his sin. He was absolved of his sin and was obliged by Buddha by accepting his invitation for one day. The corresponding story as in the Pāli canon, with some variation in details, is given by DPPN. 225

The Av-klp is very brief here, mentioning only that as part of the sin still lingered in the Buddha in this last life waiting to be expiated, he tasted the course food made of kodrava grain. Again, as before, the text and the interpretations other than that of JBTRS mention both kodrava and barley. Giuseppe Tucci, on the basis of the Tibetan translation of this text, retells here that the Buddha in this life, for his earlier sin, had to eat rotten wheat.

In instances in the stories of this *kamma*, points were introduced for internal consistency within the versions. Thus, the Buddha having been a Brahman teacher of 500 students is introduced in Taishō no. 197 so as to explain why

²²⁵ DPPN, vol. 2, pp. 929-30. For the sources, see p. 930, n. 4.

the Buddha had 500 monks who ate barley with him in Vairambha. The Buddha having sinned by having uttered ill-considered speech when he was a teacher in Taishō no. 199 agrees with the following statement that, in reciprocal fashion, he too had suffered the anger of a Brahman. of the 500 students of the Buddha in his former life having not assented to the aspersion of the Buddha Vipaśyin and his monks in the story as in the Gilgit manuscripts' version explains the following statement that Sariputra Maudgalyāyana, who were these two monks, therefore did not stay with the Buddha at this time and eat a diet of barley with him. That Taisho no. 197 and the version in the Gilgit manuscripts agree in mentioning 500 students of the Buddha in his former life as well as the 500 monks who ate barley for three months with the Buddha, demonstrates again the close relationship of these two texts. BN and UP also mention the 500 disciples of the Buddha eating barley with him, and to balance this it introduces 500 horse dealers who each offers barley sufficient for his own use each day of the rainy season. This text does not introduce the 500 students into the antecedent action, though, as do the Gilgit manuscripts' version and Taishō no. 197.

Pkp would allow as an explanation for the monks together with the Buddha in Verajjā also eating barley, that the Bodhisatta in Pkp cursed the disciples of Phussa, in the plural. Pkp, though, does not allude to the Buddha's disciples at Verajjā.

As well, perhaps introducing local color, BN and UP mention barley as an acceptable food, just not a preferred

food, since barley grains were one of the things the virtuous monk in question was given as alms, and since the horse dealers are offering the monks their own food. Wheat and barley are staple foods in the Uttaranga area from which the horse dealers came, which area is also famous for its swift horses and its horse dealers. 226 It is also implied in Pkp, the corresponding Tibetan text, and Taishō no. 199 that barley is an acceptable food, just not a preferred food. Taishō no. 199 even refers to "red barley". In the text as in Taishō no. 197, however, it becomes barley for horses which the Buddha in question, together with his monks, is cursed to eat, and which the Buddha and his 500 disciples eat in Vairambha. In the Gilgit manuscripts' version, in the story regarding the antecedent action it is not mentioned that the barley to be eaten is food for horses, but in the story of the stay at Vairambha, agreeing with Taishō no. 197, the trader who reaches Vairambha with a large caravan offers the Buddha and his disciples barley grains meant for his horses. The Avklp, on the contrary, mentions only that the citizens are told Buddhist monks did not have a taste for delicious food, but should be served old kodrava and barley grain. That the grain they are to be served is old suggests leftovers, not necessarily food for horses. Also, the Buddha is made to state that in this life he tasted the course food made of kodrava and barley grain (bhunktādya kodravayavāhārah) suggesting by this interpretation that it was not only grain he

²²⁶ Uttarāṅga, or Uttarapatha, included Kashmir, Gandhāra, and Kamboja.

was given but prepared food as well. Kşemendra, of course, was writing in Kashmir where wheat and barley are staples. In this instance, the *Av-klp* does not agree, as if often does, with the Gilgit manuscripts' version or the version in Taishō no. 197.

Also possibly demonstrating the inclusion of local color, the Gilgit manuscripts' account of the story refers as noted to a caravan, and a trader and his caravan, suggesting perhaps reference to ancient trade routes such as the Silk Road. Gilgit was on such a trade route. Merchant caravans and caravan guards and guides of course are mentioned generally in Pāli literature as well. They are not mentioned, though, in connection with this story.

C. Slandering [the Buddha Kassapa]

The third, and last story we will consider here is that of Jotipāla's slander of the Buddha Kassapa (Skt. Kāśyapa), which is linked with the Buddha's difficulty in obtaining enlightenment in this life.

The *Pkp* notes only that Jotipāla slandered the *sugata* Kassapa, questioning whether a bald-headed ascetic could have the enlightenment that is highest and hard to obtain.

BN and UP expand on this, indicating that Jotipāla was a Brahman, and that he denied the existence of a Buddha in his day to his potter friend Ghaṭīkāra. He heard of Kāśyapa for the first time from Ghaṭīkāra, and did not believe his friend's words. Dialogue is recounted in full. Emphasis is placed on Jotipāla's opinion that true enlightenment is so rare, that it is unlikely to have occurred in his time. The

opinion here that enlightenment is rare reflects the usage of $dullabh\bar{a}$ "difficult to obtain, rare" in the Pkp to describe enlightenment.

This is the only story of an antecedent kamma in the Pkp that is mentioned elsewhere in the Pāli canon. In these other locations, Jotipāla realized his friend's earnestness, and accompanied him eventually to hear Kassapa Buddha preach. Jotipāla then became a monk. It can be noted that not only do BN and UP expand on Pkp, but they also expand on the part of the story elsewhere in the Pāli canon in which Jotipāla at first does not believe his friend's words, and on Ghaṭīkāra's early words to Jotipāla.

In the corresponding Tibetan text, the Sanskrit name corresponding to Jotipāla in the Pāli and Sinhalese texts, Nandipāla, is given as the person to whom the slander is made. The name of the person to whom the slander is made in the Sinhalese texts, and elsewhere in the Pāli canon, Ghatīkāra, is also given. But the Tibetan text here misinterprets it as an occupation title, and would have it that the slander was made to Nandipāla when the Buddha in an earlier life had become a potter. The name of the Buddha in question is not given. He is referred to only as a "baldhead". or ascetic. The full narration with the names given properly, Nandipāla speaking to Ghaţīkāra, is given in the Nandipāla Sūtra of the Madhyamāgama, which corresponds to the Ghaṭīkāra Sutta of the Majjhima Nikāya. In the Tibetan text the slanderer questions why it should be good for him to go

²²⁷ See DPPN, vol. 1, p. 971 for references.

to see the baldheaded ascetic. And he denies that this one has enlightenment.

Among the Chinese texts, Taishō no. 199 refers to the Buddha in his previous birth as Nan-t'i-ho-lo (Nandipāla), and the slandered Buddha as Chia-shê (Kāśyapa). It is only Taishō no. 199 and the Pāli *Pkp* and Sinhalese BN and UP that mention the name of the Buddha in question here. And like the *Pkp*, Taishō no. 199 does not mention Ghaṭīkāra. The slander itself is very similar in both the Tibetan text and Taishō no. 199. Taishō no. 199, though, refers to the slandered Buddha as an ascetic (Skt. śramaṇa), not a "baldhead" as in the Tibetan text and the *Pkp*, which usage though implies an ascetic.

In Taishō no. 197, the name of the person to whom the slander is spoken is Hu-hsi (Nandipāla). This is one of the few instances in which Taishō no. 197 stands nearer to the Tibetan translation than Taishō no. 199. The name of the person by whom the slander is spoken is given here as the lad Huo-men, for which name the Sanskrit equivalent is uncertain. The slander, or ill-considered speech, itself is very similar to the Pkp. As in the Tibetan text as well, there is mention of one with a shaved head. It then goes on to state, though, not that he has not attained enlightenment or the Buddha-path, but that the Buddha-path is very difficult to follow. This reflects the characterization of enlightenment as "difficult to obtain ($dullabh\bar{a}$)" in the Pkp, as also reflected in BN and UP, noted above.

²²⁸ See AS, p. 239, n. 2.

Thus, Taishō no. 199 starts off being closer to Pkp than Taishō no. 197, but in the slander itself it stands closer to the Tibetan translation as is more usual. Taishō no. 197, on the other hand, starts off being closer to the Tibetan text, but in the slander itself it stands closer to Pkp.

The reference to the prose retelling in the Gilgit manuscripts given by Nalinaksha Dutt explains why the Tibetan text had construed Ghaṭīkāra to be an occupation title. Nandipāla is referred to here as Nandipāla Ghaṭīkāra. Dutt, though, refers the reader to the *Madhyamāgama* for the story. ²²⁹

The text of *Av-klp* is aberrant. It would have a man named Uttara speaking ill (Skt. *apavāda*) of one Puṅgala (thus the text). Because of the name or word *puṅgala*, or *puṃgala*, which is a variant of *pudgala*, the interpretations here have been various.

In the reading "Pungala" of the text here, "n" for "m" involves changing the nasal to the nasal of the class of the following consonant. Such is common, and vice versa. BHSGD translates pudgala, which it notes is often written pumgala, "person, man, creature, soul (often = $\bar{a}tman$)." The interpretation of É. Senart, though, of pudgala in Mvst 1.85.10 and 1.88.12, 14 is it is to be observed "the great

²²⁹ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, p. 29.

²³⁰ BHSGD, p. 347a.

personage (i.e. the Buddha)". 231 Edgerton finds this interpretation doubtful. The usage here, though, suggests Senart's interpretation, except here Pungala would refer to Kāśvapa Buddha. Also consonant with this is the interpretation of Giuseppe Tucci of the Tibetan text of this passage as referring to the insult being made by Uttara to the Buddha of his time. J. J. Jones translates pudgala here as "Foremost Man", construing the usage to imply agrapudgala as appears in *Mahāvastu* 1.47.2, and which he notes there to be an appellation of the Buddha practically equivalent to agrapurusa.²³² Also consider that Edgerton mentions that another reading for pumgala in Mvst manuscripts is pumgava, a Sanskrit word that may have influenced the form with nasal, pumgala. Skt. pumgava is used to mean "a bull: a hero, eminent person, chief of (ifc.)". 233 This again, supports Senart's interpretation and the interpretation here.

The slander itself is not given in the Av-klp.

Pkp states that as a result of this slander, the Buddha practiced much difficult to be done austerity in Uruvelā. Only then, after six years, he attained enlightenment. Note in this regard how the two segments of the Pkp story here are perfectly balanced in terms of cause and effect. This is not

²³¹ This is mentioned in a note on pp. xxviii-xxix in the introduction to vol. 1 of *Mvst*, referred to by BHSGD, p. 347a.

²³² See J. J. Jones, 1949-56, vol. 1, p. 66, including n. 4, and p.69; and vol. 1, p. 39, n. 4.

²³³ MW, p. 630c.

so in any of the other versions. Buddha in a previous life said true enlightenment is hard to obtain. How can this baldheaded ascetic achieve it? And so in his last life as Buddha, he had difficulty in achieving enlightenment.

BN and UP expand on this and explain it more clearly. Due to the Buddha's saying in his earlier life, through ignorance, that the Buddha Kāśyapa was not a Buddha, now in this birth he has found it difficult to obtain Buddhahood. Whereas other Buddhas became such after seven days or a few months after their renunciation, he became Buddha only after a full six years of exertion in the province of Uruvelā.

The Tibetan text states that when the Buddha reached human existence again and wandered as a mendicant with the desire for the highest enlightenment, he went through the course of difficult tasks engaged in by a Bodhisatta (Skt. duṣkaracaryā) for six years in Uruvilvā, and thereby endured much suffering. Skt. duṣkaracaryā here corresponds to Pāli ācariṃ dukkaraṃ bahuṃ in the Pkp.

Taishō no. 199 does not give parallel text here. Taishō no. 197, though, states in lengthy fashion that because of this bad *kamma* the Buddha took six years to the day in the way of life of religious castigation of himself in the hope that the path of the Buddha could be completed. He could not, though, complete the path of the Buddha through this way of life in self-castigation. He went, on account of his *karma*, on a false way in his search. The expansion here is perhaps reflective of this manuscript's tendency to preach.

In this location, there is a partial preservation of a verse from the Turfan manuscripts. As far as this can be made out, it states that there followed for the Buddha as a consequence of his former deed great suffering and the difficult tasks (of heroic energy) during six years in Uruvilvā.

Nalinaksha Dutt states here that the purpose of the story here is to explain why the Buddha had to undergo extreme self-mortification for six years before the attainment of enlightenment.²³⁴ This explanation resembles the initial statement of Taishō no. 197, the close relationship of which with this manuscript has been mentioned a number of times. We cannot be sure here, though, whether or not this is just Dutt's words.

The Av-klp adds a twist in this location. It states that the Buddha because of his evil deed had to undergo hardship for six years. But then it adds that he did not obtain any food to eat during this time. This statement about difficulty in obtaining alms is probably added for reasons of balance in the structure of the text, regarding which see the charting of the stories given above. As with regard to the antecedent action for this story, the text here is aberrant.

9. Conclusion

There remain two desiderata regarding this text.

One is a translation of Ap-a. As occurred as well in the Northern Buddhist tradition, the order of the stories of Pkp is also changed here. The difference is that here the order is changed so that the stories are chronological in terms of the Buddha's present life, this being just one way in which the

²³⁴ Nalinaksha Dutt, 1947, p. 29

author attempted to respond to denials that the Buddha experienced bad *kamma*. It is, though, like the reordering of the text in the Northern Buddhist tradition, an attempt to find a more comprehensible organization of the text.

A second desideratum is a defensible translation of the *Av-klp*. The variations in the various interpretations of the text are uncalled for. There is not even agreement on the spellings of the many proper names.

Enough details are similar between the Pāli and Sinhalese material, the Sarvāstivāda and Mūlasarvāstivāda material, and the Mahāyāna material to indicate that we clearly have one tradition. While this is not always the case, among the Northern Buddhist versions, the Tibetan version and the version as in Taishō no. 199 agree more closely with one another. The version in Taishō no. 197 on the other hand often agrees with the version reflected in the Gilgit manuscripts' incomplete prose recounting and with the version of the Av-klp, the latter two of which agree closely enough with one another that we can refer to them as a Kashmiri recension. Both versions hail from Kashmir. The Pāli Pkp is generally close to the Tibetan version, though the Pkp version contains more kamma-s. Occasionally Taishō no. 197 agrees with the Pkp version as against Taishō no. 199, just as Taishō no. 197 occasionally agrees with the Tibetan version over Taishō no. 199. The Sinhalese UP and BN, which expand on the Pali Pkp, sometimes agree with the Chinese Taishō no. 197, and with the version represented in the Gilgit manuscripts' incomplete prose retelling and the

Av-klp version, but on the whole they are separate from that subtradition.

That the tradition points to the discourse as having been given at Anotatta (Skt. Anavatapta) Lake throughout, and as the Mahāyāna tradition relates at the beginning of the text an incident from the beginning of the Sthaviragāthā²³⁵, suggests as has been argued before for several other reasons as well, which have been discussed above, that the tradition has a Sarvāstivāda and Mūlasarvāstivāda origin, or perhaps more generally a Sanskrit Hīnayāna origin when we consider the parallel story in the Mahāsanghika Mahāvastu-avadāna to the slander by the Bodhisatta of a disciple of the Buddha Sabbābhibhū, and the resulting slander of the Buddha by a woman. But as has been noted here, it seems likely on the basis of organizational considerations, among others, that the stories preserved in the textual tradition of the Pāli Pkp that are not included in the extant Northern Buddhsit versions of the text are original.

There is evidence in both the Southern Buddhist tradition and the Northern Buddhist tradition that there has been a contraction of the text, followed by an expansion. The way in which the tradition was contracted and expanded, though, differed in the Southern Buddhist and Northern Buddhist traditions. The Southern Buddhist Pāli tradition of the Pkp shortened the text to thirty-two verses, not counting the verse containing the final summation. The significance of this number to the tradition is emphasized in the titles of

²³⁵ See Marcel Hofinger, 1954, pp. 181-84, p. 190.

UP and BN. This shortening of the text of Pkp would seem to have removed verses for some of the kamma-s that we find evidence of in the Tibetan version. The Northern Buddhist tradition shortened the text to ten kamma-s. The Southern Buddhist Sinhalese tradition of UP and BN subsequently expanded the tradition by relating the kamma-s more fully and by increasing the number of kamma-s recounted, adding new kamma-s in a balanced fashion that agreed with the organization of Pkp. The Northern Buddhist tradition also in some cases related some of the kamma-s more fully and with additional details, as in the Av-klp. In some cases it as well expanded the tradition by substituting a different kamma for an established kamma, or by including a different antecedent The former may have been the case in the Turfan manuscripts' text (we cannot be sure since we have so little of the text), and was the case in the Av-klp. In the instance of the Av-klp the presently edited text leaves a very brief and incomplete remnant of a kamma omitted as well. In other instances, it substituted just a variation of a routinely related kamma, as is the case in the Gilgit manuscripts' incomplete prose retelling and in the Av-klp. Once the number of kamma-s was set at ten in the Northern Buddhist tradition, this appears never to have changed.

There appears as well to have been instability in the Northern Buddhist tradition regarding the ordering of the stories once their balanced organization as represented in *Pkp* was altered. This is reflected especially in the subtradition represented by the Gilgit manuscripts'

incomplete prose retelling of the text and the Av-klp, determined here to be a distinct Kashmiri recension.

It is hoped that the presentation of BN and UP here, and of the various interpretations of the text as in chapter 50 of the *Av-klp* juxtaposed to one another, as well as the discussion and comparison of stories in various of the versions of the text, have added to our understanding of this textual tradition.

Abbreviations

- Ap The Apadāna of the Khuddaka Nikāya, Part I, edited by Mary E.
 Lilley. London: Oxford University Press for The Pali Text
 Society, 1925.
- Ap-a Visuddhajanavilāsinī nāma Apadānaṭṭhakathā, edited by C. E. Godakumbura. London: Luzac and Company, Ltd. for The Pali Text Society, 1954.
- Ap S Apadāna Pāli, with the Sinhala Translation, Parts I-II (1-2), by Venerable Pandita Talalle Dhammananda Thera. Buddha Jayanti Tripitaka Series 36-37 (1-2). [Colombo]: Published under the Patronage of the Government of Ceylon, 1961-83. [Part II (2) translated by Pandit Wendaruwe Siri Anomadassi Thera.]
- AS Bruchstücke buddhistischer Verssammlungen aus zentralasiatischen Sanskrithandschriften. 1, Die Anavataptagāthā und die Sthaviragāthā, by Heinz Bechert. Sanskrittexte aus den Turfanfunden 6. Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Institute für Orientforschung, Veröffentlichung 51. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1961.

- Av-klp Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā, by Kṣemendra. Edition edited by Sarat Chandra Das and Paṇḍit Hari Mohan Vidyābhūshaṇa published under the title, Avadāna Kalpalatā, A Collection of Legendary Stories About the Bodhisattvas, by Kshemendra, with its Tibetan Version ..., 2 vols. Bibliotheca Indica [124]. Calcutta: W. Carey at the Baptist Mission Press and Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1888-1918. Sanskrit text of chapter 50 published as well in JBTRS 1.4, 1893, pp. 9-20 of Sanskrit text section.
- Av-ś Avadānaçataka: A Century of Edifying Tales belonging to the Hīnayāna, 2 vols., edited by J. S. Speyer. Bibliotheca Buddhica 3. St. Petersbourg: Commissionnaires de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences, 1902-1909.
- Bhes Bhesajjamañjūsā, Chapters 1-18, edited by J. Liyanaratne.

 Oxford: The Pali Text Society, 1996.
- BHSGD Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and Dictionary, 2 vols., by F. Edgerton. 1953; Rpt. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1970.
- BN *Detiskarmmaya*. J. Liyanaratne, 1983, Ms. no. 6 (Smith-Lesouf 269), text no. 68: ff. *nau* verso, line 7 to *phi* recto, line 5.

- CM The Casket of Medicine (Bhesajjamañjūsā, Chapters 1-18), translated by J. Liyanaratne. Pali Text Society Translation Series 50. Oxford: The Pali Text Society, 2002.
- CPD *A Critical Pali Dictionary*, begun by V. Trenckner. Vols. 1-3, fasc. 7, edited by Dines Anderson, Helmer Smith, Hans Hendriksen et al. Copenhagen: The Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters; Commissioner, Munksgaard, 1924-2001. Vol. 1 issued in 11 parts, with an *Epilegomena* by Helmer Smith. Parts 1-4 published by A. F. Host for The Royal Danish Academy; parts 5-10 published by Levin and Munksgaard for The Royal Danish Academy. Vol. 2 issued in 17 parts.
- CS CD-ROM Chaṭṭha Saṅgāyana CD-Rom, Version 3. Igatpuri 422 403, India: Vipassana Research Institute, [n.d.].
- Dh The Dhammapada, edited by Sūriyagoḍa Sumaṅgala Thera.
 London: Published for the Pali Text Society by Humphrey
 Milford, Oxford University Press, 1914.
- Divy The Divyâvadâna, A Collection of Early Buddhist Legends, edited by E. B. Cowell and R. A. Neil. 1886; Rpt. Amsterdam: Oriental Press NV and Philo Press, 1970.
- DPPN Dictionary of Pāli Proper Names, 2 vols., by G. P. Malalasekera. Indian Texts Series. London: John Murray, 1937-38.

- EncBuddh *Encyclopaedia of Buddhism*, vols. 1-6, fasc. 4, edited by G. P. Malalasekera et al. [Columbo]: Published by the Government of Ceylon, 1961-2002.
- J The Jātaka together with its Commentary, being Tales of the Anterior Births of Gotama Buddha, 7 vols., edited by V. Fausbøll. London: Trübner and Co., 1877-97.
- JBTRS Journal of the Buddhist Text and Research Society,
 Calcutta, edited by Sarat Chandra Das, 1-7, 1893-1906. [189396 name of society appears as Buddhist Text Society of India.
 1897-1901 name appears as Buddhist Text and Anthropological
 Society.]
- KS The Book of the Kindred Sayings (Saŋyutta-Nikāya) or Grouped Suttas, 5 vols. Pali Text Translation Series 7, 10, 13, 14, 16. Vol. 1, Kindred Sayings with Verses (Sagāthā-Vagga), translated by C. A. F. Rhys Davids, assisted by Sūriyagoda Sumangala Thera. Vol. 2, The Nidāna Book (Nidāna-vagga), translated by C. A. F. Rhys Davids, assisted by F. L. Woodward. Vol. 3, [The Khandhā Book (Khandhā-vagga)], Vol. 4, [The Salāyatana Book (Salāyatana-vagga)], Vol. 5, Mahāvagga, translated by F. L. Woodward, edited or with an introduction by C. A. F. Rhys Davids. London: Published for the Pali Text Society by Oxford University Press, [1917]-1930.

- Mahidol U CD-ROM Buddhist Scriptures on CD-Rom, MS-DOS Edition. Bangkok, Thailand: Mahidol University Computing Center, © 1994.
- Mvst Le Mahâvastu, Texte Sanscrit Publié pour la Première Fois et Accompagné d'Introductions et d'un Commentaire, 3 vols, by É. Senart. Société Asiatique, Collection d'Ouvrages Orientaux, Seconde Série. Paris: L'Imprimerie Nationale, 1882-97.
- MW *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*, new ed, by M. Monier-Williams. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1899.
- PED *The Pali Text Society's Pali-English Dictionary*, by T. W. Rhys Davids and W. Stede. 1921-25; Rpt. London: The Pali Text Society, 1972.
- Pkp Pubbakammapiloti of the Apadāna of the Khuddaka Nikāya.
- QKM *The Questions of King Milinda*, 2 vols., translated by T. W. Rhys Davids. *The Sacred Books of the East* 35-36. 1890-94; Rpt. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1963.
- S The Samyutta-Nikâya of the Sutta-Piṭaka, 6 vols., edited by Léon Feer. Vol. 6, Indexes, by C. A. F. Rhys Davids. London: Published for the Pali Text Society, by H. Frowde, 1884-1904.
- SBFB *The Jātaka*, or Stories of the Buddha's Former Births, translated from the Pāli by various hands under the editorship of

- E. B. Cowell, 7 vols. 1895-1913; Rpt. London: The Pali Text Society, 1973.
- Taishō *Taishō shinshū daizōkyō (The Tripitaka in Chinese)*, 85 vols., edited by Junjirō Takadusu and Kaikyoku Watanabe. 1924-27; Rpt. Tokyo: The Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō Kanko Kai, [1961-78].
- Ud-a Paramattha-Dīpanī Udānaṭṭhakathā (Udāna Commentary) of Dhammapālâcariya, edited by F. L. Woodward. London: Oxford University Press for The Pali Text Society, 1926.
- UP *Detiskarma padārthayi*. S. H. Levitt, 1980, Ms. no. M27, section K: ff. *chr* recto, line 1 to *jr* recto, line 6.
- Vin The Vinaya Piṭakam: One of the Principal Buddhist Holy Scriptures in the Pâli Language, 5 vols., edited by H. Oldenberg. 1879-83; Rpt. London: Published for the Pali Text Society by Routledge and Kegan Paul Ltd. (vol. 1, by Luzac and Company, Ltd.), 1969-84.
- VT Vinaya Texts, 2 vols., translated by T. W. Rhys Davids and H. Oldenberg. The Sacred Books of the East 13 and 17. 1881-82;Rpt. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1965.

References

- "B." and Pandit Ananda Prasād Sarasvatī. 1893. "Ten Sufferings of Buddha, Translation of the 50th *Pallava* of Kshemendra's Kalpalatā." In JBTRS 1.4: 19-25. [Pp. 19-22, translation by "B."; pp. 22-25, translation by Pandit Ananda Prasād Sarasvatī.]
- Babbitt, Irving. 1936. *The Dhammapada*. Rpt. New York: New Directions Publishing Corporation, 1965.
- Bary, Wm. Theodore de, Stephen N. Hay, Royal Weiler, Andrew Yarrow (eds). 1958. Sources of Indian Tradition.

 Introduction to Oriental Civilizations. New York:

 Columbia University Press.
- Basham, A. L. 1958. "Jainism and Buddhism." In Bary, Wm. Theodore de, Stephen N. Hay, Royal Weiler, Andrew Yarrow (eds), 37-202.
- Bechert, Heinz. 1958. "Über das Apadānabuch." In Wiener

 Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd- und Ostasiens und Archiv
 für Insdische Philosophie 2: 1-21.
- Bechert, Heinz. 1961-65. "Anavataptagāthā." In EncBuddh, vol. 1: 796b-97b.

- Besant, Annie. 1904. *The Bhagavad-Gita, The Lord's Song. Text in Devanagari and Translation*. 9th ed. Adyar, Madras: The Theosophical Publishing House, 1955.
- Brown, W. Norman. 1940-41. "The Basis for the Hindu Act of Truth." In *Review of Religion* 5: 36-45.
- Brown, W. Norman. 1972. "Duty as Truth in Ancient India." In *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 116: 252-68. Rpt. in Rosane Rocher (ed.), 102-119.
- Burlingame, E. W. 1917. "The Act of Truth (Saccakiriyā): A Hindu Spell and Its Employment as a Psychic Motif in Hindu Fiction." In *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland* [no vol. no.]: 429-67.
- Carter, J. R. and M. Palihawadana. 1987. *The Dhammapada*. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chalmers, Lord Robert. 1932. Buddha's Teachings, being the Sutta-Nipāta or Discourse-Collection, Edited in the Original Pāli Text with an English Version Facing It. Harvard Oriental Series 37. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Chattopadhyay, Jayanti. 1994. *Bodhisattva Avadānakalpalatā*, *A Critical Study*. Calcutta: Atisha Memorial Publishing

- Society; copies available from Sanskrit Pustak Bhandar.
- Cutler, S. M. 1994. "The Pāli Apadāna Collection." In *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 20: 1-42.
- Das, Nobin Chandra. 1895. Legends and Miracles of Buddha, Sakya Sinha, Part I, Translated from the Avadan Kalpalata of Bodhi-Sattwas of the Great Sanskrit Poet Kshemendra. Calcutta: Jadu Nath Seal, Hare Press.
- Dutt, Nalinaksha. 1947. *Gilgit Manuscripts*, vol. 3, part 1. 2nd ed. Delhi: Sri Satguru Publications, 1984.
- Edgerton, F. 1944. *The Bhagavad Gītā*, 2 vols. *Harvard Oriental Series* 38 and 39. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press. Rpt. of preface, translation, and notes to the translation, with some revisions, New York: Harper Torchbooks, 1964.
- Feer, Léon. 1897. "Cinca-Mânavikâ Sundarî." In *Journal Asiatique* n.s. 9: 288-317.
- Garfield, Jay L. 1995. The Fundamental Wisdom of the Middle Way,

 Nāgārjuna's Mūlamadhyamakārikā. New York and
 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hofinger, Marcel. 1954. Le Congres du Lac Anavatapta (Vies de Saints Bouddhiques), Extrait du Vinayades Mūlasarvāstivādin, Bhaiṣajyavastu. I. Légendes des

- Anciens (Sthavirāvadāna). Bibliothèque du Muséon 34. Louvain: Publications Universitaires et Institut orientaliste, 1954.
- Jones, J. J. 1949-56. *The Mahāvastu*, 3 vols. *Sacred Books of the Buddhists* 16, 18, 19. London: Luzac and Company, Ltd.
- Kirfel, W. 1920. Die Kosmographie der Inder, nach den Quellen dargestellt. Bonn: Kurt Schroeder.
- Lamotte, É. 1949. Le Traité de la Grande Vertu de Sagesse de Nāgārjuna (Mahāprajñāpāramitāśāstra), tome II (Chapitres XVI-XXX). Bibliothèque du Muséon 18. Rpt. Publications de l'Institut Orientaliste de Louvain 26. Louvain-la-Neuve: Université de Louvain, Institut orientaliste, 1981.
- Lamotte, É. 1988. History of Indian Buddhism, from the Origins to the Śaka Era, translated from the French by Sara Webb-Boin under the supervision of Jean Dantinne. Publications de l'Institut Orientaliste de Louvain 36.

 Louvain-la-Neuve: Université Catholique de Louvain, Institut orientaliste. (Original: 1958.)
- Levitt, S. H. 1980. A Descriptive Catalogue of the Indic and Greater

- Indic Manuscripts in the Collection of the University Museum of the University of Pennsylvania. In The Library Chronicle 44.2: 97-152, 10 plates.
- Levitt, S. H. 2003. "The Dating of the Indian Tradition." In *Anthropos* 98.2: 341-59.
- Ling, T. O. 1972. A Dictionary of Buddhism: A Guide to Thought and Tradition. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Liyanaratne, J. 1983. Bibliothèque Nationale, Départment des Manuscrits, Catalogue des Manuscrits Singhalais. Paris: Bibliothèque Nationale.
- Liyanaratne, J. 1999. Buddhism and Traditional Medicine in Sri Lanka. Kelaniya University Anniversary Series 1. Kelaniya: Kelaniya University Press.
- Mitra, Rājendralāla. 1882. *The Sanskrit Buddhist Literature of Nepal*.

 Rpt. Calcutta: Sanskrit Pustak Bhandar, 1971.
- Müller, F. Max. 2000. Wisdom of the Buddha: The Unabridged

 Dhammapada [with new introductory note and explanatory footnotes]. Mineola, New York: Dover Publications, Inc. (1st ed. New York, 1900.)
- Narada Thera. 1954. *The Dhammapada*. Rpt. London: John Murray, 1959.
- Norman, K. R. 1983. Pāli Literature, including the Canonical

- Literature in Prakrit and Sanskrit of all the Hīnayāna Schools of Buddhism. A History of Indian Literature 7.2. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Nyanatiloka. [1970?] Buddhist Dictionary: Manual of Buddhist

 Terms and Doctrines, 3rd rev. and enl. ed. by

 Nyanaponika. Rpt. Singapore: Singapore Buddhist

 Meditation Centre, [1987?]. (1st ed., [1946?].)
- Perera, H. R. 1966. "Apadāna." In EncBuddh, vol. 2: 2a-3b.
- Rachlin, Harvey. 2000. Jumbo's Hide, Elvis's Ride, and the Tooth of Buddha: More Marvelous Tales of Historical Artifacts.

 New York: Henry Holt and Company.
- Radhakrishnan, Sarvepalli and Charles A. Moore (eds). 1957. *A Sourcebook in Indian Philosophy*. 5th Princeton paperback printing, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1973.
- Rani, Sharada. 1977. Buddhist Tales of Kashmir in Tibetan Woodcuts
 (Narthang Series of the Woodcuts of Ksemendra's
 Avadana-kalpalata). Śata-Pitaka Series, Indo-Asian
 Literatures 232. New Delhi: Mrs. Sharada Rani.
- Rocher, Rosane (ed.). 1978. *India and Indology: Selected Articles by W. Norman Brown*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Salomon, Richard. 1999. Ancient Buddhist Scrolls from Gandhāra:

- The British Library Kharoṣṭhī Fragments. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Salomon, Richard. 2003. "The Senior Manuscripts: Another Collection of Gandhāran Buddhist Scrolls." In *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 123.1: 73-92.
- Skorupski, Tadeusz. 2002. The Six Perfections: An Abridged Version of E. Lamotte's French Translation of Nāgārjuna's Mahāprajñāpāramitāśāstra, Chapters XVI-XXX. Buddhica Britannica, Series Continua 9. Tring, U.K.: The Institute of Buddhist Studies.
- Somadasa, K. D. 1959-64. *Lānkāvē Puskoļa Pot Nāmāvaļiya*, I-II. Colombo: Department of Cultural Affairs, Government of Sri Lanka.
- Tucci, Giuseppe. 1949. *Tibetan Painted Scrolls*, 3 vols. Rpt. Bangkok, Thailand: SDI Publications, ©1999 by Bruce L. Miller.
- Walters, J. S. 1990. "The Buddha's Bad Karma: A Problem in the History of Theravâda Buddhism." In *Numen* 37.1: 70-95.
- Yamaguchi, Susumu. 1927. "Nāgārjuna's *Mahāyāna Vimśaka*." In *The Eastern Buddhist* 4.2: 169-71. Rpt. in Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan and Charles A. Moore (eds.), 338-39.

Index

Of Personal Names, Buddhas, Gods, Peoples, and Places, Traditional Authors and Texts

Abhiya 120. Agnidatta 139. See Verañja. Ajātasattu 5. 52n., 55n. Akanittha Brahma-world 81. Ānanda 9, 43, **43n.**, 44n., 51n., 55n., 62, 140. Ānanda (Mānava) 84n., 85, 85n. Ānanda-mānava 85n. See Ānanda (Māṇava). Anāthapindika 47n., 48, 48n. Anāthapindikārāma 47n. See Jetavanārāma and Jetavana Anavatapta 15, 100, 104, 136, 151. See Anotatta. Anavataptagāthā 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 89n., 95, 114. Outline of parallel text to Pāli *Pkp*: 115-16. Anavatatta 41. See Anotatta. Andhavana 85, 85n. Anepiņdu 48. See Anāthapindika. Anguttara Nikāya 64n. Anotatta 29, 32, 41, 41n.,

73n., 151. See Anavatapta.

Apadāna (*Ap*, *ApS*) 1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 25n., 26n., 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 44n., 53n., 75n., 93, 95, 108, 108n., 109, 111, 123. Apadānatthakathā 22. See Visuddhajanavilāsinī nāma Apadānatthakathā. Arthadatta 100, 101, 105, 106. Arūpa-Brahmaloka 81n. Avadānašataka 15. Avanti 78n. Avīci 85n Babbujātaka (Jātaka no. 137) 2, 2n. Bala 104, 123. Banchá 133. See Cañcā. Bandhumatī, Bandhumati 102, 107, 136. Baranäs 73. See Bārānasī and Benares. Bārāṇasī 73, 73n. See Benares. Ba si stha 106. See Vasistha, Vasishtha.

Beluva 66, 67n. Benares 61n., 73, **73n.**, 78n., See Bārānasī. Bhaddakaccā, Bhaddakaccānā 52n., 54n. See Rāhulamātā and Yasodharā. Bhaddasāla 82n. Bhadrā, Badrā 101, 102, 106, 131. Bhagavadgītā 2, 3, 3n. Bhaişajyavastu 12, 14, 17, 95. Bha ra dvā dsa 106. See Bharadvāja. Bharadvāja 101, 106, 120, 121. Bhesajjamañjūsā 76n., 77n. Bhīma 30, 38, 51n. Bibliothèque Nationale 6. Bihar 78n. Biļārajātaka (Jātaka no. 128) 2, 2n. Bimbisāra 88n. **Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā** (Av-klp) 96, 99, 99n. 109, 130. See Daśakarmmaplutyavadāna (Av-klp 50). Brahmapārisajja Brahma-realm 81. Bris pa 104. See Likhita. British Library 1'9. Buddha (Gotama, Gautama) 1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20,

21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 32, 34,

38, 39, 41, 41n., 43, 43n., 47n., 48n. 49n., 50, 50n., 51n., 52n., 53n., 54n., 55n., 56n., 57, 57n., 58, 59n. 60, 62, 63n., 65, 66, 67n., 70, 74, 75, 75n., 76n., 77n., 80n., 82n., 83n., 88n., 90, 91, 93, 94, 95, 97, 100, 104, 105, 106, 107, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 119, 124, 126, 127, 131, 132, 133, 135, 136, 137, 137n., 138, 139, 140, 141, 143, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151. See Gotama and Śākyamuni. Buddha (as a Bodhisatta, Skt. Bodhisattva) 5, 8, 16, 20, 21, 23, 41, 45n., 54n., 57n., 60n., 62n., 65n., 67n., 70n., 73, 74, 78n., 92, 93, 110, 113, 119, 122, 123, 124, 125, 128, 134, 135, 136, 138, 140, 141, 145, 148, 151. Buddhagayā 65n. Buddhaghosa 24, 89n. Buddhāpadāna 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 23, 38. bZan mo 106. See Bhadrā, Badrā. Cañcā 97, 97n., 115, 115n., 132, 133. See Ciñca, Ciñcamānavikā, Ciñcī. Cañcā-mānavikā 133. See Cañcā, Ciñca, Ciñca-mānavikā, Ciñcī.
Candagabbha 42n.
Capalaka, Chapala, Chapalaka 101, 106.
Caraka 64n.
Caturmahārajika 81.
Chia-shê 145. See Kāśyapa.
Chin-chêng (i.e. Śāntiyeṣṭha ?) 120.
Ching-yen 130. See Mṛṇāla, Mṛṇāla.
Chi-shih-cha (= Ho-shih-cha)

120. See Vasistha, Vasishtha. Ciñca 29, 97n., 115n. 118, 133. See Ciñca-mānavikā.

Ciñca-mānavakā **48n.** See Ciñca-mānavikā.

Ciñca-mānavikā 5, 22, 45n., 48, **48n.** See Ciñca, Ciñcī, Cañcā, Cañcā-māṇavikā.

Ciñcī 47n., 48, **48n.**, 118, 119, 132. See Ciñca, Ciñcamānavikā.

Citrakūţa 41n.

Cūlāmaņi-cetiya 67n.

Cūlani-Brahmadatta 79, **79n.**, 83, 83n., 84n., 86n.

Culla Niddesa 9.

Cullakāļa 42n.

C'u srin ma 106. See Makarikā.

Daśakarmmaplutyavadāna (*Av-klp* 50) 96, 99, 108, 109,

111, 113, 114, 115, 120, 121, 122, 123, 125, 127, 128, 130, 131, 133, 136, 140, 142, 143, 146, 147, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153. Outline: 116-17. See *Bodhisattvāvadānakalpalatā* (*Av-klp*).

Dāṭhāvaṃsa 87n.

Detiskarma padārthayi (UP),

Detiskarmmaya (BN) 1, 1n.,
6, 6n., 7, 13, 32, 34, 35, 36,
39, 40, 91, 93, 94, 95, 108,
110, 111, 113, 114, 115, 119,
120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125,
126, 127, 128, 131, 134,
135n., 137, 137n., 141, 143,
144, 145, 148, 150, 152, 153.

Devadatta 5, 19, 20, 23, 30, 52, **52n.**, **53n.**, 54, 54n., 55, 55n., 56n., 57, 57n., 69n., 88, 88n., 92, 95, 97, 111, 112, 114, 115.

Translation: 41-91. Outline:

92-93.

Devahita 76, **76n.**Dhammakīrti 87n.
Dhammapada 34, 34n.
Dhammapadaṭṭhakathā 20, 22.

Dhammapāla, Dhammapālācariya 21, 25.

Dhanapāla 57n. See Nāļāgiri, Nālāgiri. Dhanavān 103, 107, 121. Divyāvadāna 15. Don byin 105. See Arthadatta. dPal Idan 107. See Śrīmān. Dul-ba 13, 17. Dun 104. See Śańkha. Dunnivittha 69n. Gandhamādana 41n., **42n.**, 73, 73n. Gandhāra 19, 61n., 142n. Gayā 77n., 78n. Ghatīkāra 64, **64n.**, 143, 144, 145, 146. Ghatīkāra Sutta 144. Ghositārāma 80, 80n., 83n. Gijikulu, Gijukulu 52, 55. See Gijjhakūţa and Gijjhakūţapabbata. Gijjhakūţa 52n., 53n. See Gijjhakūţapabbata. Gijjhakūţapabbata 52, 55n. See Gijjhakūţa. Gilgit 143. Gilgit manuscripts 14, 16, 18, 60n., 61n., 95, 96, 108, 113, 114, 120, 121, 122, 128, 131, 133, 134, 136, 139, 141, 143, 146, 150, 152. Outline of parallel text to Pāli Pkp: 117. Girimānanda Sutta 64n. gÑen Idan 107. See Bandhumatī, Bandhumati. gYo Idan 106. See Capalaka,

Chapala, Chapalaka. Gokarälla 6. Gotama 10, 54n., 70n., 72n. See Buddha (Gotama, Gautama) and Sākyamuni. Grdhakūţa 104. Himavā 41n., 42n. Hitopadeśa 2. Ho-shih-cha 120. See Chishih-cha. Hsü-t'o-li 132. See Sundarī. Hu-hsi 145. See Nandipāla. Huo-men 145. I-ch'ieh-ming 120. See Sarvābhibhū, Sarvavidū. Indra 68n. Isigaņa 29, 38, 51n. Jāli 69n., 70, **70n.**, 71n. Jāliya 70. See Jāli. Jambudīpa 61n. Janaka 79n. Janakapura 79n. *Jātaka*(-s) 8, 9, 11, 22, 127. Jātakatthakathā 21. Jeta 47n. See Jetakumāra. Jetakumāra 47n. Jetavana 47, 47n., 75n. See Jetavanārāma and Anāthapindikārāma. Jetavanārāma 80, 80n., 81. See Anāthapiņdikārāma and Jetavana. Jetuttara 69n.

Jīvaka, Jīvaka-Komārabhacca 62, **63n.**

Job (Book of) 1

Jotipāla 16, 23, 31, 64, 64n., **65n.**, 93, 96, 118, 143, 144. See Nandipāla.

Jūjaka 69, **69n.**, 70n., 71n., 72n.

K'a bai bžin 107. See Tiktamukha.

Kāļakūta 41n.

Kālasūtra hell 125, 135.

Kālikā 105.

Kalinga 69n.

Kamboja 61n., 142n.

Kampilla 79n.

Kaṇhājinā 69n., 70, **70n.**, **71n.**, 84, 84n.

Kanjur 13, 17.

Kapilavatthu 52n., 53n., 54n., 59, **59n.**, 60n.

K'ar ba ṭa 105. See Kharvaṭa, Kharvvaṭa, Kharvota.

Karpaṭa, Karpata 100, 103.

Kashmir, Kashmiri, Kashmirian 114, 116, 142n., 143, 150. See Kasmīra.

Kāsi, Kāśi 73n., 106.

Kasmīra 61n. See Kashmir, Kashmiri, Kashmirian.

Kassapa 16, 19, 20, 23, 31, 64, **64n.**, 65n., 73n., 93, 96, 118, 143, 144. See Kāśyapa.

Kassapa Thera **75n.** See Mahā-Kassapa.

Kāśyapa 64, 65, 116, 117, 118, 143, 147, 148. See Kassapa.

Kelāsa 41n.

Kevatta 86, 86n., 87n.

Khaggavisāṇa Sutta 9. See Rhinocerous Sutta.

Khara 77n.

Kharvata, Kharvvata, Kharvota 100, 105.

Khuddaka Nikāya 1, 7.

Kimbulvat 58. See Kapilavatthu.

Kosala 59n., 78n.

Kosambī 50, **50n.**, 51n., 80n., 82n., 83n.

Koţīvimśa (= Śroṇa-Koţīvi śa) 14. See Soṇa-Koţivīsa.

Kṛṣṇajinā 70, 84. See Kaṇhājinā.

Kṣemendra 96, 98, 99n., 108, 109, 128, 143.

Kusa 74n.

Kusa Jātaka (Jātaka no. 531) 74n., 94.

Licchavīs 89n.

Likhita 100, 104.

Lo-wu 130.

Maddī 70n., 71, 71n., 72n.

Madhyamāgama 144, 146.

Madrī 71. See Maddī.

Magadha 56n., 66n., 78, 78n. Māgandi 50. See Māgandiyā. Māgandiyā. 5, 50, **50n.**, **51n.** Mahā Cūlani 83n. Mahājanaka Jātaka (Jātaka no. 539) 34, 34n. Mahākāla 42n. Mahā-Kassapa 75. See Kassapa Thera. Mahā-Kāśyapa 75. See Mahā-Kassapa and Kassapa Thera. Mahānāma 59n. Mahā-Ummagga Jātaka (Jātaka no. 546) 78, 78n., 83, 84n., 86, 94. Mahāvadānasūtra 135n. Mahāvagga 63n. Mahāvastu 9, 49n., 108, 146, 147. See Mahāvastuavadāna. Mahāvastu-avadāna 16, 120, 128, 151. See Mahāvastu. Mahāyāna Vimsaka 4n. Mahosadha 78, **78n.**, 79n., 84n., 86, 86n. Majjhima Nikāya 144. Makarikā 106. Mānava 85n. See Ānanda (Mānava). Manorathapūraņī 64n. Māra 5n., 34, 52n., 60, **60n.**, 66, 66n., 67, 67n., 72n., 92, 137. See Vasavattī.

Mā tha ra 107. See Māṭhara, Mathara, Mathara, Manthara. Māthata, Mathara, Mathara, Manthara 102, 107, 137. Maudgalyāyana 90n., 100, 104, 136, 140, 141. See Moggallāna. Migāramātupāsāda 47n. Milinda 20. Milindapañha 4, 19, 20, 23, 24. Milindapañha (Ţīkā on) 24. Mitacintijātaka (Jātaka no. 114) 2, 2n. Mithilā 79n., 86, 86n. Miyulu 79, 86. See Mithilā. Moggallāna 88n., 90n. See Maudgalyāyana. Mṛṇāla, Mriṇāla 96, 97, 101, 102, 106, 113, 128, 129, 130, 131. See Munāli, Munāli. Mug dha 105. See Mugdha. Mugdha 105. Mūlamadhyamakārikā 4n. Mūlasarvāstivāda Vinaya, Mūlasarvāstivinaya 12, 14, 17, 95, 132. Munāli, Munāļi 22, 29, 44, 44n., 96, 118, 128, 132. See Mrnāla, Mrināla. Nāgapalivethana 42n. Nāgārjuna 4, 4n. Nāgasena 20.

Nag mo 105. See Kālikā. Nāļāgiri, Nālāgiri 30, 57, 57n. See Dhanapāla. Nanda 29, 45, 45n., 120. Nanda, Nandādevī 83, 83n. Nandipāla 118, 144, 145, 146. See Jotipāla. Nandipāla Ghaţīkāra 146. Nandipāla Sūtra 144. Nan-t'i-ho-lo 145. See Nandipāla. Narasīhagāthā 54n. Nepal 79n. Neranjarā 65n. Nigrodha 53n. Nigrodhārāma 53, **53n.** Nimmānarati 81. Nor Idan 107. See Dhanayan. Old Testament 1. Pabhāvatī 74n. Paccekabuddhāpadāna 7, 8, 9, 10, 11. Pad mai rtsa, P. rtsa lag 106. See Mṛṇāla, Mriṇāla. Pañcāla 79n., 83n. Pañcālacanda 83, 84n. Pañcālacaņdī 84, 84n. Pañcasālā 66, 66n. Pañcaśatasthavirāvadāna 12. Pañcatantra 2. Pañcavaggiya 65n. Paramattha-Dīpanī Udānaṭṭha*kathā* (*Ud-a*) 21, 25, 25n.

Paranimmitavasavatti 81. Pārileyya (Village) 82n. Pārileyya, Pārileyyaka (Elephant) 82n., 83, 83n. Pārileyyaka-devaputta 83n. See Pārileyya, Pārileyyaka. Paris 6. Pasenadi, Pasenadi Kosala 59n., 85n. Phussa 16, 31, 60, **60n.**, 134, 135n., 141. See Vipaśyi, Vipaśyī, Vipaśyin. P'i-Lan 139. See Vairambha, Vairambhya. Pinda Sutta 34, 34n., 35, 66n., 94. P'i-shê 135, 135n. See Viśvabhuj and Phussa. Pitṛputrasamāgama 4. Polgolla 6. Pratāpana hell 125. Pubbakammapiloti (Pkp) 1, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 32, 38, 40, 45n., 51n., 53n., 55n., 57n., 60n., 66n., 68n., 72n., 74n., 75n., 77n., 78n., 83n., 84n., 86n., 87n., 89n., 90, 91, 93, 94, 108, 109, 110, 111, 113, 114, 115, 118, 119, 121, 122, 124, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135n., 137, 138, 141, 142,

143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152. Text: 26-29. Translation: 29-32. Pudgala, Pungala 96, 102, 103, 146, 147. Pūjāvaliya 13. Pukkusa 62, 62n. Pulāni 44n. See Munāli, Munāli and Punāli. Punāli 44n. See Munāli, Munāli and Pulāni. Rāhula 54n., 70n., 72n. Rāhulamātā 54n., 72n. See Bhaddakaccā, Bhaddakaccānā and Yasodharā. Rājagaha 30, 52n., 56, **56n.**, 62, 63n., 88n. Rakkhita, Rakkhita-vanasanda 82, 82n. Ratnadvīpa 101. Rhinocerous Sutta 9. See Khaggavisāņa Sutta. Rūpa-Brahmaloka 81, 81n. Sabbābhibhū 16, 29, 45n., 119, 120, 125, 128, 151. See Vessabhū and Sarvābhibhū, Sarvavidū. Sak 67. See Sakka and Śakra. Sakka 67, **67n.**, **68n.**, 70n., 71n., 72n. See Śakra. Śakra 67. See Sakka. Sākyamuni 104, 107, 131. See

Buddha (Gotama, Gautama)

and Gotama. Sākyans, Śākya clan 31, 52n., 53n., 58, 58n., 59n., 103, 105. Sālavatī 63n. Samyutta Nikāya 20, 34, 34n., 66n., 76n. Sañjaya 71n. Sankha 100, 104. Śāntideva 4n Sāriputra 90n., 100, 104, 136, 140, 141. See Sāriputta. Sāriputta 88n., 89n., 90n. See Sāriputra. Sarvābhibhū, Sarvavidū 16, 119, 120, 125, 128. See Sabbābhibhū and Vessabhū. Sarvata 100. See Kharvata, Kharvvata, Kharvota. Sastrāravinda Pirivin Vihāraya Sävät 74. See Sāvatthi. Sāvatthi 47n., 49n., 73n., 74, **74n.**, 75n., 76n., 85, 85n. Senānigama 65n. Senior collection 19. Sereyyaka Thera 75n. Shan-miao 129. See Sundarananda and Suruci. Shantung 125 Sigālajātaka (Jātaka no. 113) 5, 5n. Sigālajātaka (Jātaka no. 148) 5, 5n.

Sikṣāsamuccaya 4, 4n. Silk Road 143. Sivi 71n. Sona-Kotivīsa 12, 14. See Kotīvimśa. South Pañcāla 139. Sri Lanka 6, 125. Śrīmān 103, 107, 121. Śrona-Kotīvimśa. See Kotīvimśa and Sona-Kotivīsa. Sthaviragāthā 12, 14, 16, 18, 90n., 95, 98, 136, 139, 151. Sūciloma, Suciloma 77, 77n. Sūciloma Sutta 77n. Sūciroma 77. See Sūciloma, Suciloma. Sudassanakūţa 41n. Sukhavagga 34, 34n. Sundarananda 129. Sundarī 20, 21, 44, 45, 45n., 97, 101, 131, 132, 133. See Sundarīkā. Sundarī-(Nandā) 84n. Sundarīkā 29, 30, **45**, 51n., 109, 131, 133. See Sundarī. Suppabuddha 52n., 53, **54n.**, 55n. See Suprabuddha. Suprabuddha. 53. See Suppabuddha. Surabhi 29, 128. Suriyagabbha 42n. Suruci 102, 129. Suśruta 64n.

Sutta Pitaka 7. Sutta Nipāta 9, 77n. Suvannapassa 42n. T'ai-shan 125 Takkasilā 73n. Talatā, Talatādevī 83, 83n. Tamkitavadva 77. See Tankitamañca. Tankitamañca 77n., 78. Tāvatimsa 67n. 81, 83n. Theragāthā 9, 14. *Therāpadāna* 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 38. *Therī-apadāna* 7, 8, 9, 10. Therīgāthā 9. Tiktamukha 103, 107, 121. To-huan 120. See Nanda. Turfan manuscripts 19, 95, 98, 108, 109, 110, 127, 148. Tusita 81. Udāna 21. Udena 51n. *Upālittherāpadāna* Uparima, U pa rin 106. See Upārista, Uparishta, Upārishya. Upārista, Uparishta, Upārishya 101, 106, 116, 127. Upavāņa 76, **76n.** Uppalavannā 71n., 84, **84n.**, 85n. Upulvan 84. See Uppala-

vannā.

Uruvelā 32, 65, **65n.**, 147, 148. See Uruvilvā. Uruvilvā 148. See Uruvelā. Ut ta ra 107. See Uttara. Uttara 102, 107, 146, 147. Uttarakuru 41n. Uttarānga 61, **61n.**, 138, 142, 142n. See Uttarapatha. Uttarapañcāla 79, 79n. Uttarapatha 61n., 142n. See Uttarānga. Vāgbhata 64n. Vairambha, Vairambhya 60n., 61n., 136, 138, 138n., 139, 141, 142. See Verajjā, Veranjā. Vajjī 89n. Vajjiputta 89. Vajjiputtakā, Vajjniputtiyā 89, 89n. Vamsas 78n. Vañcā 133. See Cañcā. Vankagiri 69n., 71n. Vasavartī Māra 72. See Vasavattī and Māra. Vasavattī 72, 72n. See Māra. Vasistha, Vasishtha 101, 106, 120, 121. Vedas 29, 49. Vedic deities 39. Vehalinga 64n., 65n. Vejayanta 67n. Veluvanārāma 88, 88n.

Verajjā, Veranjā 16, 21, 31, 61, **61n.**, 97, 137, 138, 138n., 141. See Vairambha, Vairambhya. Verañja 61n. See Agnidatta. Vesāli 67n., 89n. Vessabhū 45, 45n., 120. See Sabbābhibhū and Sarvābhibhū, Sarvavidū. Vessantara 69n., 70n., 71n., Vessantara Jātaka (Jātaka no. 547) 69, 69n., 70, 94. Videha 78n., 79, 79n., 84, 84n., 86n. Vidudabha, Vidūdabha 31, 59, 59n., 60n. Vinaya Pitaka 63n. Vipaśyi, Vipaśyi, Vipaśyin 16, 102, 107, 134, 135, 135n., 136, 141. See Phussa. Visākhā 48, 48n., 49n. Vissakamma 71n. Visuddhajanavilāsinī nāma *Apadānaṭṭhakathā* (*Ap-a*) 22, 25, 25n., 149. Visuddhimagga 24. Viśvabhuj 135n. See P'i-shê and Vessabhū. Wei-wei 135, 135n. See Vipaśyi, Vipaśyī, Vipaśyin. Wên-lo 129. See Mṛṇāla, Mrināla.

Yāma 81. Yasassikā 83n. Yasodharā 52n., 54, **54n.** See Bhaddakaccā, Bhaddakaccānā and Rāhulamātā. Yasodharā (*Therī*) 9. Yo-fu-tzŭ 123, 123n. As this is a Dhamma text,
we request that it be treated with respect.

If you are finished with it,
please pass it on to others or
offer it to a monastery, school or public library.
Thanks for your co-operation.

Namo Amitabha!



財團法人佛陀教育基金會 印贈 台北市杭州南路一段五十五號十一樓

Printed and donated for free distribution by

The Corporate Body of the Buddha Educational Foundation

11F., 55 Hang Chow South Road Sec 1, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel:886-2-23951198, Fax:886-2-23913415

Email: overseas@budaedu.org

Website: http://www.budaedu.org

This book is for free distribution, it is not for sale.

Printed in Taiwan EN310